
SOUTH SUDAN, FIRST QUARTER 2022:

Update on incidents according to the Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project (ACLED)

Number of reported incidents with at least one fatality

none 1 to 2 3 to 5 📕 6 to 11 12 to 24 📕 25 to 49 50 to 99 📕 100 to 199 200 or more SUDAN ABYEI AREA ETHIOPIA NORTH BAHR-AL-GHAZAI WEST BAHR-AL-GHAZAL SOUTH SUDAN JUNGOLI WEST EQUATORIA KENYA UGANDA ACCORD

Number of reported fatalities



National borders: GADM, 6 May 2018a; administrative divisions: GADM, 6 May 2018b; Abyei Area: SSNBS, 1 December 2008; Ilemi triangle status and South Sudan/Sudan border status: UN Cartographic Section, October 2011; incident data: ACLED, 20 May 2022; coastlines and inland waters: Smith and Wessel, 1 May 2015

Contents


Number of reported fatalities	1
Number of reported incidents with at least one fatality	1
Conflict incidents by category	2
Development of conflict incidents from March 2020 to March 2022	2
Methodology	3
Conflict incidents per province	4
Localization of conflict incidents	4
Disclaimer	5

Conflict incidents by category

Category	Number of incidents	Number of incidents with at least one fatality	Number of fatalities
Battles	85	51	341
Violence against civilians	79	54	127
Strategic developments	13	0	0
Riots	8	1	1
Protests	6	1	1
Explosions / Remote violence	3	2	3
Total	194	109	473

This table is based on data from ACLED (datasets used: ACLED, 20 May 2022).

Development of conflict incidents from March 2020 to March 2022



This graph is based on data from ACLED (datasets used: ACLED, 20 May 2022).

Methodology

The data used in this report was collected by the Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project (ACLED). ACLED collects data on reported conflict events in selected African and Asian countries, South Sudan being among them. ACLED researchers collect event data from a variety of sources and code them by date, location, agent, and event type.

Most of the data collected by ACLED is gathered based on publicly available, secondary reports. It may therefore underestimate the volume of events. Fatality data particularly is vulnerable to bias and inaccurate reporting, and ACLED states to use the most conservative estimate available. ACLED uses the reports' context to estimate fatalities for events with reported fatalities for which the exact number is unknown ("3" or "10" for plural fatalities, depending on the context; "100" if "hundreds" are mentioned, etc.). For further details on ACLED and for the full data, see www.acleddata.com and Raleigh; Linke; Hegre, and Karlsen, 2010.

Based on this data, the Austrian Centre for Country of Origin & Asylum Research and Documentation (ACCORD) compiles updates on conflict incidents and publishes them on ecoi.net to offer another access point to the ACLED datasets.

It is advised to employ extreme caution when using fatality numbers.

Assessments of the security situation should not be based solely on quantitative analysis of event data.

Note: Administrative divisions (based on GADM data) are outdated and are reflected as of before the October 2015 reform.

Data on incidents in the Abyei area are not included in this overview.

Geographic map data is primarily based on GADM, complemented with other

sources if necessary. Incidents are mapped to GADM provinces using the provinces in ACLED data. Province names and borders may differ between ACLED and GADM. Incidents that could not be located are ignored. The numbers included in this overview might therefore differ from the original ACLED data. ACLED uses varying degrees of geographic precision for the individual incidents, depending on what level of detail is reported. Thus, towns may represent the wider region in which an incident occured, or the provincial capital may be used if only the province is known. Erroneous location data, especially due to identical place names, cannot be fully excluded.

Incidents comprise the following categories: battles, violence against civilians, remote violence, riots/protests, non-violent strategic activities. For details on these categories, please see

- ACLED Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project: Armed Conflict Location and Event Data Project (ACLED) User Quick Guide, April 2019 https://acleddata.com/acleddatanew//wp-content/uploads/2021/11/ ACLED_General-User-Guide_April-2019.pdf
- ACLED Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project: FAQs: ACLED Fatality Methodology, February 2020 https://acleddata.com/acleddatanew//wp-content/uploads/2021/11/ ACLED_FAQs-Fatalities-Methodology_v1_February-2020.pdf
- ACLED Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project: Armed Conflict Location and Event Data Project (ACLED) Codebook, January 2021 https://acleddata.com/acleddatanew//wp-content/uploads/2021/11/ ACLED_Codebook_v1_January-2021.pdf

Conflict incidents per province

Province	Number of incidents	Number of incidents with fatalities	Number of fatalities
Central Equatoria	29	10	38
Eastern Equatoria	30	21	62
Jungoli	43	27	150
Lakes	10	8	25
North Bahr-al-Ghazal	6	5	28
Unity	25	12	89
Upper Nile	26	9	44
Warap	13	10	17
West Bahr-al-Ghazal	5	3	11
West Equatoria	7	4	9

Localization of conflict incidents

Note: The following list is an overview of the incident data included in the ACLED dataset. More details are available in the actual dataset (date, location data, event type, involved actors, information sources, etc.). The data's precision varies among the incidents: a town may represent a region, or the provincial capital may be used if the precise location of an incident is unkown. In the following list, the names of event locations are taken from ACLED, while the administrative region names are taken from GADM data which serves as the basis for the maps above.

In **Central Equatoria**, 29 incidents killing 38 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: **Abegi, Gumbo, Juba, Kansuk, Katigiri, Kit, Lainya, Lemon Gaba, Lobonok, Loka West, Lokiliri, Mahad IDP Camp**,

Minyori, Mukaya, Munuki, Ombasi, Rubeke, Sirsiri, Yei.

In Eastern Equatoria, 30 incidents killing 62 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Abara, Agoro, Ayii, Chomboro, Enyiff, Haforiere, Hiyala, Idolu, Idolu Bridge, Iloli, Lauru, Lobira, Lohira, Lolianga, Loming, Lomogoro, Loronyo, Lowoi, Muragatika, Nagpotpot, Nakwa, Odumi, Oguruny, Owiny Kibul, Riwoto, Tseretenya.

In Jungoli, 43 incidents killing 150 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Akar, Ayod, Baidit, Boma, Bor, Canal, Duk Padiet, Fatwerk, Gadiang, Gumuruk, Langbar, Nanaam, Neubeli, Neum, Old Fangak, Pagil, Paliau, Palouny, Pamai, Pibor, Pochalla, Pulngere, Pulrel, Pulturuk, Tut, UNMISS Base Bor, Waat, Walgak, Wareware, Yuai.

In Lakes, 10 incidents killing 25 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Abei Cok, Kuowic, Majok, Maper, Mayath, Mingkaman, Rumbek.

In North Bahr-al-Ghazal, 6 incidents killing 28 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Anyang, Aweil, Kueny-Rac, Rumaker, Warguet, Yith Pabol.

In Unity, 25 incidents killing 89 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Bentiu, Bieh, Gier, Guit, Kaljak, Leer, Mayom, Mirmir, Padeah, Rubnor, UNMISS Rubkona Protection of Civilians site.

In Upper Nile, 26 incidents killing 44 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Jikou, Liang, Maban, Maiwut, Malakal, Malakal UNMISS Protection of Civilians site, Mathiang, Meganis, Nasir, Pagak, Torkej, Turu.

In Warap, 13 incidents killing 17 people were reported. The following locations

were among the affected: Akok, Ariik, Kualnhom, Liet-nhom, Magur, Majok Noon, Nilek, Romich.

In West Bahr-al-Ghazal, 5 incidents killing 11 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Bararud, Majara.

In West Equatoria, 7 incidents killing 9 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Mabia, Maiku, Maridi, Mundri, Mvolo, Nabia 2.

Sources

- ACLED Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project: Africa (20 May 2022), 20 May 2022 https://www.acleddata.com/download/2909/
- GADM Global Administrative Areas: gadm36_levels.shp, Version 3.6, 6 May 2018a http://biogeo.ucdavis.edu/data/gadm3.6/gadm36_levels.shp.zip
- GADM Global Administrative Areas: gadm36_SSD_shp.zip, Version 3.6, 6 May 2018b http://biogeo.ucdavis.edu/data/gadm3.6/shp/gadm36_SSD_shp.zip
- Raleigh, Clionadh; Linke, Andrew; Hegre, Håvard, and Karlsen, Joakim: "Introducing ACLED-Armed Conflict Location and Event Data", in: Journal of Peace Research (47(5) 2010), pp. 651–660 http://jpr.sagepub.com/content/47/5/651.full.pdf+html
- Smith, Walter H. F. and Wessel, Paul: Global Self-consistent Hierarchical High-resolution Geography (GSHHG), Version 2.3.4, 1 May 2015 https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/shorelines/data/gshhg/latest/
- SSNBS South Sudan National Bureau of Statistics: Counties including disputed Abyei region, 1 December 2008 https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/sites/www.humanitarianresponse.info/files/datasets/SS_admbnda_adm2_200k_ssnbs_2013_0.zip
- UN Cartographic Section: South Sudan, October 2011 http://www.ecoi.net/file_upload/4232_1407158014_southsudan.pdf

Disclaimer

Event data may be revised or complemented in future updates. Updates in ACLED's datasets will not necessarily be reflected in ACCORD's reports if the update occurs close to or after the latter's publication. Changes in the sources used by ACLED to collect incident data might affect the comparability of data over time. For more information on ACLED's methodology, please see www.acleddata.com/resources/. For more information on ACCORD's products based on the data, please see the ecoi.net blog posts tagged with "ACLED". The lack of information on an event in this report does not permit the inference that it did not take place. The boundaries and names displayed do not imply endorsement or acceptance by the Austrian Red Cross.

Cite as

 ACCORD – Austrian Centre for Country of Origin & Asylum Research and Documentation: South Sudan, first quarter 2022: Update on incidents according to the Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project (ACLED), 8 June 2022