IDP Hosting Sites in Amran

Context & Methodology

As part of their regular programming, the CCCM Cluster and partners, with the support of REACH, are implementing the Site Report to build a profile of IDP hosting sites in Yemen. This activity is carried out to inform a more targeted, evidence-based humanitarian response. The findings presented here provide an overview of basic information on population demographics, site conditions, service access, site threats and community needs. A total of 8 IDP hosting sites out of 148 IDP hosting sites in Amran governorate were surveyed, with a total population of 6,513 individuals out 26,069 individuals. Data was collected between November 2021 - January 2022 through key informant interviews with community representatives in each site. The findings presented should be read as the proportion of assessed sites as reported by key informants. Findings should be considered as both indicative and incomplete. All information is for humanitarian use only.






Site Population Trends

llation	26.08K	26.08K	25.61K	24.81K	24.83K	25.39K	25.53K	26.07K	26.07K	26.07K	26.07K
& population											
포											
# of IDP	4.004		4 005	0.047	0.000	4 00 4	4.050	4.440	4.440	4.440	1 110
#	4,094	4,094	4,035	3,917	3,920	4,024	4,050	4,119	4,119	4,119	4,119
	Jan-21	Feb-21	Mar-21	May-21	Jul-21	Sep-21	Oct-21	Dec-21	Jan-22	Feb-22	Mar-22

Total IDP Population in Sites

Number of IDP Households in Sites

*IDP site number and site population trends are based on the official CCCM IDP Hosting Site Master Lists.




Site overview

Land ownership	Proportion of sites	Proportion of individuals
Private	62%	67%
Public	38%	33%
Owner not known	0%	0%

Type of site Spontaneous settlement **Collective Centre** Location Urban displaced IDP location Camp

Proportion of sites





Tenancy agreement

Proportion of sites with a tenancy agreement



Å→ Displacement

Most common governorates of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites


Sa'dah	50%
Al Hodeidah	25%
Hajjah	13%
Al Bayda	13%

Most common reason for displaced households to leave their place of origin, by proportion of assessed sites*

Security concerns / War	100%	•
Evicted from Property	12%	
House/livelihood assets destroyed/occupied	75%	
Lack of basic services	0%	A 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10
Evacuated for protection	0%	
Lack of commodities	0%	
Lack of employment	0%	
Natural disaster	0%	


*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.

Most common movement intention of displaced households for the coming three months, by proportion of assessed sites



Most common districts of origin of displaced households, by proportion of assessed sites

CCCM CLUSTER



Demographics

Proportion of sites with presence of High-Risk Groups*

Child-headed households	75%	
Older persons	100%	
Female-headed households	100%	•
Marginalized people / Minorities	0%	
Persons with chronic diseases	100%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Persons with disabilities	100%	
Pregnant and lactating women	100%	
Unaccompanied / separated children	88%	


Proportion of sites with population groups other than IDPs*

Host community	0%	
Migrants	0%	
Refugees	0%	
None - only IDPs present	100%	
Not known	0%	

*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.


✓ Infrastructure/Resources

Proportion of sites with cooking fuel in site / close



 $\label{eq:proportion} \textbf{Proportion of sites with electricity} \, / \, \textbf{solar power}$





Proportion of sites with markets in site / close

Access to Services

Proportion of sites by adequacy of services, per service type

Adequate	Inadequate	Non-existent
100%	0%	0%
62 %	25%	12%
75%	25%	0%
62 %	38%	0%
0%	88%	12%
75%	25%	0%
0%	100%	0%
0%	62%	38%
0%	12%	88%
0%	75%	25%
0%	62 %	38%
	100% 62% 75% 62% 0% 75% 0% 0% 0% 0%	100% 0% 62% 25% 75% 25% 62% 38% 0% 88% 0% 25% 0% 62% 0% 62% 0% 62% 0% 12% 0% 75%

Priority Needs

Proportion of sites per priority needs

	First	Second	Third
Cash assistance	0%	50%	12%
Education	0%	0%	12%
Food	12%	25%	0%
Water	0%	0%	0%
Legal services	0%	0%	12%
Livelihood assistance	75%	12%	0%
Medical assistance	0%	12%	25%
Non-food items	0%	0%	0%
Protection services	0%	0%	0%
Sanitation services	0%	0%	25%
Shelter / maintenance	12%	0%	12%
Nutrition services	0%	0%	0%

Primary Shelter Type

Proportion of sites per primary shelter type

Own house / apartment	0%
Makeshift shelter	25%
Host family house / apartment	0%
Emergency shelter	38%
Rented house / apartment	0%
Transitional shelter	38%
Public building	0%
Open air (no shelter)	0%

Primary Latrine Type

Proportion of sites per primary latrine type

Flush latrine to tank / sewage system pit	0%
Flush latrine to the open	0%
Pit latrine - covered	100%
Pit latrine - open	0%
Open defecation	0%

Primary Water Source

Proportion of sites per primary water source

Borehole	0%
Bottled water	0%
Illegal connection to piped network	0%
Public tap	62%
Protected rainwater tank	0%
Surface water	0%
Unprotected rainwater tank	0%
Water trucking	38%

Site Threats

Most common threats to sites by proportion of assessed sites*

Conflict-related incidents / War	0%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Eviction	62%	
Fire-related incidents	75%	
Flooding	12%	
Friction between communities	12%	
Infectious diseases	100%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Water contamination	12%	

Fire Safety Measures

Most common fire safety measures adopted in the sites, by proportion of assessed sites*

Fire points	0%
Fire wardens	0%
Fire breaks	0%
Escape routes	0%
None	100%



The following **CCCM partner** supported the data collection for the CCCM Site Report in Amran governorate from November 2021 - January 2022: Norwegian Refugee Council (NRC)

*Respondents could select multiple options for these questions, and therefore overall figures may not add up to 100%.