
### CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC, FIRST QUARTER 2023:


Update on incidents according to the Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project (ACLED)

compiled by ACCORD, 4 September 2023

#### Number of reported incidents with at least one fatality

Number of reported fatalities





National borders: GADM, 6 May 2018b; administrative divisions: GADM, 6 May 2018a; Abyei Area: SSNBS, 1 December 2008; South Sudan/Sudan border status: UN Cartographic Section, October 2011; incident data: ACLED, 4 August 2023; coastlines and inland waters: Smith and Wessel, 1 May 2015

#### Contents


Number of reported fatalities	1
Number of reported incidents with at least one fatality	1
Conflict incidents by category	2
Development of conflict incidents from March 2021 to March 2023	2
Methodology	3
Conflict incidents per province	4
Localization of conflict incidents	4
Disclaimer	5

### Conflict incidents by category

Category	Number of incidents	Number of incidents with at least one fatality	Number of fatalities
Battles	37	20	93
Violence against civilians	36	16	32
Strategic developments	34	0	0
Explosions / Remote violence	9	2	4
Protests	8	0	0
Riots	2	0	0
Total	126	38	129

This table is based on data from ACLED (datasets used: ACLED, 4 August 2023).

### Development of conflict incidents from March 2021 to March 2023



This graph is based on data from ACLED (datasets used: ACLED, 4 August 2023).

#### Methodology

The data used in this report was collected by the Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project (ACLED). ACLED collects data on reported conflict events in selected African and Asian countries, Central African Republic being among them. ACLED researchers collect event data from a variety of sources and code them by date, location, agent, and event type.

Most of the data collected by ACLED is gathered based on publicly available, secondary reports. It may therefore underestimate the volume of events. Fatality data particularly is vulnerable to bias and inaccurate reporting, and ACLED states to use the most conservative estimate available. ACLED uses the reports' context to estimate fatalities for events with reported fatalities for which the exact number is unknown ("3" or "10" for plural fatalities, depending on the context; "100" if "hundreds" are mentioned, etc.). For further details on ACLED and for the full data, see www.acleddata.com and Raleigh; Linke; Hegre, and Karlsen, 2010.

Based on this data, the Austrian Centre for Country of Origin & Asylum Research and Documentation (ACCORD) compiles updates on conflict incidents and publishes them on ecoi.net to offer another access point to the ACLED datasets.

It is advised to employ extreme caution when using fatality numbers.

Assessments of the security situation should not be based solely on quantitative analysis of event data.

Geographic map data is primarily based on GADM, complemented with other sources if necessary. Incidents are mapped to GADM provinces using the provinces in ACLED data. Province names and borders may differ between ACLED and GADM. Incidents that could not be located are ignored. The numbers included in this overview might therefore differ from the original ACLED data. ACLED uses varying degrees of geographic precision for the individual incidents, depending on what level of detail is reported. Thus, towns may represent the wider region in which an incident occured, or the provincial capital may be used if only the province is known. Erroneous location data, especially due to identical place names, cannot be fully excluded.

Incidents comprise the following categories: battles, violence against civilians, remote violence, riots/protests, non-violent strategic activities. For details on these categories, please see

- ACLED Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project: Armed Conflict Location and Event Data Project (ACLED) User Quick Guide, April 2019 https://acleddata.com/acleddatanew//wp-content/uploads/2021/11/ ACLED\_General-User-Guide\_April-2019.pdf
- ACLED Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project: FAQs: ACLED Fatality Methodology, February 2020 https://acleddata.com/acleddatanew//wp-content/uploads/2021/11/ ACLED\_FAQs-Fatalities-Methodology\_v1\_February-2020.pdf
- ACLED Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project: Armed Conflict Location and Event Data Project (ACLED) Codebook, January 2021 https://acleddata.com/acleddatanew//wp-content/uploads/2021/11/ ACLED\_Codebook\_v1\_January-2021.pdf

#### Conflict incidents per province

Province	Number of incidents	Number of incidents with fatalities	Number of fatalities
Bamingui-Bangoran	5	0	0
Bangui	13	2	3
Haut-Mbomou	16	1	3
Haute-Kotto	3	0	0
Lobaye	1	0	0
Mambéré-Kadéï	1	0	0
Mbomou	2	1	1
Nana-Grébizi	2	0	0
Nana-Mambéré	20	11	24
Ombella-M'Poko	2	0	0
Ouaka	10	5	23
Ouham	4	2	8
Ouham-Pendé	19	8	15
Vakaga	28	8	52

#### Localization of conflict incidents

**Note:** The following list is an overview of the incident data included in the ACLED dataset. More details are available in the actual dataset (date, location data, event type, involved actors, information sources, etc.). The data's precision varies among the incidents: a town may represent a region, or the provincial capital may be used if the precise location of an incident is unkown. In the following list, the names of event locations are taken from ACLED, while the administrative region names are

taken from GADM data which serves as the basis for the maps above.

In **Bamingui-Bangoran**, **5** incidents killing **0** people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: **Ndele**, **Ngarba-Bord**.

In **Bangui**, **13** incidents killing **3** people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: **Bangui**, **Bangui** - **3**, **Bangui** - **6**, **Bangui** - **7**.

In Haut-Mbomou, 16 incidents killing 3 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Bambouti, Mboki, Obo, Zemio.

In Haute-Kotto, 3 incidents killing 0 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Bria, Sam-Ouandja.

In **Lobaye**, **1** incident killing **0** people was reported. The following location was among the affected: **Moungoumba**.

In **Mambéré-Kadéï**, **1** incident killing **0** people was reported. The following location was among the affected: **Bania**.

In **Mbomou**, **2** incidents killing **1** person were reported. The following locations were among the affected: **Benima**, **Dembia**.

In **Nana-Grébizi**, **2** incidents killing **0** people were reported. The following location was among the affected: **Mbres**.

In Nana-Mambéré, 20 incidents killing 24 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Abba, Baboua, Beloko, Beninga, Besson, Bondiba, Bouar, Gallo, Gazi, Niem, Yenga.

In **Ombella-M'Poko**, **2** incidents killing **0** people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: **Bimbo**, **Bourouba**.

In Ouaka, 10 incidents killing 23 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Bakala, Bambari, Banguela, Digui, Goya, Grimari, Ngakobo.

In **Ouham**, **4** incidents killing **8** people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: **Kadjama**, **Mala**, **Markounda**, **Nana-Bakassa**.

In Ouham-Pendé, 19 incidents killing 15 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Benamkor, Bocaranga, Bozoum, Gouze, Loura, Ndim, Ngaoundaye, Paoua, Touloulou.

In Vakaga, 28 incidents killing 52 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Am-Dafock, Birao, Boura, Gordil, Ndiffa, Ndomboloye, Ouanda-Djalle, Ouandja, Sikikede, Tiringoulou.

#### Sources

- ACLED Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project: Africa (4 August 2023), 4 August 2023 https://www.acleddata.com/download/2909/
- GADM Global Administrative Areas: gadm36\_CAF\_shp.zip, Version 3.6, 6 May 2018a http://biogeo.ucdavis.edu/data/gadm3.6/shp/gadm36\_CAF\_shp.zip
- GADM Global Administrative Areas: gadm36\_levels.shp, Version 3.6, 6 May 2018b http://biogeo.ucdavis.edu/data/gadm3.6/gadm36\_levels.shp.zip
- Raleigh, Clionadh; Linke, Andrew; Hegre, Håvard, and Karlsen, Joakim: "Introducing ACLED-Armed Conflict Location and Event Data", in: Journal of Peace Research (47(5) 2010), pp. 651–660 http://jpr.sagepub.com/content/47/5/651.full.pdf+html
- Smith, Walter H. F. and Wessel, Paul: Global Self-consistent Hierarchical High-resolution Geography (GSHHG), Version 2.3.4, 1 May 2015 https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/shorelines/data/gshhg/latest/
- SSNBS South Sudan National Bureau of Statistics: Counties including disputed Abyei region, 1 December 2008 https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/sites/www.humanitarianresponse.info/files/datasets/SS\_admbnda\_adm2\_200k\_ssnbs\_2013\_0.zip
- UN Cartographic Section: South Sudan, October 2011 http://www.ecoi.net/file\_upload/4232\_1407158014\_southsudan.pdf

#### Disclaimer

Event data may be revised or complemented in future updates. Updates in ACLED's datasets will not necessarily be reflected in ACCORD's reports if the update occurs close to or after the latter's publication. Changes in the sources used by ACLED to collect incident data might affect the comparability of data over time. For more information on ACLED's methodology, please see www.acleddata.com/resources/. For more information on ACCORD's products based on the data, please see the ecoi.net blog posts tagged with "ACLED". The lack of information on an event in this report does not permit the inference that it did not take place. The boundaries and names displayed do not imply endorsement or acceptance by the Austrian Red Cross.

#### Cite as

 ACCORD – Austrian Centre for Country of Origin & Asylum Research and Documentation: Central African Republic, first quarter 2023: Update on incidents according to the Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project (ACLED), 4 September 2023