

SIGAR

Special Inspector General for
Afghanistan Reconstruction

JAN 30
2020

QUARTERLY REPORT TO THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS





The National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2008 (Pub. L. No. 110-181) established the Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction (SIGAR).

SIGAR's oversight mission, as defined by the legislation, is to provide for the independent and objective

- conduct and supervision of audits and investigations relating to the programs and operations funded with amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for the reconstruction of Afghanistan.
- leadership and coordination of, and recommendations on, policies designed to promote economy, efficiency, and effectiveness in the administration of the programs and operations, and to prevent and detect waste, fraud, and abuse in such programs and operations.
- means of keeping the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense fully and currently informed about problems and deficiencies relating to the administration of such programs and operation and the necessity for and progress on corrective action.

Afghanistan reconstruction includes any major contract, grant, agreement, or other funding mechanism entered into by any department or agency of the U.S. government that involves the use of amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for the reconstruction of Afghanistan.


As required by the National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2018 (Pub. L. No. 115-91), this quarterly report has been prepared in accordance with the Quality Standards for Inspection and Evaluation issued by the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency.

Source: Pub.L. No. 110-181, "National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2008," 1/28/2008, Pub. L. No. 115-91, "National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2018," 12/12/2017.

(For a list of the congressionally mandated contents of this report, see Appendix A.)

Cover photo:

Afghan and U.S. currency: lifeblood of commerce—and corruption. (U.S. Army photo by Sgt. Michael K. Selvage)



■ Provinces where SIGAR has conducted or commissioned audit, inspection, special project, and/or investigation work as of December 31, 2019.



SPECIAL INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR
AFGHANISTAN RECONSTRUCTION

I am pleased to submit to Congress, and to the Secretaries of State and Defense, SIGAR's 46th quarterly report on the status of reconstruction in Afghanistan.

On January 15, 2020, I had the honor of testifying before the U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Foreign Affairs at a hearing on "U.S. Lessons Learned in Afghanistan." The focus of the hearing was the findings and recommendations outlined in seven reports published by SIGAR's Lessons Learned Program on topics ranging from corruption to the reintegration of ex-combatants. These included the key lessons from SIGAR's work as well as six matters for immediate Congressional consideration.

I appreciated the opportunity to share with the members of the committee what SIGAR has learned in 10 years of overseeing Afghanistan reconstruction, as hearings like this one can foster change in the way the U.S. government operates. Members agreed that while the United States has achieved some of its goals in Afghanistan, we owe it to the thousands of U.S. servicemembers who have lost their lives, to the U.S. military and civilians still serving there, and to the U.S. taxpayer, to do a better job.

According to recently departed U.S. Ambassador to Afghanistan, John R. Bass, corruption is the issue that most troubles former U.S. ambassadors, military officials, and elected officials in Afghanistan. In Section One of this report, SIGAR proposes some measures drawn from our second assessment of Afghanistan's anti-corruption strategy, released this quarter, to help donors and their Afghan partners tackle the problem.

Two other SIGAR products, both issued in January, also touch on corruption concerns. At the request of the Chairman of the Senate Armed Services Committee, Senator James Inhofe, SIGAR also conducted a performance audit which found the Afghan government delays issuing business licenses, as well as using other ploys, to pressure vendors under U.S. government contracts to pay business taxes that the U.S. State Department says are barred under terms of a 2018 U.S.-Afghan agreement. In addition, a SIGAR special projects report on the Afghan Case Management System that tracks civil and criminal cases found that the system's lack of controls over seized and forfeited assets makes the Afghan justice system vulnerable to corruption.

These were among 19 products issued by SIGAR this quarter. A third performance audit reviewed USAID's emergency food-assistance efforts. SIGAR also issued one alert letter concerning the current state of the U.S. government's counternarcotics strategy in Afghanistan, and two inspection reports examining the Afghan National Police's Women's Compound at the Herat Regional Training Center, and the Kajaki Dam Irrigation Tunnel.

SIGAR completed nine financial audits of U.S.-funded contracts to rebuild Afghanistan. These financial audits covered a range of topics including USAID's Private Sector-Led Model of Sustainable Social and Economic Development, the Department of the Army's UH-60A Enhanced Phase Maintenance Inspection Program for helicopters, and USAID's Initiative for Hydration, Sanitation, and Nutrition. These financial audits identified \$4,946,880 in questioned costs as a result of internal-control deficiencies and noncompliance issues.

In addition to the report on the Case Management System, SIGAR's Office of Special Projects reviewed the cost of spare parts for the National Maintenance Strategy-Ground Vehicle Support contract. The office also issued one inquiry letter regarding the Ministry of Finance's decision to prohibit investigations or monitoring of its revenue-producing units.

During the reporting period, SIGAR's criminal investigations resulted in four criminal charges, five convictions, four pretrial diversions, three sentencing, a \$45 million global settlement, and over \$500,000 in fines. SIGAR initiated nine new cases and closed 22, bringing the total number of ongoing investigations to 145. SIGAR work to date has identified over \$3 billion in savings for the U.S. taxpayer.

My colleagues and I look forward to working with Congress and other stakeholders to continue improving outcomes from U.S.-funded reconstruction programs in Afghanistan.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'John F. Sopko', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Sincerely,
John F. Sopko

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report summarizes SIGAR’s oversight work and updates developments in the four major areas of reconstruction efforts in Afghanistan from October 1 to December 31, 2019.* It includes an essay highlighting the danger corruption poses to Afghanistan, and SIGAR’s work in assessing the effectiveness of Afghanistan’s anticorruption strategy.

During this reporting period, SIGAR issued 19 audits, inspections, reviews, and other products assessing U.S. efforts to build the Afghan security forces, improve governance, facilitate economic and social development, and combat the production and sale of narcotics. In this period, SIGAR criminal investigations produced four criminal charges, five convictions, four pretrial diversions, three sentencing, a \$45 million global settlement, and over \$500,000 in fines.

SIGAR OVERVIEW

AUDITS AND INSPECTIONS

This quarter, SIGAR issued three performance audits, one alert letter, nine financial audits, and two inspection reports.

The **performance audit** reports examined:

- the progress made by the Afghan government in meeting its anticorruption strategy benchmarks since May 2018, and the challenges that continue to inhibit anticorruption efforts
- the impact of USAID’s \$589 million of emergency food assistance projects in Afghanistan since 2010
- the extent to which the Afghan government has assessed and enforced taxes and penalties on U.S. government contractors

The **alert letter** described SIGAR’s concerns with the current state of the U.S. government’s counternarcotics strategy in Afghanistan.

The nine **financial audit reports** identified \$4,946,880 in questioned costs as a result of internal-control deficiencies and noncompliance issues.

The **inspection reports** found:

- the Afghan National Police’s Women’s Compound at the Herat Regional Training Center lacks electricity and has never been used since it was constructed in 2018
- construction deficiencies preventing the proper operation of the Kajaki Dam Irrigation Tunnel, including improper fastening of emergency closure valves and problems with the installed ventilation-system motors

SPECIAL PROJECTS

This quarter, SIGAR’s Office of Special Projects issued an **inquiry letter** concerning the Ministry of Finance’s decision to prohibit investigations or monitoring of its revenue-producing units, in addition to two **reviews** concerning:

- DOD’s progress in reducing the cost of spare parts for the National

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Maintenance Strategy-Ground Vehicle Support contract

- the implementation status of the State Department-managed Case Management System for the Afghan justice sector

LESSONS LEARNED

SIGAR's Lessons Learned Program has four projects in development: U.S. government support to elections; monitoring and evaluation of reconstruction contracting; efforts to advance and empower women and girls; and a report on police and corrections.

INVESTIGATIONS

During the reporting period, SIGAR investigations resulted in four criminal charges, five convictions, four pretrial diversions, three sentencing, a \$45 million global settlement, and over \$500,000 in fines. SIGAR initiated nine new cases and closed 22, bringing the total number of ongoing investigations to 145.

Investigations highlights include:

- A \$45 million global settlement by Unitrans International Inc. to resolve criminal-obstruction charges and civil False Claims Act allegations. During 2011 and 2012, officers of Unitrans, which provided logistical services to Anham, facilitated the transportation of construction materials to Afghanistan through Iran in violation of U.S. sanctions against Iran.
- A former U.S. Army Special Forces member, William Todd Chamberlain, pleaded guilty to conspiracy and theft of government property, and faces a combined maximum prison sentence of 15 years, followed by three years' supervised release, a \$500,000 fine, mandatory restitution, and forfeiture of \$40,000. Chamberlain conspired with members of his unit to steal cash earmarked for humanitarian projects and counterterrorism operations.
- A prominent Afghan politician, Ahmad Yusuf Nuristani, pleaded guilty to a criminal information charging theft of public money, after admitting to receiving \$100,000 in U.S. government benefits by concealing foreign travel and residency in Afghanistan between July 2015 and December 2018.

- A \$45 million global settlement by Unitrans International Inc. to resolve

* As provided in its authorizing statute, SIGAR may also report on products and events occurring after December 31, 2019, up to the publication date of this report. Unless otherwise noted, all afghani-to-U.S. dollar conversions used in this report are derived by averaging the last six months of exchange-rate data available through Da Afghanistan Bank (www.dab.gov.af), then rounding to the nearest afghani. Data as of December 21, 2019.

TABLE OF CONTENTS



SECTION 1

1 GETTING SERIOUS ABOUT CORRUPTION

- 4 SIGAR Assesses Afghan Anticorruption Activities a Second Time
- 6 SIGAR Saw Some of the Same Problems in 2018 Assessment
- 7 Assessments: A Tool for Improvement
- 8 The Threat of Losing Donor Support
- 9 Corruption: A Continuing Problem
- 10 Afghans Suffer the Consequences
- 11 U.S. and Other Donor Officials Warn Patience is Waning
- 12 International Community Bears Some Responsibility
- 13 Conclusion



SECTION 2

17 SIGAR OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

- 17 Audits
- 26 Inspections
- 28 Special Projects
- 31 Lessons Learned
- 31 Investigations
- 36 Other SIGAR Oversight Activities
- 37 SIGAR Budget
- 37 SIGAR Staff



SECTION 3

40 RECONSTRUCTION UPDATE

- 41 Reconstruction In Brief
- 43 Status of Funds
- 65 Security
- 93 Governance
- 123 Economic and Social Development

TABLE OF CONTENTS



SECTION 4

153 OTHER AGENCY OVERSIGHT

- 154 Completed Oversight Activities
- 156 Ongoing Oversight Activities



APPENDICES AND ENDNOTES

- 164 Appendix A: Cross-Reference of Report
- 170 Appendix B: U.S. Funds for Afghanistan Reconstruction
- 172 Appendix C: SIGAR Written Products
- 177 Appendix D: SIGAR Investigations and Hotline
- 184 Appendix E: SIGAR Data Call Questions That Received Classified or Otherwise Restricted Responses
- 186 Appendix F: Methodological Notes
- 188 Appendix G: Abbreviations and Acronyms
- 194 Endnotes

“Until ordinary Afghans see the same rules applied to the powerful, the well-connected, and the many categories of people in this country who think rules do not apply to them, you will not succeed in addressing corruption.”

—*Ambassador John R. Bass*

1 GETTING SERIOUS ABOUT CORRUPTION



ESSAY CONTENTS

SIGAR Assesses Afghan Anticorruption Activities a Second Time	4
SIGAR Saw Some of the Same Problems in 2018 Assessment	6
Assessments: A Tool for Improvement	7
The Threat of Losing Donor Support	8
Corruption: A Continuing Problem	9
Afghans Suffer the Consequences	10
U.S. and Other Donor Officials Warn Patience is Waning	11
International Community Bears Some Responsibility	12
Conclusion	13

Photo on previous page

Former CEO Khalilullah Ferozi (left) and former Chairman Sher Khan Farnoud of Kabul Bank walk past each other in a court proceeding, 2013. (AFP photo by Shah Marai)

GETTING SERIOUS ABOUT CORRUPTION

This quarter showed that Afghanistan still needs to treat the cancer of corruption if its government is to sustain the donor support it needs to survive. Afghanistan issued its first national anticorruption strategy in 2008, and has pursued variations on it ever since.¹ But the United States and other international donors, who fund about three-quarters of public-purpose spending in Afghanistan, as well as Afghan citizens, are growing impatient with the failure of the government's efforts to make a major impact on the problem:

- In November 2019, SIGAR's second Congressionally mandated audit of Afghanistan's anticorruption strategy found that "serious challenges remain to fighting corruption, including resource shortfalls at anticorruption institutions, the impunity of powerful individuals, and declining activity at the corruption courts" even though the Afghan government "has made progress in meeting its anticorruption strategy benchmarks."²
- At a December meeting in Kabul to mark International Anti-Corruption Day, departing U.S. Ambassador to Afghanistan John R. Bass warned that "Corruption here is the issue that most imperils the continued financial support from the international community that this government and the wider society require."³
- In October, a bipartisan congressional delegation led by House Speaker Nancy Pelosi met with Afghan leaders in Kabul and stressed "the central importance of combating the corruption which endangers security and undermines the Afghan people's ability to achieve a stable and prosperous future."⁴
- The Asia Foundation's newest nationwide *Survey of the Afghan People*, issued in December, reported that 81.5% of Afghan respondents said corruption was a major problem in the country, and nearly 68% said it was a major problem in daily life.⁵

"Corruption here is the issue that most imperils the continued financial support from the international community that this government and the wider society require."

—Ambassador John R. Bass



European Union-sponsored anticorruption conference with panel including Afghan government officials, 2018. (Presidential Palace photo)

SIGAR ASSESSES AFGHAN ANTICORRUPTION ACTIVITIES A SECOND TIME

In late 2019, SIGAR’s second Congressionally mandated audit of Afghanistan’s anticorruption activities found that “serious challenges remain to fighting corruption, including resource shortfalls at anticorruption institutions, the impunity of powerful individuals, and declining activity at the corruption courts” even though the Afghan government “has made progress in meeting its anticorruption strategy benchmarks.”⁶ (A third assessment is under way, as mandated by Congressional appropriators in late 2019.)⁷

The latest audit notes that the Afghan government has entered into some new anticorruption pledges, created some new offices, and in December 2018, approved a revised anticorruption strategy that contained 103 benchmarks with completion deadlines up to June 2020. The government again updated its anticorruption strategy in February 2019, including 102 of the previous 103 benchmarks. Of those, 76 were due at the end of June 2019. SIGAR determined that as of September 2019, 57 benchmarks had been met.⁸

The Afghan benchmarks comprised a wide variety of measures, including “National leadership consultation of the President on anticorruption efforts,” “Enact Whistle Blower Protection Law,” “Introduce an awards program for civil servant individual and team achievements in fighting corruption,” “Appoint palace ombudsman,” and “Include civil society inputs in the development of new governance or anti-corruption legislation and policies.”⁹ Some of these measures might have more direct and potent effects on actual corruption than others.

GETTING SERIOUS ABOUT CORRUPTION

Although 57 benchmarks were met, the intended anticorruption outcomes were not necessarily achieved. SIGAR remains concerned that the Afghan government is more interested in checking off boxes for the international community than in actually uprooting its corruption problem. As the 2019 audit observed, “While the meeting of any individual benchmark is a positive development, ensuring that the broader intent of each benchmark is accomplished, as opposed to simply meeting the output demanded by the benchmark, has been a concern of international donors and Afghan civil society. . . . In addition, concerns remain that several of the Afghan government’s anticorruption initiatives are little more than a bureaucratic exercise.”¹⁰

In another sign of the gap between paper actions and real results, SIGAR’s 2019 audit reported that international donors are disturbed by the government’s lack of execution of arrest warrants. As of January 2019, the Afghan attorney general’s office told SIGAR there was a list of 6,586 people with outstanding arrest warrants from the preceding two years. In comments on a draft of the SIGAR audit, the Afghan government said its Ministry of Interior had arrested more than 1,500 people from the list.¹¹ Presumably, the remaining 5,000 people had not yet been arrested, two years on.

The government’s failure to take action against powerful individuals is a long-standing problem. As the SIGAR audit team noted, “Since our May 2018 audit report, officials from the U.S. Embassy in Kabul, CSTC-A [the U.S. Combined Security Transition Command-Afghanistan], and international donors have told us concerns about the seeming impunity of powerful actors in Afghanistan. The Afghan government has often lacked the resources and political will to arrest and prosecute powerful Afghans, and has largely focused on low-level offenders.”¹²

CSTC-A made its own view bluntly clear in its 2019 mid-year review, which listed corruption as second (after leadership) of the “top 10 challenges” facing the Afghan National Defense and Security Forces (ANDSF): “Corruption remains pervasive and undermines trust across the ANDSF and Afghan society.”¹³

In comments on the 2019 audit, the U.S. Embassy Kabul and the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) noted that the Afghan government had recently introduced the Anti-Corruption Reform Accelerating Plan, a new set of benchmarks aimed at institutional deficiencies and transparency issues in the Afghan government.

But the agencies added, “The [Afghan] government’s past anticorruption efforts have fallen short due to a lack of sustained commitment and political will.” The Embassy and USAID warned that “This is a pivotal moment in Afghanistan’s trajectory that cannot be lost to another decade of empty promises.”¹⁴

“Corruption remains pervasive and undermines trust across the ANDSF and Afghan society.”

—CSTC-A, 2019 Midyear Review

“The [Afghan] government’s past anticorruption efforts have fallen short due to a lack of sustained commitment and political will.” The Embassy and USAID warned that “This is a pivotal moment in Afghanistan’s trajectory that cannot be lost to another decade of empty promises.”

—Comments from State and USAID



Ambassador John Bass and Secretary of State Mike Pompeo at an Afghan civil-society meeting in Kabul, June 2019. (State Department photo by Ron Przysucha)

SIGAR SAW SOME OF THE SAME PROBLEMS IN 2018 ASSESSMENT

Congress first directed SIGAR to assess Afghanistan’s anticorruption strategy in 2017. Under pressure from international donors, the Afghan government at the time was in the process of crafting a new strategy to fight graft and bribery. SIGAR issued its initial assessment in May 2018.¹⁵ That audit detailed the Afghan government’s 2017 anticorruption strategy and ministerial action plans, their implementation and weaknesses, as well as other challenges in fighting corruption, especially as they pertained to upholding the rule of law. SIGAR’s 2019 audit found that many of the obstacles identified in the first audit remain in place.

The findings of the first assessment included:¹⁶

- Afghanistan faced five major challenges to combating corruption: (1) a lack of capacity and resources amongst Afghanistan’s key anticorruption institutions; (2) unclear roles and responsibilities between anticorruption institutions investigating corruption crimes; (3) the Afghan government’s limited ability to arrest, prosecute, and punish powerful individuals suspected of corruption; (4) the Afghan government’s failure to remove unqualified and potentially corrupt personnel from anticorruption institutions or to protect reformers; (5) Afghanistan’s parliament represented a significant institutional roadblock for anticorruption reforms.
- The 2017 anticorruption strategy had weaknesses, such as benchmarks and goals that were not fully aligned, and the writers of the strategy did not fully engage Afghan civil society or ministries in its development of the strategy.

- The Afghan government had achieved 14 of the 20 benchmarks due under the 2017 strategy by the time the SIGAR assessment was published.

The 2018 audit also highlighted concerns of U.S. and international donor organizations regarding resources for Afghan anticorruption institutions, capacity shortfalls among them, institutional disagreements and conflict, and impunity of powerful Afghans who are able to avoid arrest and prosecution within the Afghan justice system. “The Afghan government has made some progress addressing these concerns,” the audit said, “but significant obstacles remain.”¹⁷ That judgment still holds.

ASSESSMENTS: A TOOL FOR IMPROVEMENT

SIGAR’s three assessments of Afghan anticorruption strategy may be the only instance in which Congress has directed a federal inspector general to review the implementation of a foreign government’s domestic program. SIGAR applauds Congress for its interest in the issue, and appreciates President Ghani’s cooperation in helping the assessments proceed.

SIGAR’s assessments are not weapons, but tools for improvement. The assessments, like SIGAR’s numerous audits and inspections of reconstruction programs in Afghanistan, point out problems and detail work that remains to be done. They are not presented to denigrate or deprecate the progress Afghanistan has made, but to encourage the government to keep moving forward while they have the support of the international community. President Ghani’s personal and political commitment to fighting corruption is clear, and the National Unity Government’s organizational and programmatic steps against corruption are an advance from the past.

In presenting its assessments of Afghanistan’s anticorruption strategy, SIGAR hopes that their findings may be useful to the U.S. Congress, to other international donors, and to the government of Afghanistan in planning, monitoring, and evaluating further progress against corruption. In particular, SIGAR hopes that its assessments help President Ghani reinforce his commendable efforts to persuade parliamentarians, ministry officials, and other Afghan stakeholders that some long-standing attitudes and practices need to change, both for the sake of Afghanistan’s development and for the sake of maintaining constructive and beneficial relations with foreign donors.

Those donors, who met in 2012 and 2016 to pledge aid for Afghanistan, will convene again this year for another pledging conference. Some of them are showing signs of impatience with the continuing high levels of corruption in Afghanistan. By delineating tasks that remain to be done and prudent safeguards that might be adopted, SIGAR hopes to assist donors in planning and delivering support in ways that better resist corrupt activity and boost effectiveness.

THE THREAT OF LOSING DONOR SUPPORT

The United States and other foreign donors have grown increasingly impatient as Afghanistan seems unable to make a serious dent in persistent and pervasive corruption.

Donors, led by the United States, currently provide some \$8.5 billion a year in on-budget grants to the Afghan government and in off-budget spending for reconstruction. These financial inflows account for about 75% of the country's public expenditures for security, education, law enforcement, health, and other development functions.¹⁸ The United States alone provides more than \$4 billion a year for reconstruction efforts in Afghanistan—not including the costs of U.S. military operations there. Since Fiscal Year (FY) 2002, the U.S. Congress has appropriated nearly \$137 billion for Afghanistan reconstruction.¹⁹

Yet, as SIGAR has long noted in its quarterly reports to Congress, in its *High-Risk Lists*, and especially in its two audits of the Afghan government's progress in anticorruption efforts, corruption remains a major problem. Two new SIGAR products, both issued in January 2020, also touch on corruption concerns. A SIGAR special projects review of the Afghan Case Management System that tracks civil and criminal cases found incomplete entry of required data and no tracking of judicially seized or forfeited cash, drugs, and vehicles. Partial implementation and incomplete data, the report concludes, limits transparency and credibility of the judicial process, while “the lack of controls over seized and forfeited assets makes the Afghan justice system vulnerable to corruption.”²⁰ In addition, a SIGAR audit, requested by the chairman of the Senate Armed Services Committee, indicates that the Afghan government delays issuing new issues and renewals of business licenses, as well as using other ploys, to pressure vendors under U.S. government contracts to pay business taxes that the U.S. State Department says are barred under terms of a 2018 U.S.-Afghan agreement.²¹

The Departments of State and Defense have also raised concerns, as have other international donors and organizations. These concerns, if not assuaged, could lead to a financial crisis for the Kabul government.

Donor frustration with Afghanistan's slow progress matters because Afghanistan needs enduring assistance to maintain its government. A December 2019 World Bank report noted that while Afghanistan has had some economic growth and has increased its government revenues in recent years, revenues have not kept up with population growth. “Economic growth in Afghanistan is currently too slow to reduce poverty and increase living standards,” because economic growth of 2% a year and population growth of 2.3% “equates to declining per capita incomes.” Poverty rates (less than \$1 a day income) are rapidly increasing, from about 39% in 2012 to about 55% now, while the number of jobs available to Afghans is declining.²²

While the Bank concluded that Afghanistan's substantial medium-term grant needs could be met if domestic revenues increased, even if foreign assistance gradually declined, they added a critical warning about the potential costs of a peace agreement with the Taliban insurgents: "These grant needs exclude any potential costs of targeted disarmament, demobilization, and reintegration programming."²³ The Bank also cautioned that in the event of a peace agreement, "Declines in security sector expenditure will be offset by the need for increased civilian spending to support a rapidly growing population and facilitate faster economic growth."²⁴

CORRUPTION: A CONTINUING PROBLEM

In Afghanistan or any other country, corruption can take many forms, from a policeman demanding a bribe to overlook an infraction, to vendors conspiring to rig bids on contracts, to purchasing agents taking kickbacks, to powerful officials sheltering wrongdoers or giving preferential treatment to kin or community members. Some recent examples from Afghanistan show how the problem continues to plague the U.S.-backed government and the fight against insurgents:

- During a 12-month period in 2018–2019, corruption reports from CSTC-A prompted the Afghan government to remove 49 members of the Afghan National Defense and Security Forces from their posts. As of October 2019, another 21 individuals were being investigated for corruption. Many of the cases involve corruption related to U.S.-funded fuel.²⁵
- In the eastern province of Kunar, illegally harvested lumber is smuggled into Pakistan as officials turn a blind eye, warlords and Islamic State terrorists profit, and police pocket bribes, according to a recent *Foreign Policy* article.²⁶
- In October, Speaker of the Parliament Mir Rahman Rahmani spoke of "large-scale corruption at the customs of the Ministry of Finance, the National Procurement Authority (NPA) and the Kabul Municipality," but complained that the presidential palace had resisted a parliamentary-commission probe.²⁷
- In a June 11, 2019, memorandum, Afghanistan's Acting Minister of Finance Mohammad Humayon Qayoumi said Afghan investigative and security entities are prohibited from investigating or monitoring revenue-producing units of the Ministry of Finance, and must inform the Ministry of Finance High Authority of any information needs. The minister's memorandum prompted SIGAR Inspector General John F. Sopko to write him in November expressing concern that the ministry "has taken steps to shield itself from independent external oversight, including audits and investigations."²⁸ Acting Minister Qayoumi replied in late December saying the ministry had "requested the respected

[Afghan] entities not to disturb the day-to-day business of our offices, as this has unfortunately caused disruption in our duties thus, inefficiency,” and adding without specifics that “The Ministry of Finance has successfully established a transparent operational framework intending to ensure transparency and accountability.”²⁹ In a December 2019 meeting with IG Sopko, Minister Qayoumi added he was simply opposed to “fishing expeditions,” and that if Afghan police or intelligence officials could show sound reasons—presumably as judged by the minister—for an investigation, they would not be restricted.³⁰ SIGAR remains concerned.

AFGHANS SUFFER THE CONSEQUENCES

Despite years of official pronouncements and anticorruption programming, Afghanistan still fares poorly in comparisons of perceived corruption, including Afghans’ own perceptions. The Asia Foundation’s *2019 Survey of the Afghan People* solicited responses in summer 2019 from nearly 18,000 men and women age 18 or older in all 34 provinces. Fully 81.5% of respondents said corruption was a major problem in the country, while 67.9% said it was a major problem in their daily life.³¹

In January 2020, the nongovernmental organization Transparency International (TI) ranked Afghanistan last among the 31 Asia/Pacific countries it surveyed. On TI’s 100-point scale, Afghanistan was rated at 16 points, behind North Korea, at 14 points. By contrast, New Zealand earned first place at 87 points, followed by Singapore at 85.³² Most countries in the Asia/Pacific region, TI has said, lack “a robust and comprehensive strategy that focuses on the entire anti-corruption system, including legal infrastructure and punishment, proper enforcement of rules, prevention mechanisms and engagement of citizens.”³³

The perception of corruption in Afghanistan has actually worsened since TI first included Afghanistan in its ratings in 2005. That year, the country was in a nine-way tie for 117th place among 159 countries surveyed. TI used a 10-point rating system in which any score under 5 indicated “serious levels of corruption.” Afghanistan’s score was 2.5.³⁴ By 2019, Afghanistan had fallen to a tie for 173rd out of 180 countries surveyed with a rating of 16 out of 100 points—a notable decline in relative terms.³⁵

Similarly, an Asian Development Bank review of fragile and conflict-affected situations rated Afghanistan’s performance as below that group’s low threshold, adding “The country lags farthest behind in (i) property rights and rule-based governance; and (ii) transparency, accountability, and corruption in the public sector.”³⁶ Such concerns are widespread.



U.S. House of Representatives Speaker Nancy Pelosi meets with Afghan President Ashraf Ghani in an unannounced visit to Kabul. (Speaker of the House official Twitter account)

U.S. AND OTHER DONOR OFFICIALS WARN PATIENCE IS WANING

A bipartisan Congressional delegation carried the message of concern to Kabul in October 2019. House Speaker Nancy Pelosi said the delegation met with Afghan President Ashraf Ghani and Chief Executive Abdullah Abdullah, and “emphasized the central importance of combating the corruption which endangers security and undermines the Afghan people’s ability to achieve a stable and prosperous future.”³⁷

In August 2019, the Afghan government released from prison former Kabul Bank executive Khalilullah Ferozi, who had been jailed for his role in massive fraud that nearly caused the bank to fail. In reaction, Ambassador Bass tweeted, “This action along with the continued failure to execute warrants for those accused of corruption, calls into question the [Afghan] government’s commitment to combating corruption and making best use of donors’ support.”³⁸

Ambassador Bass, who has left the Embassy Kabul assignment after two years’ service there, also said this quarter that Afghanistan’s corruption is the issue that most troubles former U.S. ambassadors, military officers, and elected officials. The ambassador used even stronger language when he warned at an International Anti-Corruption Day meeting in Kabul in December 2019 that Afghanistan’s corruption could endanger international support. “Corruption here is the issue that most imperils the continued financial support from the international community that this government and the wider society require,” he said.

Announcing strategies and priorities is good, the ambassador continued, but “If you do not make progress addressing impunity and curbing rampant corruption, you will not hear my government, and other governments, speaking louder and more urgently about this issue. You will hear silence. And Afghanistan will receive much less support.”³⁹

At a January 15, 2020, House Foreign Affairs Committee hearing on “U.S. Lessons Learned in Afghanistan,” the discussion frequently focused on corruption. IG Sopko said military commanders told SIGAR that corruption is the major threat to reconstruction and the war effort, and plays into the hands of America’s enemies. Corruption “not only saps the money we give to the Afghan government,” he said, “but it also is used as a recruiting tool by the Taliban because they can point to the corrupt officers, they can point to the corrupt warlords who are getting all of the government contracts and they say, “See, that’s what the U.S. government does.”⁴⁰

Other donors have expressed similar sentiments. The UK’s Department for International Development is on record as saying it “has a zero tolerance approach to corruption—full stop,” though humanitarian and development aid might still be provided through “trusted international partners.”⁴¹ As for Afghanistan specifically, the UK government’s “Overseas Business Risk” paper remarks that “Corruption pervades all aspects of public life in Afghanistan . . . ranging from petty bribery to nepotism and misuse of power.”⁴²

In late 2019, officials of another major European donor in a meeting with SIGAR staff said that they were disturbed by the lack of material progress in anticorruption in Afghanistan, and felt that new pledges from the anticipated 2020 donors’ conference should be linked to anticorruption progress.⁴³ In Germany, the Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ, for *Bundesministerium für wirtschaftliche Zusammenarbeit und Entwicklung*) says anticorruption agreements have been included in arrangements with partner nations since 1997, adding that “The BMZ . . . is guided by the zero tolerance principle. . . . In other words, if there is a risk that German development funding could be misused, it is possible to stop the payments.”⁴⁴

Indeed, Afghanistan needs to persevere in and improve its anticorruption efforts—both for its own sake, and avoid a withering of donor support.

TESTIMONY GIVEN

· SIGAR 20-24-TY: U.S. Lessons Learned in Afghanistan

INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY BEARS SOME RESPONSIBILITY

The international community is not without blame for Afghanistan’s descent into corruption. In written testimony to the House Foreign Affairs Committee this month, IG Sopko reiterated a finding from SIGAR’s 2016 Lessons Learned corruption report that even though corruption substantially undermined the U.S. mission in Afghanistan from the very beginning

GETTING SERIOUS ABOUT CORRUPTION

of Operation Enduring Freedom, the United States also helped fuel it with short-sighted policies.

In his testimony, IG Sopko pointed to several other conclusions, saying that:⁴⁵

1. Failure to effectively address the problem means U.S. reconstruction programs will at best continue to be subverted by systemic corruption and, at worst, will fail.
2. Anticorruption efforts need to be at the center of planning and policymaking for contingencies.
3. The U.S. government should not exacerbate corruption by flooding a weak economy with too much money too quickly, with too little oversight.
4. U.S. agencies should know whom they are doing business with, and avoid empowering highly corrupt actors.
5. Strong monitoring and evaluation systems must be in place for assistance.
6. The U.S. government should maintain consistent pressure on the host government for critical reforms.

Furthermore, as SIGAR's *2019 High-Risk List* found, "The Afghan government prioritizes its anticorruption commitments when pressured by international observers. . . . If donors do not maintain this focus on anticorruption, it is unlikely that the Afghan government will follow through on its commitments."⁴⁶

CONCLUSION

The United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan plans to host another aid-pledging conference for international donors this year, after the last quadrennial pledging conference in Brussels in 2016 and an interim 2018 session in Geneva that included a panel on corruption.⁴⁷

The combination of large and continuing Afghan needs for assistance and donors' increasing impatience with the pace of anticorruption progress could make the 2020 donors' conference critical for Afghanistan's future. SIGAR believes the problem of corruption deserves to be the central issue in that conference and that donors can use SIGAR's Afghan anticorruption audits—including the third, issued this year—as a guide to how they can direct resources more effectively, monitor actual results, and exert constructive influence on the Afghan government.

Working together, the international community and its Afghan partners can stem the rot of corruption in Afghanistan. But it will take a greater commitment than we have seen so far to make transformative change.



Inspector General Sopko testifies before the House of Representatives on "U.S. Lessons Learned in Afghanistan." (SIGAR photo)

“No matter how challenging the environment, fraud is about more than dollars and cents. It can be about winning and losing wars. And it can be about living and dying.”

—*SIGAR Inspector General John Sopko*

2 SIGAR OVERSIGHT



SIGAR OVERSIGHT CONTENTS

Audits	17
Inspections	26
Special Projects	28
Lessons Learned	31
Investigations	31
Other SIGAR Oversight Activities	36
SIGAR Budget	37
SIGAR Staff	37

Photo on previous page

IG John Sopko (left) discusses challenges to Afghanistan reconstruction at a November 2019 Wilson Center event in Washington, DC. (SIGAR photo)

SIGAR OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

This quarter, SIGAR issued 19 products. SIGAR work to date has identified approximately \$3 billion in savings for the U.S. taxpayer.

SIGAR issued three performance-audit reports this quarter, reviewing anticorruption efforts undertaken by the Afghan government, USAID's emergency food-assistance efforts, and business taxes on U.S. contractors being collected by the Afghan government. In addition, SIGAR issued one alert letter concerning the current state of the U.S. government's counter-narcotics strategy in Afghanistan, and two inspection reports examining the Afghan National Police's Women's Compound at the Herat Regional Training Center, and the Kajaki Dam Irrigation Tunnel.

SIGAR completed nine financial audits of U.S.-funded contracts to rebuild Afghanistan. These financial audits covered a range of topics including USAID's Private Sector-Led Model of Sustainable Social and Economic Development, the Department of the Army's UH-60A Enhanced Phase Maintenance Inspection Program for helicopters, and USAID's Initiative for Hydration, Sanitation, and Nutrition. These financial audits identified \$4,946,880 in questioned costs as a result of internal-control deficiencies and noncompliance issues.

This quarter, SIGAR's Office of Special Projects issued two reports, which reviewed the cost of spare parts for the National Maintenance Strategy-Ground Vehicle Support contract and the Afghan justice sector's Case Management System. The office also issued one inquiry letter regarding the Ministry of Finance's decision to prohibit investigations or monitoring of its revenue-producing units.

During the reporting period, SIGAR's criminal investigations resulted in four criminal charges, five convictions, four pretrial diversions, three sentencing, a \$45 million global settlement, and over \$500,000 in fines. SIGAR initiated nine new cases and closed 22, bringing the total number of ongoing investigations to 145.

AUDITS

SIGAR conducts performance and financial audits of programs and projects connected to the U.S. reconstruction effort in Afghanistan. This quarter, SIGAR has 11 ongoing performance audits and 37 ongoing financial audits.

TESTIMONY GIVEN

- SIGAR 20-24-TY: U.S. Lessons Learned in Afghanistan

COMPLETED PERFORMANCE AUDITS

- Audit 20-06-AR: Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption
- Audit 20-10-AR: Emergency Food Assistance to Afghanistan: Incomplete Reporting and Limited Site Visits Hindered USAID's Oversight of Millions of Dollars of Food Assistance
- Audit 20-22-AR: Afghan Business Taxes: Action Has Been Taken to Address Most Tax Issues, but the Afghan Government Continues to Assess Taxes on Exempt U.S.-Funded Contracts

COMPLETED ALERT LETTERS

- Audit 20-18-AL: U.S. Counternarcotics Strategy in Afghanistan

COMPLETED FINANCIAL AUDITS

- Financial Audit 20-07-FA: USAID's Engineering Support Program in Afghanistan: Audit of Costs Incurred by Tetra Tech Inc.
- Financial Audit 20-08-FA: Department of State's Programs for Supporting Livelihoods, Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene, and Protection for Afghan Returnees, Internally Displaced Persons, and Vulnerable Host Communities in Afghanistan: Audit of Costs Incurred by the International Rescue Committee Inc.
- Financial Audit 20-09-FA: Department of the Army's UH-60A Enhanced Phase Maintenance Inspection Program in Afghanistan: Audit of Costs Incurred by Science and Engineering Services LLC
- Financial Audit 20-11-FA: Department of the Army's Non-Standard Rotary Wing Aircraft Contractor Logistics Sustainment Afghanistan Project: Audit of Costs Incurred by Leidos Innovations Corporation

Continued on the next page

Continued from the previous page

- Financial Audit 20-12-FA: USAID's Initiative for Hygiene, Sanitation, and Nutrition in Afghanistan: Audit of Costs Incurred by FHI 360 Global LLC
- Financial Audit 20-13-FA: Department of State's Efforts to Support Activities Related to Removing Landmines and Unexploded Weapons in Afghanistan: Audit of Costs Incurred by ITF Enhancing Human Security
- Financial Audit 20-14-FA: USAID's Assistance to the Legislative Bodies of Afghanistan Project: Audit of Costs Incurred by DAI Global LLC
- Financial Audit 20-16-FA: USAID's Afghan Women in the Economy Project: Audit of Costs Incurred by DAI Global LLC
- Financial Audit 20-17-FA: USAID's Private Sector-Led Model of Sustainable Social and Economic Development in Badakhshan Province: Audit of Costs Incurred by the Aga Khan Foundation

COMPLETED INSPECTION REPORTS

- Inspection Report 20-15-IP: Afghan National Police Women's Compound at the Herat Regional Training Center: Construction Deficiencies Exist, and the \$3.1 Million Compound Has No Electricity and Has Never Been Used
- Inspection Report 20-21-IP: Kajaki Dam Irrigation Tunnel: The \$27.3 Million Tunnel Is Not Operating Properly Due to Construction Deficiencies and a Maintenance Issue

COMPLETED SPECIAL PROJECTS

- Review 20-05-SP: Afghan National Maintenance Strategy—Ground Vehicle Support: DOD Has Taken Actions to Reduce Spare Parts Overhead Costs
- Review 20-20-SP: Afghanistan's Justice Sector Case Management System: Seized or Forfeited Assets Were Not Tracked or Safeguarded and Nationwide Implementation is not Complete
- Inquiry Letter 20-23-SP: Ministry of Finance Decision to Prohibit Investigations or Monitoring of Revenue Producing Units

Performance Audit Reports Issued

SIGAR issued three performance-audit reports and one alert letter this quarter. A list of completed and ongoing performance audits can be found in Appendix C of this quarterly report.

Performance Audit 20-06-AR: Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts

The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption

SIGAR found that the Afghan government has made progress in meeting its anticorruption strategy benchmarks since May 2018. However, serious challenges remain to fighting corruption. Achieving the benchmarks contained in Afghanistan's anticorruption strategy is just one way to measure progress in combating corruption. While meeting any individual benchmark is a positive development, ensuring that the broader intent of each benchmark is accomplished has been a concern of international donors and Afghan civil society. Without the political will to address challenges, including resource shortfalls at anticorruption institutions, the seeming impunity of powerful individuals, and declining activity at the corruption courts, transformative change will remain elusive.

SIGAR included eight matters for the Afghan government to consider in its continued anticorruption efforts. To improve its implementation of the Afghanistan National Strategy for Combatting Corruption and other anticorruption efforts, the Afghan government should consider: (1) increasing the resources provided to anticorruption law enforcement organizations such as the Attorney General (AGO), Anti-Corruption Justice Center (ACJC), and Afghan Major Crimes Task Force (MCTF), to provide them with the ability to increase the number of arrests and prosecutions of corrupt individuals; (2) taking action to reduce the legal and de facto immunity of powerful individuals; (3) continuing to polygraph personnel at the ACJC and the MCTF on a regular basis and increasing efforts to eliminate lost productivity from the dismissal of personnel who fail the polygraph examinations; (4) making public all anticorruption court decisions in accordance with Afghan law; (5) increasing coordination and cooperation between Afghan law enforcement organizations and international law-enforcement organizations; (6) increasing efforts to recover assets stolen from Kabul Bank and returning the funds to the Afghan Central Bank; (7) taking action to allow for the distribution of criminally derived assets to government organizations; and (8) continuing to implement the Case Management System and ensuring its systematic use among Afghan law-enforcement organizations.

Performance Audit 20-10-AR: Emergency Food Assistance to Afghanistan

Incomplete Reporting and Limited Site Visits Hindered USAID's Oversight of Millions of Dollars of Food Assistance

SIGAR found that incomplete reporting and limited site visits reduced USAID's ability to conduct oversight of its emergency food-assistance activities in Afghanistan. SIGAR also found that USAID officials conducted site visits to oversee emergency food-assistance projects between 2010 and 2014, but logistical and security challenges have since limited their ability to conduct site visits in remote areas of Afghanistan. In fact, USAID has conducted only one site visit since 2014, which was to the UN World Food Programme's central warehouse in Kabul. Despite USAID's inability to conduct site visits, the agency did not begin to develop a third-party monitoring contract for emergency food-assistance activities in Afghanistan until 2018.

SIGAR also found that USAID lacked data to evaluate whether it achieved intended outcomes related to its emergency food-assistance projects. Although USAID tracked individual incidents of misuse of its food assistance, such as theft, diversion, loss, and illicit taxation, USAID did not calculate the total amount of assistance lost or the total number of intended beneficiaries who did not receive that assistance. Without knowing the full scale of its emergency food-assistance losses, USAID could not determine the impact of its assistance.

SIGAR made three recommendations to USAID. To more effectively oversee emergency food-assistance activities implemented in Afghanistan, SIGAR recommended that the Director of the USAID Office of Food for Peace: (1) enforce reporting requirements listed in emergency food assistance awards for projects in Afghanistan, including those for reporting project activities, progress, and final results; (2) implement an alternative to conducting site visits, such as contracting with third-party monitors to help oversee USAID's emergency food assistance in Afghanistan; and (3) evaluate the efficacy of USAID's emergency food-assistance programs in Afghanistan, including the impact of the total amount of emergency food assistance lost to theft, diversion, illicit taxation, or other causes.

Performance Audit 20-22-AR: Afghan Business Taxes

Action Has Been Taken to Address Most Tax Issues, but the Afghan Government Continues to Assess Taxes on Exempt U.S.-Funded Contracts

Legislation from 2014 through 2018 required State and USAID to report to Congress any taxes assessed on their contractors by the Afghan government; the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for Fiscal Year 2014 contained a similar provision for DOD. To fulfill these requirements, agencies relied on contractors to self-report taxation.

SIGAR assessed the extent to which the Afghan government has assessed and enforced taxes and penalties on contractors implementing

COMPLETED PERFORMANCE AUDITS

- Audit 20-06-AR: Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption
- Audit 20-10-AR: Emergency Food Assistance to Afghanistan: Incomplete Reporting and Limited Site Visits Hindered USAID's Oversight of Millions of Dollars of Food Assistance
- Audit 20-22-AR: Afghan Business Taxes: Action Has Been Taken to Address Most Tax Issues, but the Afghan Government Continues to Assess Taxes on Exempt U.S.-Funded Contracts



Assessments and bank receipts document Afghan taxes levied on a U.S. contractor. (SIGAR photo)

U.S. government contracts in Afghanistan; DOD, State, and USAID have taken steps to resolve tax-related issues; and DOD, State, and USAID have developed processes to collect information and report to Congress on taxes and penalties the Afghan government has assessed.

SIGAR found that the Afghan government continues to assess taxes and penalties on U.S. government contractors, but could not determine the full extent of the problem due to unresponsive contractors and a lack of supporting documentation. SIGAR also found that the Afghan government has taken unpredictable actions that threatened the business environment. Specifically, the Afghan government has not uniformly applied the tax law, adopted impractical requirements for operating in Afghanistan, and inappropriately held shipments of U.S. Embassy supplies and humanitarian aid to enforce improper tax assessments.

Further, SIGAR found that contractors' self-reporting omitted instances of alleged improper taxation and underreported the Afghan government's taxation. In addition, the DOD reporting requirement has not been included in legislation for several years. The Afghan government has continued to assess taxes on DOD contractors, and the absence of a reporting requirement for DOD has further hindered Congressional oversight of this important issue.

This report includes one matter for Congressional consideration and one recommendation. First, Congress may wish to include a provision in future NDAs that requires DOD to collect information and fully report all types of taxes levied by the Afghan government on its contractors in Afghanistan. Second, SIGAR recommends that the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of State, and the Administrator of USAID require contractors to annually report any instances of taxation by the Afghan government.

Alert Letter 20-18-AL: U.S. Counternarcotics Strategy in Afghanistan

On September 17, 2018, the Senate Caucus on International Narcotics Control requested that SIGAR conduct a review of the U.S. government's current counternarcotics efforts in Afghanistan, including counterthreat finance (CTF) activities directed at the Afghan terrorist and insurgent narcotics trade. As part of that review, the caucus asked SIGAR to determine the status of the Department of State (State)-led interagency *U.S. Counternarcotics Strategy for Afghanistan* and State's revision of, or plans to revise, this strategy.

SIGAR found that State has not revised, nor has plans to revise, the 2012 *U.S. Counternarcotics Strategy for Afghanistan*. State officials told SIGAR that the department now follows the administration's August 2017 *Strategy in Afghanistan and South Asia* (South Asia strategy). Those officials also stated that the South Asia strategy serves as overall guidance for U.S. strategic priorities in Afghanistan and, specifically, counternarcotics efforts. SIGAR reviewed the South Asia strategy and found that it does not

COMPLETED ALERT LETTERS

- Audit 20-18-AL: U.S. Counternarcotics Strategy in Afghanistan

SIGAR OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

prioritize counternarcotics efforts or provide any goals, objectives, methods, or tactics related to countering narcotics in Afghanistan; in fact, the South Asia strategy does not mention narcotics.

While acknowledging that State has not been fully successful in the counternarcotics mission in Afghanistan, multiple senior State Bureau of South and Central Asian Affairs officials said the priority has shifted towards reaching a political settlement with the Taliban, rather than, for example, updating the counternarcotics strategy. In commenting on a draft of this letter in December 2019, State officials added that, “A political settlement could significantly improve the effectiveness of counternarcotics efforts by improving security and increasing access to areas under Taliban control where a large portion of narcotics production occurs.”

SIGAR also wrote to advise the Caucus of ongoing challenges in obtaining relevant and necessary documentation to respond to their full request. Specifically, the National Security Council declined SIGAR requests to provide key strategy documents or to interview any personnel responsible for coordinating and implementing them.

Financial Audits

SIGAR launched its financial-audit program in 2012, after Congress and the oversight community expressed concerns about oversight gaps and the growing backlog of incurred-cost audits for contracts and grants awarded in support of overseas contingency operations. SIGAR competitively selects independent accounting firms to conduct the financial audits and ensures that the audit work is performed in accordance with U.S. government auditing standards. Financial audits are coordinated with the federal inspector-general community to maximize financial-audit coverage and avoid duplication of effort.

This quarter, SIGAR completed nine financial audits of U.S.-funded contracts to rebuild Afghanistan, in addition to 37 ongoing financial audits with over \$760 million in auditable costs, as shown in Table 2.1. A list of completed and ongoing financial audits can be found in Appendix C of this quarterly report.

SIGAR issues each financial-audit report to the funding agency that made the award(s), and the funding agency is responsible for making the final determination on **questioned amounts** identified in the report’s audit findings. Since the program’s inception, SIGAR’s financial audits have identified more than \$433 million in **questioned costs** and \$364,907 in unremitted interest on advanced federal funds or other revenue amounts payable to the government. As of December 31, 2019, funding agencies had disallowed more than \$27 million in questioned amounts, which are thereby subject to collection. It takes time for funding agencies to carefully consider audit findings and recommendations. As a result, final disallowed-cost determinations remain to be made for several of SIGAR’s issued financial audits. SIGAR’s financial audits also

TABLE 2.1

SIGAR’S FINANCIAL AUDIT COVERAGE (\$ BILLIONS)	
155 completed audits	\$8.02
37 ongoing audits	0.76
Total	\$8.78

Note: Numbers have been rounded. Coverage includes auditable costs incurred by implementers through U.S.-funded Afghanistan reconstruction contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements.

Source: SIGAR Audits and Inspections Directorate.

Questioned amounts: the sum of potentially unallowable questioned costs and unremitted interest on advanced federal funds or other revenue amounts payable to the government.

Questioned costs: costs determined to be potentially unallowable. The two types of questioned costs are (1) ineligible costs (violation of a law, regulation, contract, grant, cooperative agreement, etc. or an unnecessary or unreasonable expenditure of funds); and (2) unsupported costs (those not supported by adequate documentation or proper approvals at the time of an audit).

SIGAR OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

have identified and reported 507 compliance findings and 545 internal-control findings to the auditees and funding agencies.

COMPLETED FINANCIAL AUDITS

- Financial Audit 20-17-FA: USAID's Private Sector-Led Model of Sustainable Social and Economic Development in Badakhshan Province: Audit of Costs Incurred by the Aga Khan Foundation
- Financial Audit 20-11-FA: Department of the Army's Non-Standard Rotary Wing Aircraft Contractor Logistics Sustainment Afghanistan Project: Audit of Costs Incurred by Leidos Innovations Corporation
- Financial Audit 20-16-FA: USAID's Afghan Women in the Economy Project: Audit of Costs Incurred by DAI Global LLC
- Financial Audit 20-08-FA: Department of State's Programs for Supporting Livelihoods, Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene, and Protection for Afghan Returnees, Internally Displaced Persons, and Vulnerable Host Communities in Afghanistan: Audit of Costs Incurred by the International Rescue Committee Inc.
- Financial Audit 20-07-FA: USAID's Engineering Support Program in Afghanistan: Audit of Costs Incurred by Tetra Tech Inc.
- Financial Audit 20-12-FA: USAID's Initiative for Hygiene, Sanitation, and Nutrition in Afghanistan: Audit of Costs Incurred by FHI 360 Global LLC
- Financial Audit 20-09-FA: Department of the Army's UH-60A Enhanced Phase Maintenance Inspection Program in Afghanistan: Audit of Costs Incurred by Science and Engineering Services LLC
- Financial Audit 20-13-FA: Department of State's Efforts to Support Activities Related to Removing Landmines and Unexploded Weapons in Afghanistan: Audit of Costs Incurred by IIF Enhancing Human Security
- Financial Audit 20-14-FA: USAID's Assistance to the Legislative Bodies of Afghanistan Project: Audit of Costs Incurred by DAI Global LLC

Financial Audits Issued

The nine financial audits completed in this quarter identified \$4,946,880 in questioned costs as a result of internal-control deficiencies and noncompliance issues.

Financial Audit 20-17-FA: USAID's Private Sector-Led Model of Sustainable Social and Economic Development in Badakhshan Province

Audit of Costs Incurred by the Aga Khan Foundation

On March 23, 2013, USAID awarded a \$61,147,036 cooperative agreement to the Aga Khan Foundation to support the Multi-Input Area Development Global Development Alliance. The alliance's goal was to promote a private-sector-led model of sustainable social and economic development in Afghanistan's Badakhshan Province to improve the lives of its residents. After 14 modifications, the agreement's total funding decreased to \$21,445,265, and the period of performance was extended from March 22, 2018, through June 15, 2018.

SIGAR's financial audit, performed by Williams Adley-DC LLP, reviewed \$20,494,370 in costs charged to the agreement from January 1, 2016, through June 15, 2018. The auditors identified one significant deficiency in the Aga Kahn Foundation's internal controls, and one instance of material noncompliance and two instances of noncompliance with the terms of the agreement. Williams Adley identified \$3,703,712 in questioned costs charged to the agreement related to these issues.

Financial Audit 20-11-FA: Department of the Army's Non-Standard Rotary Wing Aircraft Contractor Logistics Sustainment Afghanistan Project

Audit of Costs Incurred by Leidos Innovations Corporation

On September 1, 2014, the U.S. Army awarded a one-year, cost-plus-fixed-fee task order worth \$105,265,035 to Lockheed Martin Integrated Services to implement the Non-Standard Rotary Wing Aircraft Contractor Logistics Sustainment Afghanistan project. The task order's objective was to increase the readiness and capabilities of the Afghan security forces. The Army modified the task order 40 times, which increased the total amount to \$584,765,557, and extended the period of performance through September 30, 2018. In August 2016, a division of Lockheed Martin Integrated Services merged with a subsidiary of Leidos Holdings Inc. As a result, the task order transferred to Leidos Innovations Corporation on April 3, 2017.

SIGAR OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

SIGAR's financial audit, performed by CohnReznick LLP, reviewed \$277,948,324 in costs charged to the task order from January 1, 2017, through September 30, 2018. The auditors identified one significant deficiency in Leidos's internal controls and one instance of noncompliance with the terms of the task order and applicable regulations. CohnReznick identified \$506,898 in questioned costs charged to the task order related to these issues.

Financial Audit 20-16-FA: USAID's Afghan Women in the Economy Project

Audit of Costs Incurred by DAI Global LLC

On July 1, 2015, USAID awarded a five-year, cost-plus-fixed-fee task order for \$15 million to DAI to support the Afghan Women's Leadership in the Economy project. The project's goals were to improve employment opportunities for educated women between 18 and 30 years old, and to increase income and viability for women-owned businesses. In 2016, the project's name changed to Afghan Women in the Economy. After five modifications, the task order's total funding increased to \$44,598,984, and the period of performance decreased from June 30, 2020, to June 30, 2019.

SIGAR's financial audit, performed by Williams Adley-DC LLP, reviewed \$33,616,783 in costs charged to the task order from July 1, 2015, through June 30, 2018. The auditors identified one material weakness, one deficiency in DAI's internal controls, and two instances of noncompliance with the terms of the task order. Williams Adley identified \$403,009 in questioned costs charged to the agreement related to these issues.

Financial Audit 20-08-FA: Department of State's Programs for Supporting Livelihoods, Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene, and Protection for Afghan Returnees, Internally Displaced Persons, and Vulnerable Host Communities in Afghanistan

Audit of Costs Incurred by the International Rescue Committee Inc.

Between September 29, 2014, and September 29, 2017, State's Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration awarded four consecutive one-year cooperative agreements to the International Rescue Committee Inc. These agreements support State programs that help Afghans returning to the country, internally displaced people, and host communities in Afghanistan by increasing access to safe water, sustainable livelihoods, sanitation, and hygiene. Together, the agreements totaled \$5,831,170 and covered a four-year period from September 29, 2014, through September 28, 2018. State modified these agreements six times, which reduced total funding to \$5,406,179, and extended the period of performance for the fourth agreement through October 28, 2018.

SIGAR's financial audit, performed by Conrad LLP, reviewed \$5,290,445 charged to the agreements from September 29, 2014, through September 28,

SIGAR OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

2018. The auditors identified six significant deficiencies and one deficiency in the committee's internal controls, and seven instances of noncompliance with the terms of the cooperative agreements, applicable laws, and regulations. Conrad identified \$205,833 in questioned costs charged to the contract related to these issues.

Financial Audit 20-07-FA: USAID's Engineering Support Program in Afghanistan

Audit of Costs Incurred by Tetra Tech Inc.

On July 14, 2016, USAID awarded Tetra Tech Inc. a five-year, time-and-materials contract to provide architectural and engineering services for the agency's Engineering Support program. The program's objective is to ensure that the quality of USAID-supported infrastructure construction in Afghanistan meets international standards and follows best practices. The period of performance began on July 23, 2016, and runs through July 22, 2021. The contract's total value is \$125 million. USAID modified it six times to exercise option years and add or modify clauses, but it did not change the period of performance or value.

SIGAR's financial audit, performed by Conrad LLP, reviewed \$22,080,466 charged to the contract from July 23, 2016, through July 22, 2018. The auditors identified six internal-control deficiencies—three of them significant—and four instances of noncompliance with the terms of the contract. Conrad identified \$120,078 in questioned costs charged to the contract related to these issues.

Financial Audit 20-12-FA: USAID's Initiative for Hygiene, Sanitation, and Nutrition in Afghanistan

Audit of Costs Incurred by FHI 360 Global LLC

On May 11, 2016, USAID awarded FHI 360 a five-year, \$75,503,848, cost-plus-fixed-fee contract to support USAID's Initiative for Hygiene, Sanitation, and Nutrition. The contract's objective is to improve the nutritional status of women of reproductive age and children younger than five. USAID modified the contract four times to reflect changes in contract terms, key personnel, and the work plan, but did not change the total funding or period of performance.

SIGAR's financial audit, performed by Williams Adley-DC LLC, reviewed \$13,010,905 charged to the contract from May 11, 2016, through May 10, 2018. The auditors identified one significant deficiency and two deficiencies in FHI 360's internal controls, and two instances of noncompliance with the terms of the contract, applicable laws, and regulations. Williams Adley identified \$7,046 in questioned costs charged to the contract related to these issues.

Financial Audit 20-09-FA: Department of the Army’s UH-60A Enhanced Phase Maintenance Inspection Program in Afghanistan Audit of Costs Incurred by Science and Engineering Services LLC

On June 28, 2017, the Army awarded Science and Engineering Services LLC (SES) a 14-month, \$12,001,819, cost-plus-fixed-fee delivery order under an existing indefinite-delivery/indefinite-quantity contract. Under the order, SES was required to provide supplies and maintenance support to the Enhanced Phase Maintenance Inspection program in Afghanistan to improve the Afghan military’s ability to provide air transport. Through nine modifications, the delivery order’s value increased to \$20,031,998, and the period of performance was extended to November 30, 2019.

SIGAR’s financial audit, performed by CohnReznick LLP, reviewed \$13,954,053 in costs that SES incurred between June 28, 2017, and November 30, 2018. The auditors identified two deficiencies in SES’s internal controls—one of them significant—and two instances of noncompliance with the terms of the delivery order, applicable laws, and regulations. The auditors identified \$304 in questioned costs charged to the delivery order related to these issues.

Financial Audit 20-13-FA: Department of State’s Efforts to Support Activities Related to Removing Landmines and Unexploded Weapons in Afghanistan

Audit of Costs Incurred by ITF Enhancing Human Security

Between 2014 and 2018, the Department of State’s Bureau of Political-Military Affairs, Office of Weapons Removal and Abatement, awarded two cooperative agreements and one grant worth a total of \$1,277,979 to ITF Enhancing Human Security. These awards were intended to support activities related to removing landmines and unexploded weapons in Afghanistan. The initial period of performance for the three awards was from March 1, 2014, through September 30, 2018. However, the department modified them several times, which increased their total value to \$10,732,130 and extended the period of performance to December 18, 2019.

SIGAR’s financial audit, performed by Conrad LLP, reviewed \$10,113,202 of costs incurred from March 1, 2014, through September 30, 2018. The auditors identified two significant deficiencies in ITF’s internal controls and one instance of noncompliance with the terms of the awards and applicable laws and regulations. Conrad did not identify any questioned costs related to these issues.

Financial Audit 20-14-FA: USAID’s Assistance to the Legislative Bodies of Afghanistan Project

Audit of Costs Incurred by DAI Global LLC

On March 28, 2013, USAID awarded a \$2,769,273 cost-plus-fixed-fee task order to Development Alternatives Inc. to support the Assistance

to Legislative Bodies of Afghanistan project. The project's goals were to strengthen the legislative process in the Afghan parliament, improve its ability to conduct oversight of the executive branch, increase public outreach, and boost institutional development. After 15 modifications, the task order's total funding increased to \$24,990,827, and the period of performance was extended from March 28, 2017, through March 27, 2018. In January 2016, Development Alternatives Inc. was renamed DAI Global LLC.

SIGAR's financial audit, performed by Williams Adley-DC LLP, reviewed \$10,959,292 in costs charged to the task order from January 1, 2016, through March 27, 2018. The auditors did not identify any material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in DAI's internal controls or any instances of non-compliance with the terms of the task order. Therefore, Williams Adley did not identify any questioned costs.

COMPLETED INSPECTION REPORTS

- Inspection Report 20-15-IP: Afghan National Police Women's Compound at the Herat Regional Training Center: Construction Deficiencies Exist, and the \$3.1 Million Compound Has No Electricity and Has Never Been Used
- Inspection Report 20-21-IP: Kajaki Dam Irrigation Tunnel: The \$27.3 Million Tunnel Is Not Operating Properly Due to Construction Deficiencies and a Maintenance Issue

INSPECTIONS

Inspection Reports Issued

SIGAR issued two inspection reports this quarter. A list of completed and ongoing inspections can be found in Appendix C of this quarterly report.

Inspection Report 20-15-IP: Afghan National Police Women's Compound at the Herat Regional Training Center Construction Deficiencies Exist, and the \$3.1 Million Compound Has No Electricity and Has Never Been Used

On September 21, 2016, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) awarded a \$3.1 million firm-fixed-price contract to Assist Consultants Inc. (ACI) to design and build a compound to train 100 female students in the Afghan National Police (ANP). During the January 2019 site visits, SIGAR found four construction deficiencies, which resulted from ACI not following the contract requirements or USACE not providing adequate enforcement. SIGAR notified USACE of the deficiencies so ACI could take corrective action before the warranty expired. USACE provided documentation showing that ACI corrected one of the deficiencies. Because the compound did not have electricity, SIGAR could not fully inspect the electrical, mechanical, and plumbing systems. As a result, additional deficiencies could also exist.

SIGAR also found that project-closeout process did not follow contract specifications or Combined Security Transition Command-Afghanistan (CSTC-A) procedures. Specifically, some facilities were not complete and ready for occupancy when USACE transferred the compound to CSTC-A. In addition, USACE completed prefinal inspections only after accepting the facilities. USACE and ACI also started the warranty on some equipment and life-safety systems before ACI completed or tested them. As a result,

SIGAR OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

these items received less than one year of warranty coverage. Although the systems were installed and under warranty at the time of the January 2019 site visits, SIGAR could not fully inspect them due to the lack of electricity. Finally, SIGAR found that the ANP women's compound at the Herat RTC has never been occupied.

SIGAR made one recommendation to the CSTC-A commander to notify the Afghan Ministry of Interior of the construction deficiencies SIGAR identified at the women's compound in Herat and advise the ministry to take whatever action it deems appropriate to (1) connect the compound to a local electrical power source; (2) install cable-identification tags in the manholes and handhole access points; and (3) install flexible duct connectors on the exhaust fans.

Inspection Report 20-21-IP: Kajaki Dam Irrigation Tunnel **The \$27.3 Million Tunnel Is Not Operating Properly Due to Construction Deficiencies and a Maintenance Issue**

On January 30, 2013, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) awarded a \$25.6 million firm-fixed price contract to Metag Insaat Ticaret A.S. (METAG), a Turkish firm, to repair or replace various components of the Kajaki Dam irrigation tunnel. USACE issued the notice to proceed on February 20, 2013, and METAG was to complete the project on October 18, 2014. However, 14 contract modifications extended the completion date to October 29, 2018, and increased the contract value to \$27.3 million. The construction warranty expired on September 27, 2019.

SIGAR visited the Kajaki Dam irrigation tunnel on March 20–22, 2019, and found that METAG generally met contract requirements for the construction and repair activities that SIGAR could inspect. In addition, the tunnel was operating and diverting water for irrigation. However, SIGAR also found two construction deficiencies and one maintenance issue. The two construction deficiencies were (1) excessive backslash with the cone valves, and (2) newly installed ventilation-system motors that cannot operate continuously for more than one hour before overheating. The one maintenance issue involves the improper fastening of three emergency closure valves that prevented their proper operation. Both the deficiencies and the maintenance issue affect the proper operation of the irrigation tunnel.

SIGAR made one recommendation to the U.S. Forces-Afghanistan Commander, in coordination with the USACE Commander: to notify the Afghan Ministry of Energy and Water of the Kajaki Dam irrigation tunnel's two construction deficiencies and the maintenance issue so that the ministry can take whatever action it deems appropriate to correct them.

Status of SIGAR Recommendations

The Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, requires SIGAR to report on the status of its recommendations. This quarter, SIGAR closed 11



A plank supports emergency-closure valve pins in the Kajaki Dam Irrigation Tunnel. (SIGAR photo)

SIGAR OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

recommendations contained in six performance-audit, inspection, and financial-audit reports.

From 2009 through December 2019, SIGAR issued 370 audits, alert letters, and inspection reports, and made 1,035 recommendations to recover funds, improve agency oversight, and increase program effectiveness.

SIGAR has closed 879 of these recommendations, about 85%. Closing a recommendation generally indicates SIGAR's assessment that the audited agency either has implemented the recommendation or has otherwise appropriately addressed the issue. In some cases where the agency has failed to act, SIGAR will close the recommendation as "Not Implemented"; this quarter, SIGAR closed one recommendation in this manner. In some cases, these recommendations will be the subject of follow-up audit or inspection work.

SIGAR is also required to report on any significant recommendations from prior reports on which corrective action has not been completed. This quarter, SIGAR continued to monitor agency actions on 156 open recommendations. Eighty of these recommendations have been open for more than 12 months because the agency involved has not yet produced a corrective-action plan that SIGAR believes would resolve the identified problem, or has otherwise failed to appropriately respond to the recommendation(s).

For a complete list of open recommendations, see www.sigar.mil.

COMPLETED SPECIAL PROJECTS

- Review 20-05-SP: Afghan National Maintenance Strategy—Ground Vehicle Support: DOD Has Taken Actions to Reduce Spare Parts Overhead Costs
- Review 20-20-SP: Afghanistan's Justice Sector Case Management System: Seized or Forfeited Assets Were Not Tracked or Safeguarded and Nationwide Implementation is not Complete
- Inquiry Letter 20-23-SP: Ministry of Finance Decision to Prohibit Investigations or Monitoring of Revenue Producing Units

SPECIAL PROJECTS

SIGAR's Office of Special Projects was created to quickly obtain and access information necessary to fulfill SIGAR's oversight mandates; examine emerging issues; and deliver prompt, actionable reports to federal agencies and the Congress. Special Projects reports and letters focus on providing timely, credible, and useful information to Congress and the public on all facets of Afghanistan reconstruction. The directorate comprises a team of analysts supported by investigators, lawyers, subject-matter experts, and other specialists who can quickly and jointly apply their expertise to emerging problems and questions.

This quarter, SIGAR's Office of Special Projects issued two review reports and one inquiry letter. A list of completed Special Projects can be found in Appendix C of this quarterly report.

Review 20-05-SP: Afghan National Maintenance Strategy– Ground Vehicle Support

DOD Has Taken Actions to Reduce Spare Parts Overhead Costs

This report is a follow-up to a SIGAR audit issued in 2016 entitled *Afghan National Army: DOD Has Taken Steps to Remedy Poor Management of Vehicle Maintenance Program* (SIGAR 16-49-AR).

SIGAR found that DOD addressed the findings from the previous report on the Afghanistan Technical Equipment Maintenance Program (A-TEMP) contract when designing the National Maintenance Strategy–Ground Vehicle Support (NMS-GVS) contract. First, DOD incorporated supply-chain management into the solicitation process, instead of requiring the contractor to rely on the Afghans to conduct supply-chain management, allowing Army Contracting Command to compete the cost to perform the supply-chain management function. This resulted in a significantly lower overhead rate for spare parts, thereby potentially reducing the overall cost to acquire and deliver spare parts to the maintenance sites. Second, DOD increased oversight of the NMS-GVS contract by assigning the product manager for Allied Tactical Vehicles program oversight responsibility and hiring additional contract-oversight representatives (CORs) in Afghanistan. The CORs routinely visit Afghan Equipment Maintenance Sites and provide weekly status reports on Afghan National Army and Afghan National Police maintenance operations.

While DOD implemented many of the recommendations from the 2016 A-TEMP contract report, it is still unknown whether these actions will result in overall reduced spare-parts cost. A comparison of the fully burdened spare-parts cost for a sample of spare parts purchased on both the A-TEMP contract and the NMS-GVS contract showed both increases and decreases. This was caused primarily by the differences in the unit prices the two contractors were paying for the various parts. Also, a comparison of the unit prices under the NMS-GVS contract to Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) prices listed in FEDLOG showed that purchasing some of the parts through DLA might reduce overall costs.

SIGAR made one recommendation, that DOD modify the NMS-GVS contract to allow the contractor to use DLA to purchase spare parts when the DLA is the least expensive source. DOD agreed with the recommendation and stated that Army Contracting Command is making the necessary contract modifications to allow the contractor to use DLA for spare parts supply when it is the cheaper option.



Inspector General Sopko (second from left) and staff meet with Afghan Minister of Finance Muhammad Qayoumi (first from left). (SIGAR photo)

Review 20-20-SP: Afghanistan's Justice Sector Case Management System

Seized or Forfeited Assets Were Not Tracked or Safeguarded and Nationwide Implementation is Not Complete

The Case Management System (CMS) is a component of the Justice Sector Support Program (JSSP) managed by the State Department's Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs (INL). CMS is an online database that tracks the status of criminal and civil cases in Afghanistan, across all criminal-justice institutions, from arrest through release from prison. The Afghan Attorney General told U.S. officials that CMS would be fully operational by mid-2018. However, the implementing partner reported in its May 2019 progress report that several actions needed to institutionalize CMS were not complete.

Based on Department of Justice concerns about the slow implementation of CMS, SIGAR initiated this review to determine whether: (1) case files input into CMS by the Attorney General's Office were complete; (2) seized and forfeited assets are being safeguarded and tracked in CMS; and (3) progress is being made implementing CMS nationwide.

SIGAR found that mandatory fields were complete for 20 of the 25 cases reviewed, while five to seven of the eight mandatory fields were blank in the other five cases. SIGAR also reviewed 87 closed cases processed by the Attorney General's Office that involved seized or forfeited cash and drugs valued at about \$1.75 million, plus a number of other assets to include weapons and vehicles whose value could not be determined. The review found that there was no information in CMS tracking the location or disposition of these assets, and that the Justice Attaché was unaware of any safeguards that existed in the Afghan government to prevent the seized and forfeited assets from being stolen.

SIGAR made two recommendations to improve the completeness of records in the system and to work with the Attorney General's Office to institute controls to protect seized and forfeited assets from being stolen. State/INL agreed with SIGAR's recommendations and stated that they will: (1) continue to support the Afghan government's efforts to hire and adequately train civil servants responsible for CMS data entry; and (2) recommend that the CMS Governance Board consider adding the disposition of seized or forfeited assets as a mandatory field in the CMS database.

Inquiry Letter 20-23-SP: Ministry of Finance Decision to Prohibit Investigations or Monitoring of Revenue Producing Units

SIGAR issued an inquiry letter to clarify the intent behind the June 11, 2019, memorandum issued by the Minister of Finance prohibiting investigative and security organizations from investigating or monitoring revenue-producing units of the Ministry of Finance. The memorandum refers

SIGAR OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

to an underlying Presidential Order prohibiting investigative organizations to include the Attorney General's Office, Supreme Audit Office, National Directorate of Security, and Interior Ministry Directorate of Intelligence from conducting any investigations in Ministry of Finance affairs, particularly the Afghanistan's Customs and Revenue Department.

It appears that the June 2019 memorandum significantly limits the oversight and transparency of the revenue-generating units that are most susceptible to corruption. While the Minister states in his response that the Ministry of Finance has successfully established a transparent operational framework intended to ensure transparency and accountability, he does not offer any specifics as to how this has been accomplished, causing SIGAR to continue to be concerned about the ability of Afghan law enforcement and oversight agencies to properly monitor Ministry of Finance activities. SIGAR will review this framework and assess the limitations placed on investigative organizations as part of an ongoing anticorruption audit, as well as other work.

LESSONS LEARNED

SIGAR's Lessons Learned Program was created to identify lessons and make recommendations to Congress and executive agencies on ways to improve current and future reconstruction efforts. To date, the program has issued seven reports. Four reports are currently in development on U.S. government support to elections, monitoring and evaluation of reconstruction contracting, efforts to advance and empower women and girls, and a report on police and corrections. Issued lessons-learned reports and their companion interactive versions are posted on SIGAR's website, www.sigar.mil.


INVESTIGATIONS

During the reporting period, SIGAR's criminal investigations resulted in four criminal charges, five convictions, four pretrial diversions, three sentencing, a \$45 million global settlement, and over \$500,000 in fines. SIGAR initiated nine new cases and closed 22, bringing the total number of ongoing investigations to 145.

To date, SIGAR investigations have resulted in a cumulative total of 147 criminal convictions. Criminal fines, restitutions, forfeitures, civil settlements, and U.S. government cost savings and recoveries total over \$1.6 billion.

FIGURE 2.1

SIGAR INVESTIGATIONS: NUMBER OF OPEN INVESTIGATIONS, AS OF JANUARY 3, 2020



Source: SIGAR Investigations Directorate, 1/3/2020.

INVESTIGATION RESULTS IN A \$45 MILLION GLOBAL SETTLEMENT AND \$500,000 IN FINES

On December 2, 2019, Unitrans International Inc. (Unitrans), a privately held Virginia defense contracting company, agreed to a global settlement of \$45 million to resolve criminal-obstruction charges and civil False Claims Act allegations with the Department of Justice (DOJ) Fraud Section, Civil Division and the United States Attorney's Office (USAO) for the Eastern District of Virginia (EDVA), relating to the illegal transportation of goods across Iran in connection with a contract to provide material and logistical support to the U.S. troops in Afghanistan. The settlement comprised an \$18 million criminal fine, a payment of \$13.5 million to the DOJ Civil Division, and a victim-compensation payment of \$13.5 million to the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA).

Unitrans entered into a nonprosecution agreement (NPA) with the DOJ's Fraud Section. In connection with the NPA, Unitrans admitted that certain of its officers, as well as officers of Anham FZCO (Anham), an associated Dubai Free Zone company incorporated under the laws of the United Arab Emirates, obstructed proceedings pending before the DLA, a violation of 18 USC 1505: obstruction of proceedings before departments, agencies, and committees.

In June 2012, the DLA awarded Anham a contract to provide material and logistical support to U.S. troops in Afghanistan. This contract required Anham to certify that it would comply with all executive orders, proclamations, and statutes that prohibit U.S. persons and companies from shipping materials through Iran.

During 2011 and 2012, officers of Unitrans, which provided logistical services to Anham,

facilitated the transportation of construction materials to Afghanistan through Iran. These materials were used in the construction of a warehouse that Anham used to assist in the performance of the troop support contract Anham had with the DLA. At the time of the shipments, high-level officers at Unitrans and Anham were aware of the activity and took no action to stop the conduct.

The DOJ Fraud Section separately entered into NPAs with three corporate officers who were previously indicted in relation to the scheme. Huda Farouki (of Anham), Mazen Farouki (Unitrans), and Salah Maarouf (American International Services) agreed to NPAs for violation of obstruction of proceedings before departments, agencies and committees, and paid a combined total of \$500,000 in court-ordered fines. The individuals agreed that they criminally violated 18 USC 1505. Unitrans admitted, accepted, and acknowledged responsibility under United States law, for the acts of its officers, directors, employees, and agents.

Unitrans also resolved allegations under the False Claims Act that it, along with Anham, fraudulently induced the DLA and the U.S. Army to award wartime contracts by knowingly and falsely certifying compliance with United States sanctions against Iran, as well as the construction progress on its Bagram warehouse.

SIGAR, Homeland Security Investigations (HSI), and Defense Criminal Investigative Service (DCIS) investigated the criminal case. SIGAR and Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) assisted DOJ with the False Claims Act case.

Former U.S. Special Forces Member Pleads Guilty to Embezzlement Scheme

On January 13, 2020, in the Eastern District of North Carolina, former U.S. Army Special Forces Sergeant First Class (SFC) William Todd Chamberlain, pleaded guilty to conspiracy and theft of government property. He faces a combined maximum prison sentence of 15 years, followed by three years' supervised release, a \$500,000 fine, mandatory restitution, and forfeiture of \$40,000.

Chamberlain was part of a conspiracy involving former U.S. Army soldiers Cleo Autry, Jeffrey Cook, Deric Harper, and Barry Walls. Between 2008 and 2012, they were all deployed with a Special Forces Group under the Combined Joint Special Operations Task Force (CJSOTF) at Forward Operating Base Fenty in Jalalabad, Afghanistan. Deployed as a small team, the soldiers had access to various government funds. Their team was allotted operational funds to purchase mission-critical items that could not be obtained through normal military supply systems as well as Commander's Emergency Response Program (CERP) funds earmarked for humanitarian projects intended to benefit the local Afghan populace, such as public roads, schools and medical clinics. In addition, they received classified funds for Special Forces to support counterterrorism operations. Over time, the soldiers conspired to steal cash, purchase a substantial number of money orders, and send the funds to their spouses, to electronic bank accounts, or to various vendors. They purchased money orders ranging from \$500 to \$2,600 on at least a dozen occasions.

When first approached by law enforcement, Chamberlain and the others lied. They falsely claimed they had either won the cash gambling or brought it with them from the United States. Chamberlain was the noncommissioned officer in charge and the team engineer. Since a large portion of these funds were supposed to be used on building and maintaining the infrastructure of the forward operating base, Chamberlain would have known the amounts reflected on the receipts provided by the team were inflated.

Chamberlain's guilty plea was the result of a seven-year investigation conducted jointly by SIGAR, DCIS, the U.S. Army's Major Procurement Fraud Unit, and the FBI. Chamberlain's coconspirators pleaded guilty in 2014 and in 2019 were sentenced to three years' probation, ordered to forfeit \$40,000, and pay \$40,000 in restitution.

Two Afghan Nationals Convicted for Money Laundering

On October 22, 2019, the Afghanistan Anti-Corruption Justice Center (ACJC) Primary Court convicted Bashir Ahmad Sediqi and Rafi Baha for violations of Afghanistan anti-money-laundering and criminal-income law relating to their attempted exportation of 41.37 kg of gold. Sediqi and Baha were each sentenced to four years' incarceration and ordered to pay a fine of 100,000 afghanis (\$1,247). The ACJC Primary Court Delegation

ordered the gold, worth \$1.9 million, to be confiscated in accordance with Afghanistan criminal code.

In August 2017, SIGAR special agents at Bagram Airfield encountered Sediqi as he was attempting to depart Afghanistan via DFS Airline with the 41.37 kg of gold. After an initial interview with Sediqi, SIGAR detained the gold and, with the Afghan Major Crimes Task Force (MCTF), initiated an investigation that uncovered money-laundering violations.

The investigation identified numerous other trips whereby Sediqi, Baha, and coconspirators illegally transferred gold out of the country. It was determined that by use of a fraudulent document obtained by Baha, hundreds of millions of dollars in gold were smuggled out of Afghanistan. The ACJC Primary Court's Judicial Delegation recommended prosecution for other individuals involved in the scheme. SIGAR will continue to engage with the ACJC Prosecution Department to seek additional charges.

Prominent Afghan Official Pleads Guilty to Theft of Public Money

On December 11, 2019, in the District Court of San Diego, California, Ahmad Yusuf Nuristani pleaded guilty to a criminal information charging theft of public money, after admitting to receiving \$100,000 in U.S. government benefits by concealing foreign travel and residency between July 2015 and December 2018.

Nuristani has been a prominent politician in Afghanistan for many decades, previously serving as governor of Herat Province and chairman of the Independent Election Commission of Afghanistan during the last presidential election. In September 2018, President Ashraf Ghani appointed Nuristani to the Meshrano Jirga, the upper body of parliament in Afghanistan. Nuristani served as both the head of Afghanistan's Independent Election Commission and as an Afghan senator even as he received supplemental-security income payments from the U.S. Social Security Administration at his claimed address in El Cajon, California.

The investigation was conducted by the Social Security Administration Office of Inspector General, with significant assistance provided by SIGAR.

Former U.S. Military Members Plead Guilty to Theft Conspiracy

On October 23, 2019, in the Eastern District of North Carolina, retired U.S. Army Sergeant First Class (SFC) Jose Miguel Ortiz-Rivera, pleaded guilty to one count of conspiracy for his role in the collection and sale of stolen sensitive military equipment taken from Special Forces groups at Fort Bragg. The stolen items included computer printers, Special Forces headsets, night-vision equipment, GPS units, binoculars, weapon parts, spotting scopes, and meal packages.

On December 12, 2019, also in the Eastern District of North Carolina, Rivera's coconspirator, retired SFC Victor Cortijo, was sentenced to six

months' home confinement, four years' probation, 150 hours' community service, and was ordered to pay \$67,500 in restitution. In August 2019, Cortijo pleaded guilty to one count of conspiracy.

The investigation was led by the FBI, with assistance from SIGAR.

Suspensions and Debarments

Since 2008, SIGAR's suspension and debarment program has referred 975 entities, encompassing 535 individuals and 440 companies, for suspension or debarment based on evidence developed as part of investigations conducted by SIGAR in Afghanistan and the United States.

As of December 31, 2019, SIGAR's efforts to utilize suspension and debarment to address fraud, corruption and poor performance in Afghanistan have resulted in a total of 141 suspensions and 563 finalized debarments/special entity designations of individuals and companies engaged in U.S.-funded reconstruction projects. An additional 26 individuals and companies have entered into administrative compliance agreements with the U.S. government in lieu of exclusion from contracting since the initiation of the program. Three individuals and one additional company are currently in proposed debarment status, awaiting final adjudication of debarment referrals by agency suspension and debarment officials. SIGAR anticipates that additional individuals and companies will be referred for suspension and debarment in early 2020 based on its ongoing investigations into fraud, waste, abuse and poor performance.

Suspensions and debarments are an important tool for ensuring that agencies award contracts only to responsible entities. SIGAR's program addresses three challenges posed by U.S. policy and the contingency contracting environment in Afghanistan: the need to act quickly, the limited U.S. jurisdiction over Afghan nationals and Afghan companies, and the vetting challenges inherent in the use of multiple tiers of subcontractors. SIGAR continues to look for ways to enhance the government's responses to these challenges through the innovative use of information resources and investigative assets in both Afghanistan and the United States.

SIGAR makes referrals for suspensions and debarments based on completed investigations that SIGAR conducts or participates in. In most cases, SIGAR's referrals occur in the absence of acceptance of an allegation for criminal prosecution or remedial action by a contracting office and are therefore the primary remedy to address contractor misconduct.

In making referrals to agencies, SIGAR provides the basis for a suspension or debarment decision by the agency as well as all of the supporting documentation needed for an agency to defend that decision should it be challenged by the contractor at issue. Based on the evolving nature of the contracting environment in Afghanistan and the available evidence of contractor misconduct and/or poor performance, on occasion SIGAR has

SIGAR OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

found it necessary to refer individuals or companies on multiple occasions for consideration by agency suspension and debarment officials.

OTHER SIGAR OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

- Inspector General John F. Sopko Testifies Before the House Committee on Foreign Affairs
- Inspector General Sopko Discusses Afghanistan Reconstruction Efforts at Woodrow Wilson Center
- Inspector General Sopko Speaks at International Conference on Anti-Corruption in Fragile States in Berlin, Germany

TESTIMONY GIVEN

- SIGAR 20-24-TY: U.S. Lessons Learned in Afghanistan



Inspector General Sopko highlights the SIGAR 2019 *High-Risk List* at a November 2019 Wilson Center event in Washington, DC. (SIGAR photo)

OTHER SIGAR OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

Inspector General John F. Sopko Testifies before the House Committee on Foreign Affairs

On January 15, 2020, Inspector General Sopko testified before the House Foreign Affairs Committee at a hearing entitled “U.S. Lessons Learned in Afghanistan.” IG Sopko spoke about SIGAR’s Lessons Learned Program, and the key lessons that the program’s seven reports have identified. He highlighted the broken personnel system, unchecked corruption, and the lack of metrics used by agencies to measure the success or failure of reconstruction programs as major issues that the reports have identified as having undercut the success of the U.S. reconstruction effort in Afghanistan.

The committee, led by Chairman Eliot Engel (D-NY) and Ranking Member Michael McCaul (R-TX), inquired about a number of issues, including how executive agencies have received and implemented SIGAR’s work; the successful programs in the reconstruction effort and how that success can be replicated in other programs; the “facts vs. fantasy” in reporting about the gains for Afghans, particularly women and schoolchildren; and the ineffectiveness of the U.S. counternarcotics efforts in combating opium production in Afghanistan.

Inspector General Sopko Discusses Afghanistan Reconstruction Efforts at Woodrow Wilson Center

On November 20, 2019, the Woodrow Wilson Center in Washington, DC, hosted Inspector General John Sopko for a public discussion with Michael Kugelman, deputy director and senior associate for the center’s South Asia and Asia Program. The discussion was entitled “Eighteen Years and \$132 billion: Taking Stock of U.S. Reconstruction Efforts in Afghanistan” and was centered on lessons drawn from SIGAR’s work on the U.S. reconstruction effort in Afghanistan. IG Sopko also discussed the potential for a negotiated U.S.-Taliban peace settlement, and the high-risk areas that should be considered by policymakers in the event of such an agreement. IG Sopko also discussed the systemic failures and common cross-cutting issues that have plagued—and continue to plague—the reconstruction effort since the U.S. involvement began in 2001.

Following the discussion, Inspector General Sopko took questions from an audience that included current and former agency officials, think-tank representatives, and Afghan citizens.

Inspector General Sopko Speaks at International Conference on Anti-Corruption in Fragile States in Berlin, Germany

On November 5, 2019, the International Conference on Anti-Corruption in Fragile States in Berlin, Germany, hosted Inspector General Sopko, along with three other participants, for a high-level panel entitled “Challenges and Lessons Learnt.” IG Sopko discussed how corruption in Afghanistan has created a host of problems for the United States, and informed the audience how international development assistance can enable corruption in fragile states. He also discussed how political will is key to fighting corruption in fragile states like Afghanistan, and emphasized that oversight is critical to effective anticorruption efforts. In addition to oversight, he discussed how lessons learned from the past can be very effective in improving current and future efforts in fighting corruption.

After the panel, other SIGAR staff members spoke in breakout discussions on specific topics related to corruption in Afghanistan.

SIGAR BUDGET

SIGAR is funded through September 30, 2021, under the Further Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020, H.R. 1865, which provides the SIGAR full funding in the amount of \$54.9 million. The budget supports SIGAR’s oversight activities and products by funding SIGAR’s Audits and Inspections, Investigations, Management and Support, and Research and Analysis Directorates, as well as its Office of Special Projects and the Lessons Learned Program.

SIGAR STAFF

SIGAR’s staff count remained steady since the last report to Congress, with 184 employees on board at the end of the quarter: 18 SIGAR employees were at the U.S. Embassy Kabul and one was at Bagram Airfield. SIGAR employed seven Afghan nationals in its Kabul office to support the Forward Operations, Investigations, and Audits Directorates. In addition, SIGAR supplements its resident staff with personnel assigned to short-term temporary duty in Afghanistan. This quarter, SIGAR had 29 employees on temporary duty in Afghanistan for a total of 398 days.

“We have a mission in Afghanistan. That is to ensure that it never again becomes a safe haven for terrorists. So, until we are confident that that mission is complete, we will retain a presence to do that.”

—*Secretary of Defense Mark T. Esper*

3 RECONSTRUCTION UPDATE



RECONSTRUCTION UPDATE CONTENTS

Reconstruction in Brief	41
Status of Funds	43
Security	65
Governance	93
Economic and Social Development	123

Photo on previous page

President Donald J. Trump and President Ashraf Ghani address U.S. troops at Bagram Airfield, Afghanistan. (White House photo)



RECONSTRUCTION IN BRIEF

Section 3 of this quarterly report summarizes the key events of the reporting period as well as programs and projects concerning Afghanistan reconstruction across four sectors: Funding, Security, Governance, and Economic and Social Development.

U.S. RECONSTRUCTION FUNDING

- Cumulative appropriations for reconstruction and related activities in Afghanistan since FY 2002 totaled approximately \$137.0 billion.
- \$118.5 billion, or 87%, was appropriated to the nine largest active reconstruction funds, of which some \$10.0 billion remained to be disbursed.
- DOD reported in its latest *Cost of War Report*, dated September 30, 2019, that cumulative obligations for Afghanistan including warfighting had reached \$776.0 billion. The cost of Afghanistan reconstruction equaled 16% of this amount at that date.

U.S.-TALIBAN PEACE TALKS RESUME

- President Donald J. Trump publicly announced the restart of U.S.-Taliban talks this quarter declaring “The Taliban wants to make a deal.”
- A White House statement said President Trump’s goal is for the Taliban to demonstrate “a significant and lasting reduction in violence that would facilitate meaningful negotiations on Afghanistan’s future.”

PRELIMINARY PRESIDENTIAL RESULTS RELEASED

- On December 22, Afghanistan’s Independent Election Commission (IEC) released the long-delayed preliminary results from the September 28, 2019, election.
- According to the preliminary figures, President Ghani received 923,868 votes (50.64%), Chief Executive Abdullah received 720,990 votes (39.52%), and Gulbuddin Hekmatyar received 70,242 votes (3.85%).
- The head of the IEC said the outcome could change, as the results are subject to a review by the Electoral Complaints Commission (ECC). If President Ghani’s votes fall below 50% plus one vote and no other candidate receives a majority, there will be a run-off.

NATIONWIDE SURVEY FINDS MOST AFGHANS BELIEVE RECONCILIATION IS POSSIBLE

- In December, the Asia Foundation released its annual *Survey of the Afghan People*, which found that 36% of their randomly selected respondents believe Afghanistan

is going in the right direction, up from 33% the previous two years, but still below 2013’s high of 58%.

- According to the survey, 64% of respondents believed that reconciliation between the Afghan government and the Taliban is possible, a significant increase over the 53.5% who believed this in 2017.

FIGHTING BETWEEN THE ANDSF AND THE TALIBAN INCREASED

- Overall and casualty-producing enemy-initiated attacks this quarter (October–December 2019) were at the highest level for the fourth quarter of any year since recording began in 2010.
- DOD reports that Afghan National Defense and Security Forces casualties from May–October 2019 slightly increased compared to the same period in 2018.
- Civilian casualties this quarter decreased by 20% compared to the same period in 2018.

AFGHANISTAN WILL CONTINUE TO REQUIRE DONOR SUPPORT FOR GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS

- Afghanistan will require at least \$4.6 billion, and as much as \$8.2 billion, of donor funding, per year, through 2024, the World Bank said this quarter.
- After several years of strong gains, the growth rate of the Afghan government’s domestic revenues has stalled.

AFGHAN BOYS SEXUALLY ABUSED

- At least 165, and possibly more than 546, boys from six schools in Logar Province have been sexually abused, an Afghan civil society organization alleged.

FALLEN AFGHAN COUNTERNARCOTICS OFFICERS HONORED

- The Drug Enforcement Agency’s Purple Heart Award was awarded in December to eight DEA-trained Afghan counternarcotics officers killed and five wounded in terrorist attacks this year.
- The Afghan government completed the dissolution of the Ministry of Counter Narcotics (MCN) this quarter.

STATUS OF FUNDS CONTENTS

U.S. Reconstruction Funding for Afghanistan	44
U.S. Cost of War and Reconstruction in Afghanistan	46
Afghanistan Reconstruction Funding Pipeline	47
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund	48
Commander's Emergency Response Program	52
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities	53
Economic Support Fund	54
Food for Peace: Title II and IDA Programs	55
Foreign Disaster Assistance: IDA Programs	56
International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement	57
Migration and Refugee Assistance	58
Nonproliferation, Antiterrorism, Demining, and Related Programs	59
International Reconstruction Funding for Afghanistan	60

STATUS OF FUNDS

STATUS OF FUNDS

In accord with SIGAR’s legislative mandate, this section details the status of U.S. funds appropriated, obligated, and disbursed for reconstruction activities in Afghanistan. As of December 31, 2019, the United States had appropriated approximately \$136.97 billion for reconstruction and related activities in Afghanistan since FY 2002. Total Afghanistan reconstruction funding has been allocated as follows:


- \$86.38 billion for security (including \$4.61 billion for counternarcotics initiatives)
- \$34.96 billion for governance and development (\$4.36 billion for counternarcotics initiatives)
- \$3.90 billion for humanitarian aid
- \$11.76 billion for civilian operations

Figure 3.1 shows the nine largest active U.S. funds that contribute to these efforts. Prior to January 2019, SIGAR reported on seven major funds; the current nine-fund format includes appropriations that have placed significant amounts in other funds.

- ASFF:** Afghanistan Security Forces Fund
- CERP:** Commander’s Emergency Response Program
- DICDA:** Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities
- ESF:** Economic Support Fund
- TITLE II:** Public Law No. 480 Title II
- IDA:** International Disaster Assistance
- INCLE:** International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement
- MRA:** Migration and Refugee Assistance
- NADR:** Non-Proliferation, Antiterrorism, Demining, and Related Programs

FIGURE 3.1


U.S. APPROPRIATIONS SUPPORTING AFGHANISTAN RECONSTRUCTION EFFORTS (\$ BILLIONS)



Note: Numbers have been rounded.

Source: Details of accounts, including sources of data, are provided in Appendix B to this report.

STATUS OF FUNDS



U.S. RECONSTRUCTION FUNDING FOR AFGHANISTAN


As of December 31, 2019, cumulative appropriations for reconstruction and related activities in Afghanistan totaled approximately \$136.97 billion, as shown in Figure 3.2. This total can be divided into four major categories of reconstruction and related funding: security, governance and development, humanitarian, and oversight and operations. Approximately \$8.97 billion of these funds support counternarcotics initiatives that crosscut the security (\$4.61 billion) and governance and development (\$4.36 billion) categories. For complete information regarding U.S. appropriations, see Appendix B.

The amount provided to the nine largest active U.S. funds represents more than 86.5% (more than \$118.50 billion) of total reconstruction assistance in Afghanistan since FY 2002. Of this amount, over 89.8% (\$106.46 billion) has been obligated, and over 86.6% (nearly \$102.67 billion) has been disbursed. An estimated \$5.82 billion of the amount appropriated for these funds has expired and will therefore not be disbursed.

President Donald J. Trump signed the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 (H.R. 1158) and the Further Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020 (H.R. 1865) into law on December 20, 2019, providing appropriations for the Departments of Defense and State, the U.S. Agency for International Development, the U.S. Agency for Global Media, the Overseas Private Investment Corporation (soon to be renamed the U.S. International Development Finance Corporation) and SIGAR, among others. Also in the quarter, the Department of State, the U.S. Congress, and the Office of Management and Budget agreed on the allocation of the

FIGURE 3.2

CUMULATIVE APPROPRIATIONS BY FUNDING CATEGORY AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019 (\$ BILLIONS)



Note: Numbers have been rounded.

Source: Details of accounts, including sources of data, are provided in Appendix B to this report.

STATUS OF FUNDS

FY 2019 appropriation for the global foreign assistance accounts to specific countries, including Afghanistan, under the Section 653(a) process. These two sets of measures served to increase cumulative appropriations in the quarter, principally through additional funding of the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF), the Economic Support Fund (ESF), and the International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement (INCLE) account, as shown in Figure 3.3.

Since 2002, the United States has provided more than \$15.67 billion in on-budget assistance to the government of Afghanistan. This includes nearly \$10.08 billion provided to Afghan government ministries and institutions, and more than \$5.59 billion to three multinational trust funds—the World Bank-managed Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund (ARTF), the United Nations Development Programme-managed Law and Order Trust Fund for Afghanistan (LOTFA), and the Asian Development Bank-managed Afghanistan Infrastructure Trust Fund (AITF). Table 3.1 shows U.S. on-budget assistance disbursed to the Afghan government and multilateral trust funds.

TABLE 3.1


U.S. ON-BUDGET ASSISTANCE TO AFGHANISTAN SINCE 2002 (\$ MILLIONS)	
	Disbursements
Total On-Budget Assistance	\$15,670.70
Government-to-Government	10,079.30
DOD	9,240.10
USAID	754.02
State	85.19
Multilateral Trust Funds	5,591.39
ARTF	3,767.68
LOTFA	1,670.04
AITF	153.67

Note: Numbers have been rounded.

Source: USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020; State, response to SIGAR data call, 10/18/2018; DOD, response to SIGAR data call, 1/9/2020 and 10/19/2018; World Bank, ARTF: Administrator's Report on Financial Status as of October 22, 2019 (end of 10th month of FY 1398), accessed 1/9/2020; UNDP, LOTFA Receipts 2002–2019 and LOTFA MPTF Receipts 2002–2019, updated 1/16/2020, in response to SIGAR data call, 1/16/2020.

FIGURE 3.3

ANNUAL APPROPRIATIONS BY FUNDING CATEGORY (\$ BILLIONS)



Note: Numbers have been rounded.

Source: Details of accounts, including sources of data, are provided in Appendix B to this report.

STATUS OF FUNDS


U.S. COST OF WAR AND RECONSTRUCTION IN AFGHANISTAN

Reconstruction costs for Afghanistan equal approximately 16% of all funds obligated by the Department of Defense for Afghanistan since 2001. DOD reported in its *Cost of War Report* as of September 30, 2019, that it had obligated \$776.0 billion for Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Freedom's Sentinel in Afghanistan, including the cost of maintaining U.S. troops in Afghanistan. This amount surpassed for the first time the \$771.1 billion obligated for the U.S. missions begun in Iraq in 2003, Operation New Dawn and Operation Inherent Resolve.⁴⁸

The comparable figures for Afghanistan reconstruction, consisting of obligations (appropriated funds committed to particular programs or projects for disbursement) of the DOD, Department of State, USAID, and other agencies was \$123.6 billion at that date. Note that the DOD contribution to the reconstruction of Afghanistan is contained in both the \$776.0 billion Cost of War and \$123.6 billion Cost of Reconstruction figures. Figure 3.4 presents the annual and cumulative costs for war and reconstruction in Afghanistan.

FIGURE 3.4

AFGHANISTAN COST OF WAR AND RECONSTRUCTION, ANNUAL AND CUMULATIVE OBLIGATIONS FY 2002 TO FY 2019 (\$ BILLIONS)



Note: Numbers have been rounded. Cumulative obligations through September 30, 2019, differ markedly from cumulative appropriations through December 31, 2019, as presented elsewhere in the Status of Funds section, because the former figures do not include unobligated appropriations and DOD Cost of War reporting lags by one quarter.

Source: DOD, Cost of War Monthly Report, Total War-related Obligations by Year Incurred, data as of September 30, 2019. Obligation data shown against year funds obligated. SIGAR analysis of annual obligation of reconstruction accounts as presented in SIGAR's Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 10/30/2019. Obligation data shown against year funds appropriated.

STATUS OF FUNDS

AFGHANISTAN RECONSTRUCTION FUNDING PIPELINE

Since 2002, Congress has appropriated more than \$136.97 billion for reconstruction and related activities in Afghanistan. Of this amount, over \$118.50 billion (86.5%) was appropriated to the nine largest active reconstruction accounts, as shown in Table 3.2.

As of December 31, 2019, approximately \$10.01 billion of the amount appropriated to the nine largest active reconstruction funds remained for possible disbursement, as shown in Figure 3.5. These funds will be used to train, equip, and sustain the Afghan National Defense and Security Forces (ANDSF); complete on-going, large-scale infrastructure projects, such as those funded by the AIF and ESF; combat narcotics production and trafficking; and advance the rule of law, strengthen the justice sector, and promote human rights.

TABLE 3.2


CUMULATIVE AMOUNTS APPROPRIATED, OBLIGATED, DISBURSED, AND REMAINING FY 2002–2020 (\$ BILLIONS)				
	Appropriated	Obligated	Disbursed	Remaining
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF)	\$80.95	\$72.06	\$71.31	\$6.52
Economic Support Fund (ESF)	20.85	19.60	17.36	2.58
International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement (INCLE)	5.33	5.09	4.44	0.69
Commander's Emergency Response Program (CERP)	3.71	2.29	2.29	0.00
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities (DICDA)	3.29	3.26	3.26	0.03
Migration and Refugee Assistance (MRA)	1.43	1.42	1.40	0.02
Public Law 480 Title II Emergency (Title II)	1.10	1.10	1.10	0.00
International Disaster Assistance (IDA)	1.00	0.95	0.80	0.17
Non-Proliferation, Antiterrorism, Demining, and Related (NADR)	0.84	0.70	0.70	0.00
Total Nine Largest Active Accounts	118.50	106.46	102.67	10.01
Other Reconstruction Funds	6.72			
Civilian Operations	11.76			
Total	\$136.97			

Note: Numbers have been rounded. The amount remaining reflects the total disbursement potential of the nine largest active reconstruction accounts after deducting approximately \$5.82 billion that has expired. Expired funds equal the amount appropriated but not obligated after the period of availability for obligation has ended and thereafter includes amounts deobligated and canceled. The amount remaining for potential disbursement for Other Reconstruction Funds equals approximately \$1.10 million; for Civilian Operations the amount can not be determined but likely equals less than one-half of the most recent annual appropriation.


Source: SIGAR analysis of appropriation laws and obligation and disbursement data provided by DOD, State, and USAID, 1/20/2020.

FIGURE 3.5

STATUS OF APPROPRIATED FUNDS, NINE LARGEST ACTIVE ACCOUNTS (\$ BILLIONS)



STATUS OF FUNDS



ASFF FUNDS TERMINOLOGY

Appropriations: Total monies available for commitments

Obligations: Commitments to pay monies

Disbursements: Monies that have been expended

Financial and Activity Plan: DOD notification to Congress of its plan for obligating the ASFF appropriation, as well as updates to that plan involving any proposed new projects or transfer of funds between budget subactivity groups in excess of \$20 million, as required by the annual DOD appropriation act.

Reprogramming: Shifting funds within an appropriation or fund to use them for purposes other than those contemplated at the time of appropriation.

Rescission: Legislation enacted by Congress that cancels the availability of budget authority previously enacted before the authority would otherwise expire.

Source: GAO, Glossary of Terms Used in the Federal Budget Process, 9/2005; DOD, response to SIGAR data call, 1/23/2020.

AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND

Congress created the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF) to provide the ANDSF with equipment, supplies, services, training, and funding for salaries, as well as facility and infrastructure repair, renovation, and construction.⁴⁹ The primary organization responsible for building the ANDSF is the Combined Security Transition Command-Afghanistan (CSTC-A).⁵⁰ A **Financial and Activity Plan (FAP)** setting forth the budget for each annual ASFF appropriation must be approved by the Afghanistan Resources Oversight Council (AROC), concurred in by the Department of State, and prior notification provided to the U.S. Congress before ASFF funds may be obligated.⁵¹

President Donald J. Trump signed into law the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020, on December 20, 2019, which under Division A-Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2020, provided an appropriation of \$4.20 billion for ASFF FY 2020 and a **rescission** of \$396.00 million for ASFF FY 2019. This decrease in the funding for ASFF FY 2019 follows a \$604.00 million reduction through **Reprogramming** Action FY 19-02 RA in May 2019, bringing the original ASFF FY 2019 appropriation of \$4.92 billion down to an adjusted appropriation of \$3.92 billion as shown below in Figure 3.6.⁵² As of December 31, 2019, cumulative appropriations for ASFF stood at \$80.95 billion, with \$72.06 billion in funding having been obligated, and \$71.31 billion having been disbursed, as shown in Figure 3.7. DOD reported that cumulative obligations increased

FIGURE 3.6

ASFF APPROPRIATED FUNDS BY FISCAL YEAR (\$ BILLIONS)





FIGURE 3.7

ASFF FUNDS, CUMULATIVE COMPARISON (\$ BILLIONS)



Note: Numbers have been rounded. Data reflects reprogramming actions and rescissions. DOD reprogrammed \$1 billion from FY 2011 ASFF, \$1 billion from FY 2012 ASFF, \$178 million from FY 2013 ASFF, and \$604 million from FY 2019 ASFF to fund other DOD requirements. DOD reprogrammed \$230 million into FY 2015 ASFF. ASFF data reflect the following rescissions: \$1 billion from FY 2012 in Pub. L. No. 113-6, \$764.38 million from FY 2014 in Pub. L. No. 113-235, \$400 million from FY 2015 in Pub. L. No. 114-113, \$150 million from FY 2016 in Pub. L. No. 115-31, and \$396 million from FY 2019 in Pub. L. No. 116-93.

Source: DFAS, "AR(M) 1002 Appropriation Status by FY Program and Subaccounts December 2019," 1/19/2020; DFAS, "AR(M) 1002 Appropriation Status by FY Program and Subaccounts September 2019," 10/18/2019; Pub. L. Nos. 116-93, 115-141, 115-31, 114-113, 113-235, 113-76, and 113-6; OSD Comptroller, 16-22 PA: Omnibus 2016 Prior Approval Request, 6/30/2016.

STATUS OF FUNDS

by more than \$305.67 million during the quarter ending December 31, 2019, and that cumulative disbursements increased by nearly \$415.50 million.⁵³

ASFF Budget Activities

DOD budgeted and reported on ASFF by three **Budget Activity Groups** (BAGs) through the FY 2018 appropriation. These BAGs consisted of:

- Defense Forces (Afghan National Army, ANA)
- Interior Forces (Afghan National Police, ANP)
- Related Activities (primarily Detainee Operations)

Funds for each BAG are further allocated to four **subactivity groups** (SAGs): Sustainment, Infrastructure, Equipment and Transportation, and Training and Operations. The AROC must approve the requirement and acquisition plan for any service requirements in excess of \$50 million annually and for any nonstandard equipment requirement in excess of \$100 million.⁵⁴

As of December 31, 2019, DOD had disbursed more than \$69.29 billion from the ASFF appropriations for FY 2005 through FY 2018. Of this amount, more than \$47.48 billion was disbursed for the ANA, and nearly \$21.44 billion was disbursed for the ANP.

As shown in Figure 3.8, the largest portion of the funds disbursed for the ANA—nearly \$23.48 billion—supported ANA sustainment for the troops and equipment. Of the funds disbursed for the ANP, the largest portion—more than \$9.57 billion—also supported sustainment of ANP forces, shown in Figure 3.9.⁵⁵

Budget Activity Groups: Categories within each appropriation or fund account that identify the purposes, projects, or types of activities financed by the appropriation or fund.

Subactivity Groups: Accounting groups that break down the command's disbursements into functional areas.

Source: DOD, Manual 7110.1-M Department of Defense Budget Guidance Manual, accessed 9/28/2009; Department of the Navy, Medical Facility Manager Handbook, p. 5, accessed 10/2/2009.

FIGURE 3.8

ASFF DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE ANA BY SUBACTIVITY GROUP, FY 2005 TO FY 2018 APPROPRIATIONS THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2019 (\$ BILLIONS)





FIGURE 3.9

ASFF DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE ANP BY SUBACTIVITY GROUP, FY 2005 TO FY 2018 APPROPRIATIONS THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2019 (\$ BILLIONS)



Note: Numbers have been rounded. Excludes the ASFF FY 2019 and FY 2020 appropriations, which are presented by four Budget Activity Groups, consisting of the ANA, ANP, AAF, and ASFF.

Source: DFAS, "AR(M) 1002 Appropriation Status by FY Program and Subaccounts December 2019," 1/19/2020.

STATUS OF FUNDS

New ASFF Budget Activity Groups for FY 2019 and FY 2020

DOD revised its budgeting and reporting framework for ASFF beginning with its ASFF budget request for FY 2019, submitted to Congress in February 2018, and with its reporting beginning on October 1, 2018. The new framework restructures the Afghan National Army (ANA) and Afghan National Police (ANP) budget activity groups (BAGs) to better reflect the ANDSF force structure and new budget priorities. In FY 2018 and previous years, all costs associated with the Afghan Air Force (AAF) fell under the ANA BAG and costs for the Afghan Special Security Forces (ASSF) were split between the ANA and ANP BAGs. Beginning with the ASFF FY 2019 appropriation, the ANDSF consists of the ANA, ANP, AAF, and ASSF BAGs, as presented below in Table 3.3.

TABLE 3.3

ASFF FY 2019 BUDGET, OBLIGATIONS, AND DISBURSEMENTS THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2019 (\$ MILLIONS)			
Budget Activity Groups	Revised Budget Post-Rescission	Obligations	Disbursements
Afghan National Army	\$1,378.03	\$798.94	\$595.76
Afghan National Police	676.47	351.19	251.97
Afghan Air Force	1,099.03	835.27	788.75
Afghan Special Security Forces	766.48	360.63	353.90
Total	\$3,920.00	\$2,346.02	\$1,990.38

Note: All numbers have been rounded, including totals, and consequently may not match precisely. Disbursements total excludes undistributed disbursements of \$31.30 million.

Source: DOD, Fiscal Year 2019, Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF), Line Item Detail, 1/15/2020; AR(M) 1002 Appropriation Status by FY Program and Subaccounts December 2019, 1/19/2020.

Table 3.4 on the opposite page tracks the evolution of the ASFF FY 2019 budget beginning with Financial and Activity Plan 19-1 (FAP 19-1), which aligned the Administration's ASFF FY 2019 Budget Request of \$5.20 billion with the actual FY 2019 appropriation amount of \$4.92 billion, through the reprogramming action in May 2019, the realignment of budget priorities through FAP 19-2 (June 2019) and FAP 19-3 (October 2019), and most recently, the rescission enacted in December 2019.⁵⁶

NATO ANA Trust Fund

The NATO ANA Trust Fund (NATF) has contributed more than \$1.63 billion to ASFF for specific projects funded by donor nations, and ASFF has returned more than \$395.66 million of these funds following the cancellation or completion of these projects. DOD has obligated nearly \$990.23 million and disbursed nearly \$842.12 million of NATF-contributed funds through ASFF as of November 30, 2019.⁵⁷ These amounts are not reflected in the U.S. government-funded ASFF obligation and disbursement numbers presented in Figures 3.6 and 3.7.

STATUS OF FUNDS

TABLE 3.4

ASFF FY 2019 APPROPRIATION, REPROGRAMMING ACTION, BUDGET REALIGNMENTS (FAP 19-2 AND FAP 19-3), AND RESCISSION (\$ MILLIONS)					
	FY 2019 Appropriated (FAP 19-1)	May 2019 Reprogram- ming Action	Budget Re- alignments (FAPs 19-2 and 19-3)	Dec. 2019 Enacted Rescission	Dec. 2019 Revised Budget
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, Total	\$4,920.00	(\$604.00)	\$ —	(\$396.00)	\$3,920.00
Afghan National Army, Total	1,639.99	(279.00)	17.04		1,378.03
Sustainment, Total	1,274.99	(251.00)	24.10		1,048.10
Personnel	608.95	(185.79)	(0.94)		422.22
Ammunition	88.62	(23.73)	23.54		88.43
Communications & Intelligence	187.63	(30.62)	(12.48)		144.53
Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants	52.23	57.75	0.00		109.97
All Other	337.57	(68.60)	13.98		282.95
Infrastructure, Total	137.73	(1.10)	19.83		156.46
Equipment and Transportation, Total	62.17	(5.70)	(21.15)		35.33
Training and Operations, Total	165.10	(21.20)	(5.75)		138.14
Afghan National Police, Total	726.26	(117.20)	67.40		676.47
Sustainment, Total	497.55	(72.17)	84.64		510.02
Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants	105.47	(28.58)	0.00		76.88
All Other	392.09	(43.59)	84.64		433.1w4
Infrastructure, Total	42.98	(26.13)	(6.00)		10.85
Equipment and Transportation, Total	14.55	(6.60)	11.17		19.13
Training and Operations, Total	171.17	(12.30)	(22.41)		136.46
Afghan Air Force, Total	1,728.26	(71.90)	(161.34)	(396.00)	1,099.03
Sustainment, Total	893.17	(51.04)	(162.57)		679.56
Personnel	33.53	(21.39)	21.39		33.53
Ammunition	98.27	(26.59)	25.23		96.91
Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants	56.40	(36.42)	26.70		46.68
Aircraft Contracted Support	692.29	32.00	(234.52)		489.77
All Other	12.69	1.35	(1.38)		12.66
Infrastructure, Total	30.35	(5.50)	0.00		24.85
Equipment and Transportation, Total	537.55	(6.09)	14.30	(396.00)	149.76
Aircraft	529.31	(5.61)	14.30	(396.00)	142.01
Other Equipment and Tools	8.24	(0.49)	0.00		7.75
Training and Operations, Total	267.19	(9.27)	(13.07)		244.85
Afghan Special Security Forces, Total	825.48	(135.90)	76.90		766.48
Sustainment, Total	476.94	(100.34)	8.16		384.77
Aircraft Sustainment	132.91	44.28	0.00		177.19
Personnel	142.66	(79.42)	24.48		87.71
All Other	201.37	(65.19)	(16.32)		119.86
Infrastructure, Total	43.13	(1.54)	8.40		49.99
Equipment and Transportation, Total	152.03	(34.02)	19.17		137.18
Training and Operations, Total	153.37	0.00	41.17		194.54


The ASFF FY 2019 budget reduction of \$1.0 billion has three major components:

- The ANA, AAF, and ASSF Personnel budgets were reduced by \$241.68 million, primarily through the reprogramming action.
- AAF Aircraft Contracted Support was reduced by \$202.52 million, primarily through budget realignments.
- AAF UH-60 helicopter procurement was eliminated through the \$396.00 million rescission.

Note: DOD reprogrammed \$1.50 billion from various accounts, including \$604.00 million from the ASFF FY 2019 account, to the Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense (DICDA) FY 2019 account as part of Reprogramming Action FY 19-02 RA on May 10, 2019, to support Department of Homeland Security (DHS) counterdrug activities along the U.S. southern border. See SIGAR Quarterly Report to the U.S. Congress, July 30, 2019 at pages 48–49 for additional information. Numbers have been rounded. Aircraft Contracted Support consists of the Aircraft Sustainment budget category less Ammunition and NSRWA Technical Assistance.

Source: DOD, Fiscal Year 2019, Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF), Line Item Detail, two versions received, 1/15/2020 and 7/16/2019.

STATUS OF FUNDS



CERP FUNDS TERMINOLOGY

Appropriations: Total monies available for commitments

Obligations: Commitments to pay monies

Disbursements: Monies that have been expended

COMMANDER'S EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM

The Commander's Emergency Response Program (CERP) enables U.S. commanders in Afghanistan to respond to urgent, small-scale, humanitarian relief and reconstruction requirements in their areas of responsibility by supporting programs that will immediately assist the local population. Funding under this program is intended for small projects estimated to cost less than \$500,000, although larger projects costing up to \$2 million may be authorized with appropriate Congressional notification.⁵⁸

The Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2020, decreased the annual appropriation for CERP from \$10.0 million in FY 2019 to \$5.0 million in FY 2020, bringing total cumulative funding to nearly \$3.71 billion. Notably, CERP annual appropriations had equaled or exceeded \$400.00 million per year during the FY 2008 to FY 2012 period, as shown in Figure 3.10, and nearly \$1.12 billion in appropriations from this period were realigned to other Operations and Maintenance, Army, account requirements or expired without being disbursed. DOD reported that CERP cumulative appropriations, obligations, and disbursements stood at approximately \$3.71 billion, \$2.29 billion, and \$2.29 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2019, as shown in Figure 3.11.⁵⁹

FIGURE 3.10

CERP APPROPRIATIONS BY FISCAL YEAR
(\$ MILLIONS)





FIGURE 3.11

CERP FUNDS, CUMULATIVE COMPARISON
(\$ BILLIONS)




Note: Numbers have been rounded. Data may include interagency transfers. Analysis includes data from a draft DOD financial report because the final version had not been completed when this report went to press.

Source: DOD, response to SIGAR data call, 1/17/2020 and 10/17/2019; OMB, response to SIGAR data call, 1/4/2013; Pub. L. Nos. 115-141, 115-31, 114-113, 113-235, 113-76, 113-6, 112-74, 112-10.

DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

The Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities (DICDA), Defense appropriation provides funding for efforts intended to stabilize Afghanistan by combating the drug trade and related activities. The DOD Counterdrug group allocates this funding to support the Counternarcotics Police of Afghanistan units (mentored by the DEA and U.S. Army Special Forces unit) who investigate high-value targets and conduct drug-interdiction operations. Funding is also provided to the Afghanistan Special Mission Wing (SMW) to support their fleet of rotary- and fixed-wing aircraft. The SMW's aircraft provide air mobility to conduct intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance operations aimed at counterdrug and counter-terrorism operations in country.⁶⁰

The DOD Counterdrug group reprograms appropriated DICDA funds from the Central Transfer Account (CTA) to the military services and defense agencies, which track obligations of the transferred funds. The group allocated funding to Afghanistan programs and transferred \$132.36 million to the CTA in the quarter ending March 31, 2019, but withdrew \$122.18 million of these funds in the quarter ending September 30, 2019, resulting in a net transfer of \$10.18 million for FY 2019, as shown in Figure 3.12.⁶¹ A transfer of \$33.81 million in DICDA funds for Afghanistan programs in the first quarter of FY 2020 resulted in cumulative amounts appropriated and transferred from the CD CTA reaching \$3.29 billion at December 31, 2019, as shown in Figure 3.13.⁶²



DICDA FUNDS TERMINOLOGY

- Appropriations:** Total monies available for commitments
- Obligations:** Commitments to pay monies
- Disbursements:** Monies that have been expended

FIGURE 3.12

DICDA APPROPRIATIONS BY FISCAL YEAR
(\$ MILLIONS)





FIGURE 3.13


DICDA FUNDS, CUMULATIVE COMPARISON
(\$ BILLIONS)



Note: Numbers have been rounded. DOD reprogrammed \$125.13 million out of FY 2015 DICDA and \$122.18 million out of FY 2019 DICDA due to requirements for the Afghanistan Special Mission Wing being funded from the ASFF instead of DICDA.
^a DOD reprograms all DICDA funds to the military services and defense agencies for obligation and disbursement.

Source: DOD, response to SIGAR data call, 1/10/2020 and 10/9/2019; OSD Comptroller, 15-23 PA: Omnibus 2015 Prior Approval Request, 6/30/2015, p. 42.

STATUS OF FUNDS



ESF FUNDS TERMINOLOGY

Appropriations: Total monies available for commitments

Obligations: Commitments to pay monies

Disbursements: Monies that have been expended

ECONOMIC SUPPORT FUND

Economic Support Fund (ESF) programs are intended to advance U.S. interests by helping countries meet short- and long-term political, economic, and security needs. ESF programs support counterterrorism; bolster national economies; and assist in the development of effective, accessible, independent legal systems for a more transparent and accountable government.⁶³

The ESF was allocated \$350.00 million for Afghanistan for FY 2019 through the Section 653(a) consultation process that was concluded among State, the U.S. Congress and OMB in the quarter ending December 31, 2019. This represents a 30% reduction from the \$500.00 million allocation for FY 2018. The Section 653(a) allocations to Afghanistan for the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs appropriation for FY 2020 enacted on December 20, 2019, have not been determined. Cumulative appropriations for the ESF stands at nearly \$20.85 billion, of which more than \$19.60 billion had been obligated and more than \$17.36 billion had been disbursed as of December 31, 2019.⁶⁴ Figure 3.14 below shows ESF appropriations by fiscal year, and Figure 3.15 shows cumulative appropriations, obligations, and disbursements as of September 30 and December 31, 2019.

FIGURE 3.14

ESF APPROPRIATIONS BY FISCAL YEAR
(\$ BILLIONS)





FIGURE 3.15

ESF FUNDS, CUMULATIVE COMPARISON
(\$ BILLIONS)




Note: Numbers have been rounded. Data reflects the following transfers from AIF to the ESF: \$101 million for FY 2011, \$179.5 million for FY 2013, and \$55 million for FY 2014. FY 2016 ESF for Afghanistan was reduced by \$179 million and put toward the U.S. commitment to the Green Climate Fund.

Source: USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020 and 10/16/2019; State, response to SIGAR data call, 1/3/2020, 10/11/2017, 5/4/2016, 10/20/2015, 4/15/2015, and 4/15/2014.

FOOD FOR PEACE: TITLE II AND IDA PROGRAMS

USAID's Office of Food for Peace (FFP) administers Public Law 480 Title II and International Disaster Assistance (IDA) account resources that are requested and appropriated on a contingency basis to meet humanitarian needs worldwide, with a focus on emergency food and nutrition assistance. Food for Peace Title II resources are authorized by the Food for Peace Act and appropriated under the Agriculture appropriations bill, while IDA resources are authorized by the Foreign Assistance Act and Global Food Security Act and appropriated under the State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs appropriation. FFP obligates funding for emergency food-assistance projects when there is an identified need and local authorities lack the capacity to respond.⁶⁵

FFP reports that it obligated nearly \$74.00 million in FY 2018, more than \$100.80 million in FY 2019, and \$5.50 million in the first quarter of FY 2020 for food assistance programs in Afghanistan. All of these obligations were undertaken with IDA funds except for the use of more than \$4.22 million in Title II Emergency funds in FY 2018. They noted that Title II funds must be used primarily for procurement of agricultural commodities in the United States, while IDA funds can be used more flexibly for local and regional procurement of commodities, food vouchers, and cash transfers. FFP stated that current plans do not require the use of Title II resources for Afghanistan in FY 2020, but these plans may change.⁶⁶ Figure 3.16 presents annual appropriations of Title II funds, and Figure 3.17 presents cumulative appropriated and transferred funds at September 30 and December 31, 2019.⁶⁷



TITLE II FUNDS TERMINOLOGY

Appropriations: Total monies available for commitments

Obligations: Commitments to pay monies

Disbursements: Monies that have been expended

FIGURE 3.16

TITLE II APPROPRIATIONS BY FISCAL YEAR
(\$ MILLIONS)





FIGURE 3.17

TITLE II FUNDS, CUMULATIVE COMPARISON
(\$ BILLIONS)




Note: Numbers have been rounded.

^a Title II Emergency account resources are requested and appropriated on a contingency basis to meet unmet humanitarian needs.

Source: USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020 and 10/17/2019.

STATUS OF FUNDS



IDA FUNDS TERMINOLOGY

Appropriations: Total monies available for commitments

Obligations: Commitments to pay monies

Disbursements: Monies that have been expended

FOREIGN DISASTER ASSISTANCE: IDA PROGRAMS

USAID’s Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance (OFDA) teams with the Office of Food for Peace (FFP) to administer International Disaster Assistance (IDA) funds.⁶⁸ OFDA is responsible for leading and coordinating the U.S. government response to disasters overseas. Its major programs include Relief Commodities & Logistics Support, Shelter & Settlements, Humanitarian Coordination & Information Management, Health, Protection, and WASH (water, sanitation, and hygiene). OFDA works closely with international partners such as the United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), the United Nations World Health Organization (WHO), and others to deliver goods and services to assist conflict- and disaster-affected populations in Afghanistan.⁶⁹

USAID reported that more than \$997.92 million in IDA funds had been allocated to Afghanistan from 2002 through December 31, 2019, with obligations of nearly \$945.87 million and disbursements of nearly \$799.23 million reported as of that date.⁷⁰ Separately, OFDA reported that nearly \$520.61 million in IDA funds had been awarded to programs in Afghanistan from 2002 through December 31, 2019, with more than \$50.88 million obligated in FY 2019 and more than \$2.50 million obligated in the first quarter of FY 2020.⁷¹ Figure 3.18 presents annual appropriations of IDA funds to Afghanistan. Figure 3.19 presents cumulative appropriations, obligations, and disbursements.

FIGURE 3.18

IDA APPROPRIATIONS BY FISCAL YEAR
(\$ MILLIONS)





FIGURE 3.19

IDA FUNDS, CUMULATIVE COMPARISON
(\$ BILLIONS)




Note: Numbers have been rounded. Data may include interagency transfers.

Source: USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020 and 10/16/2019.

INTERNATIONAL NARCOTICS CONTROL AND LAW ENFORCEMENT

The Department of State's Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs (INL) manages the International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement (INCLE) account which funds projects and programs for advancing the rule of law and combating narcotics production and trafficking. INCLE supports several INL program groups, including police, counternarcotics, and rule of law and justice.⁷²

The INCLE account was allocated \$87.80 million for Afghanistan for FY 2019 through the Section 653(a) consultation process that was concluded among State, the U.S. Congress and OMB in the quarter ending December 31, 2019. This represents a 45% reduction from the \$160.00 million allocation for FY 2018. The Section 653(a) allocations to Afghanistan for the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs appropriation for FY 2020 enacted on December 20, 2019, have not been determined. Cumulative funding for INCLE stands at more than \$5.33 billion, of which nearly \$5.09 billion has been obligated and more than \$4.44 billion has been disbursed as of December 31, 2019. Figure 3.20 shows INCLE appropriations by fiscal year, and Figure 3.21 shows cumulative appropriations, obligations, and disbursements as of September 30 and December 31, 2019.⁷³



INCLE FUNDS TERMINOLOGY

- Appropriations:** Total monies available for commitments
- Obligations:** Commitments to pay monies
- Disbursements:** Monies that have been expended

FIGURE 3.20

INCLE APPROPRIATIONS BY FISCAL YEAR
(\$ MILLIONS)






FIGURE 3.21

INCLE FUNDS, CUMULATIVE COMPARISON
(\$ BILLIONS)



Note: Numbers have been rounded. Data may include interagency transfers.
Source: State, response to SIGAR data call, 1/10/2020, 1/3/2020, and 10/10/2019.

STATUS OF FUNDS



MRA FUNDS TERMINOLOGY

Appropriations: Total monies available for commitments

Obligations: Commitments to pay monies

Disbursements: Monies that have been expended

MIGRATION AND REFUGEE ASSISTANCE

The Department of State's Bureau of Population, Refugees and Migration (PRM) administers the Migration and Refugee Assistance (MRA) account that funds programs to protect and assist refugees, conflict victims, internally displaced persons, stateless persons, and vulnerable migrants. Through MRA, PRM supports the work of the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), other international organizations, and various nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) in Afghanistan to support Afghan refugees throughout the region and upon their return to Afghanistan.⁷⁴

The MRA allocation for Afghan refugees, internally displaced persons, and returnees was nearly \$77.19 million for FY 2018, and nearly \$85.40 million for FY 2019, but only slightly more than \$0.71 million in the first quarter of FY 2020. Cumulative appropriations since 2002 totaled nearly \$1.43 billion as of December 31, 2019, with cumulative obligations and disbursements reaching nearly \$1.42 billion and nearly \$1.40 billion, respectively, on that date. Figure 3.22 shows MRA appropriations by fiscal year, and Figure 3.23 shows cumulative appropriations, obligations, and disbursements as of September 30 and December 31, 2019.⁷⁵

FIGURE 3.22

MRA APPROPRIATIONS BY FISCAL YEAR
(\$ MILLIONS)





FIGURE 3.23

MRA FUNDS, CUMULATIVE COMPARISON
(\$ BILLIONS)




Note: Numbers have been rounded. Data may include interagency transfers.

Source: State, response to SIGAR data call, 1/16/2020 and 10/16/2019.

NONPROLIFERATION, ANTITERRORISM, DEMINING, AND RELATED PROGRAMS

The Non-Proliferation, Antiterrorism, Demining, and Related Programs (NADR) account plays a critical role in improving the Afghan government’s capacity to address terrorist threats, protect its borders, and remove dangerous explosive remnants of war.⁷⁶ The majority of NADR funding for Afghanistan is funneled through two subaccounts, Antiterrorist Assistance (ATA) and Conventional Weapons Destruction (CWD), with additional funds going to Export Control and Related Border Security (EXBS) and Counterterrorism Financing (CTF).⁷⁷ The Office of Foreign Assistance Resources makes allocated funding available to relevant bureaus and offices that obligate and disburse these funds.⁷⁸

The NADR account was allocated \$38.30 million for Afghanistan for FY 2019 through the Section 653(a) consultation process that was concluded among State, the U.S. Congress and OMB in the quarter ending December 31, 2019. This represents a 5% increase from the \$36.6 million allocation for FY 2018. The Section 653(a) allocations to Afghanistan for the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs appropriation for FY 2020 enacted on December 20, 2019, have not been determined. Figure 3.24 shows annual allocations to the NADR account, and Figure 3.25 shows that the cumulative total of NADR funds appropriated and transferred increased from \$804.54 million as of September 30, 2019, to \$842.84 million at December 31, 2019.⁷⁹



NADR FUNDS TERMINOLOGY

Appropriations: Total monies available for commitments

Obligations: Commitments to pay monies

Disbursements: Monies that have been expended

FIGURE 3.24

NADR APPROPRIATIONS BY FISCAL YEAR
(\$ MILLIONS)





FIGURE 3.25

NADR FUNDS, CUMULATIVE COMPARISON
(\$ MILLIONS)



Note: Numbers have been rounded.

^a State and Congress agree on the country-by-country allocation of annual appropriations for the foreign assistance accounts, including NADR, through the Section 653(a) process. The Office of Foreign Assistance Resources makes allocated funding available to relevant bureaus at State that obligate and disburse these funds.

Source: State, response to SIGAR data call, 1/3/2020.

STATUS OF FUNDS

INTERNATIONAL RECONSTRUCTION FUNDING FOR AFGHANISTAN


The international community provides significant funding to support Afghanistan relief and reconstruction efforts. A large share of the international funding is administered through multilateral trust funds. The four main multilateral trust funds are the World Bank-managed Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund (ARTF), the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)-managed Law and Order Trust Fund for Afghanistan (LOTFA), the NATO-managed Afghan National Army (ANA) Trust Fund (NATO ANA Trust Fund or NATF), and the Asian Development Bank-administered Afghanistan Infrastructure Trust Fund (AITF). The UN's Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) leads emergency appeals and annual or multiyear humanitarian response plans for Afghanistan, and provides timely reporting of humanitarian assistance provided by donors to facilitate funding of targeted needs.

Contributions to the Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund

The largest share of international contributions to the Afghan government's operational and development budgets comes through the ARTF. From 2002 to October 22, 2019, the World Bank reported that 34 donors had paid in nearly \$11.93 billion.⁸⁰ Figure 3.26 shows the four largest donors over this

FIGURE 3.26

CUMULATIVE CONTRIBUTIONS TO ARTF, UN OCHA-COORDINATED PROGRAMS, LOTFA, AND NATO ANA TRUST FUND SINCE 2002 BY 10 LARGEST DONORS (\$ MILLIONS)



Note: Amounts under \$200 million are not labeled. The chart does not include the Asian Development Bank-managed Afghanistan Infrastructure Trust Fund (AITF), which had received contributions of \$589 million from the NATO ANA Trust Fund, Germany, Japan, the United Kingdom, and the United States, and disbursed \$278 million to projects as of June 30, 2019.

Source: World Bank, ARTF: Administrator's Report on Financial Status as of October 22, 2019 (end of 10th month of FY 1398) at www.artf.af, accessed 1/9/2020; UN OCHA, Financial Tracking Service at <https://fts.unocha.org>, accessed 12/31/2019; UNDP, LOTFA Receipts 2002–2019 and LOTFA MPTF Receipts 2002–2019, updated through 1/16/2020, in response to SIGAR data call 1/16/2020; NATO, Afghan National Army (ANA) Trust Fund, Status of Contributions Made as of 25 November 2019, at www.nato.int, accessed 1/7/2020; ADB, AITF Quarterly Report April–June 2019, in response to SIGAR data call 10/27/2019.

STATUS OF FUNDS

period as the United States, the UK, the European Union, and Germany. Figure 3.27 shows that these four donors are also expected to be largest donors to the ARTF for Afghan FY 1398 (December 22, 2018–December 21, 2019), as measured by paid-in and indicated contributions. The ARTF expects to receive contributions of \$779.60 million in Afghan FY 1398, marking a decline from the \$1.02 billion it received in Afghan FY 1397, when it recorded the second-highest annual amount of contributions received by the fund in its 17-year history.

Contributions to the ARTF are divided into two funding channels, the Recurrent Cost (RC) Window and the Investment Window.⁸¹ As of October 22, 2019, according to the World Bank, nearly \$5.06 billion of ARTF funds had been disbursed to the Afghan government through the RC Window to assist with recurrent costs such as civil servants' salaries.⁸²

The Investment Window supports development programs. As of October 22, 2019, according to the World Bank, nearly \$5.59 billion had been committed through the Investment Window, and \$4.77 billion had been disbursed. The Bank reported 34 active projects with a combined commitment value of nearly \$2.54 billion, of which more than \$1.72 billion had been disbursed.⁸³


Contributions to UN OCHA-Coordinated Humanitarian Assistance Programs

The UN's Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) leads emergency appeals and annual or multiyear humanitarian response plans for Afghanistan, and provides timely reporting of humanitarian assistance provided by donors to facilitate funding of targeted needs. Donors have contributed nearly \$9.59 billion to humanitarian assistance organizations from 2002 through December 31, 2019, as reported by OCHA. OCHA-led annual humanitarian response plans and emergency appeals for Afghanistan accounted for nearly \$6.28 billion, or 67.4%, of these contributions.

The United States, Japan, and the European Union have been the largest contributors to humanitarian assistance organizations in Afghanistan since 2002, as shown in Figure 3.26. The United States, United Kingdom, and the European Union were the largest contributors in 2019, when the international community contributed \$581.60 million to these organizations, as shown in Figure 3.28. The World Food Programme (UN WFP), the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), the International Committee of the Red Cross, the UN Children's Fund (UNICEF), and the UN Mine Action Service (UNMAS) have been the largest recipients of humanitarian assistance in Afghanistan, as shown in Table 3.5 on the following page.⁸⁴

FIGURE 3.27

ARTF CONTRIBUTIONS BY DONOR, AFGHAN FY 1398 (PERCENT)




Note: Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. "Others" includes 11 donors. Donors had paid in \$549.08 million and indicated \$230.52 million for their FY 1398 contributions as of the report date.

Source: World Bank, ARTF: Administrator's Report on Financial Status as of October 22, 2019 (end of 10th month of FY 1398) at www.artf.af, accessed 1/9/2020.

FIGURE 3.28

UN OCHA-COORDINATED CONTRIBUTIONS BY DONOR, CALENDAR YEAR 2019 (PERCENT)



Note: Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. "Others" includes 19 national governments and 10 other entities.

Source: UN OCHA, Financial Tracking Service at <https://fts.unocha.org>, accessed 12/31/2019.

STATUS OF FUNDS

TABLE 3.5

LARGEST RECIPIENTS OF HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE FOR AFGHANISTAN UN OFFICE FOR THE COORDINATION OF HUMANITARIAN AFFAIRS (OCHA) CUMULATIVE RECEIPTS, 2002 TO DECEMBER 31, 2019 (\$ MILLIONS)	
Largest Recipients	Receipts
United Nations Organizations	
World Food Programme (WFP)	\$2,984.34
United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR)	1,193.60
United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF)	481.67
United Nations Mine Action Service (UNMAS)	330.75
International Organization for Migration (UN IOM)	258.92
Food and Agricultural Organization (UN FAO)	206.94
Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (UN OCHA)	139.79
World Health Organization (WHO)	109.04
Nongovernmental Organizations	
International Committee of the Red Cross	719.02
Norwegian Refugee Council	177.53
HALO Trust	112.17
Save the Children	101.58
All Other and Unallocated	2,772.20
Total Humanitarian Assistance Reported by OCHA	\$9,587.55

Source: UN OCHA, Financial Tracking Service at <https://fts.unocha.org>, accessed 12/31/2019.

Contributions to the Law and Order Trust Fund for Afghanistan

The UNDP had historically administered the LOTFA to pay ANP salaries and build the capacity of the Ministry of Interior (MOI).⁸⁵ Since 2015, UNDP had divided LOTFA support between two projects: the Support to Payroll Management (SPM) project, and the MOI and Police Development (MPD) project. The SPM project has aimed to develop the capacity of the Afghan government to independently manage all nonfiduciary aspects of its payroll function for the ANP and Central Prisons Directorate (CPD) staff. Almost 99% of SPM project funding goes toward ANP and CPD staff remuneration. The MPD project focused on institutional development of the MOI and police professionalization of the ANP. The project was concluded on June 30, 2018.

STATUS OF FUNDS

The LOTFA Steering Committee, composed of Afghan ministries, international donors, and the UNDP, approved restructuring the fund and changing its scope of operations on November 25, 2018. The organization has expanded its mission beyond the management of the SPM project to include the entire justice chain (police, courts, and corrections), and thereby cover all security and justice institutions, with an increased focus on anticorruption. A new multilateral trust fund, the LOTFA Multi-Partner Trust Fund (MPTF), was launched to fund this expanded mission, and donations of more than \$137.40 million have been received from nine donors, led by the United Kingdom, Canada, and Denmark (and without financial participation from the United States).⁸⁶


Donors have paid in more than \$5.85 billion to the two LOTFA funds from 2002 through January 16, 2020. Figure 3.26 shows the fund's two largest donors on a cumulative basis have been the United States and Japan. Figure 3.29 shows the largest donors to the LOTFA in 2019. The United States has significantly reduced its contributions to LOTFA after donating \$114.40 million in 2016, thereafter contributing \$26.71 million in 2017, \$1.04 million in 2018, and \$0.95 million in 2019.⁸⁷

Contributions to the NATO ANA Trust Fund

The NATO ANA Trust Fund supports the Afghan National Army and other elements of the Afghan National Defense and Security Forces through procurement by the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF) and the NATO Support and Procurement Agency (NSPA).⁸⁸ The Fund has received contributions from 23 NATO members, including the United States, and from 12 other Coalition partners totaling more than \$2.91 billion through November 25, 2019.⁸⁹ Figure 3.26 shows Germany, Australia, and Italy as the three largest contributors to the fund. The United States made its first contribution in FY 2018 to support two projects under an existing procurement contract.⁹⁰

FIGURE 3.29

LOTFA CONTRIBUTIONS BY DONOR, CALENDAR YEAR 2019 (PERCENT)



Note: Numbers have been rounded. "Others" includes the United States, nine other countries and the UNDP that made contributions to the two LOTFA funds.

Source: UNDP, LOTFA Receipts 2002–2019 and LOTFA MPTF Receipts 2002–2019, updated 1/16/2020, in response to SIGAR data call, 1/16/2020.

SECURITY CONTENTS

Key Issues & Events	65
United States Forces-Afghanistan	72
Afghan National Defense and Security Forces	72
Afghan National Army	80
Afghan Air Force	84
Afghan National Police	86
Removing Unexploded Ordnance	89
Conflict Mitigation Assistance for Civilians	91

SECURITY

KEY ISSUES & EVENTS

Enemy-initiated attacks from October–December 2019 were at the highest level for the fourth quarter of any year since data collection began in 2010.

Afghan special forces conducted fewer ground operations in the fourth quarter, lower than any other quarter in 2019, and only 31% of their operations were conducted without U.S. or Coalition assistance.

DOD reports that ANDSF casualties during May–October 2019 slightly increased compared to the same period in 2018.

Despite heightened enemy attacks, the number of civilian casualties this quarter decreased by 20% compared to the same period in 2018.

The Afghan war is still in “a state of strategic stalemate” that can be solved only through a negotiated settlement between the Afghan government and the Taliban, Secretary of Defense Mark T. Esper said this quarter.⁹¹ After a cessation of direct peace talks in September, President Donald J. Trump announced that he restarted the dialogue between the United States and the Taliban in late November.⁹²

A January 22 White House statement said that President Trump’s goal is for the Taliban to demonstrate “a significant and lasting reduction in violence . . . that would facilitate meaningful negotiations on Afghanistan’s future.”⁹³ However, the Afghan government appeared to reject the concept of a reduction in violence, saying it does not have an accurate meaning in legal and military terms, and is not practical. Afghan officials are currently demanding a cease-fire, like the one that took place in June 2018, before beginning peace negotiations with the Taliban.⁹⁴ As this report went to press, no cease-fire or agreement about a reduction in violence had been announced.⁹⁵ Meanwhile, Taliban attacks continued at a high tempo. According to data provided by the NATO Resolute Support (RS) mission, enemy-initiated attacks during the fourth quarter of 2019 were at

“If the Taliban do not agree to a cease-fire, which is the demand of the Afghan people, we cannot put an end to war in Afghanistan.”

—*Sediq Sediqqi, spokesperson for President Ashraf Ghani*

Source: Voice of America, “Afghan Government Demands Full Cease-Fire, Taliban Commit to Reduction in Violence,” 1/22/2020.

FIGURE 3.30



Note: Fatalities are estimates and only include the number of the opposing party (or civilians when indicated) killed.

Source: ACLED, South Asia 2016–Present dataset, 10/19/2019–1/11/2020, available online at <https://www.acledata.com/>; SIGAR, analysis of ACLED data, 1/2020; New York Times, “At Least 23 Soldiers Killed in Insider Attack in Afghanistan,” 12/14/2019.

“We are committed to peace talks, but we as Afghanistan’s armed forces will not allow any deal to sacrifice our two-decade achievements.”

—*Asadullah Khalid,*
Acting Afghan Minister of Defense

Source: ToloNews, “Khalid: We Want Peace, Not Compromise,” 1/13/2019.

the highest level for a fourth quarter of any year since recording began in 2010.⁹⁶ Conversely, the Afghan Special Security Forces (ASSF), the primary offensive force against the insurgency, conducted fewer ground operations this quarter than during the rest of 2019.⁹⁷

Enemy attacks included a Taliban car bomb at the U.S. military base at Bagram on December 11 that killed two civilians and injured more than 73 people. The Taliban also claimed an attack in Kunduz Province on December 23 that killed a U.S. Special Forces soldier, the 23rd American death in Afghanistan in 2019. More American servicemembers died in Afghanistan in 2019 than in any year since the beginning of the RS mission in January 2015.⁹⁸ Two more U.S. soldiers were killed January 11 by a roadside bomb in Kandahar Province.⁹⁹ Figure 3.30 lists other major security incidents this quarter.

The Taliban continued to seek the withdrawal of U.S. forces from Afghanistan, a process National Security Advisor Robert O’Brien said could begin “with a deal or without a deal” with the insurgents. While President Trump has not yet ordered a force reduction, Secretary Esper said the United States could reduce troop levels to as low as 8,600 and still be able to execute its unilateral counterterrorism mission and its role in the multi-national RS mission to train, advise, and assist the Afghan National Defense and Security Forces (ANDSF).¹⁰⁰

The U.S. Combined Security Transition Command-Afghanistan (CSTC-A) reported that ANDSF strength numbers increased after more than a year of consistent decreases, as Coalition and Afghan counterparts worked to more accurately determine the actual size of the force. As of October 31, 2019, there were 272,807 ANDSF personnel biometrically enrolled and eligible for pay in the Afghan Personnel and Pay System (APPS). This is an increase of 7% (18,957 personnel) since last quarter, which CSTC-A attributed to continued enrollment and personnel cleansing actions in APPS. CSTC-A said fluctuations will continue “until the backlog of personnel actions level off and APPS reaches 100% enrollment of the ANDSF.”¹⁰¹ CSTC-A provided the caveat that “As a result of ongoing efforts to add, verify, correct, and cleanse ANDSF personnel data in APPS, quarter-to-quarter changes in ANDSF assigned strength do not solely reflect changes to the number of personnel actually serving in the ANDSF.”¹⁰²

ANDSF Data Classified or Not Publicly Releasable

USFOR-A continued to classify or otherwise restrict from public release the following types of data, due to Afghan government classification guidelines or other restrictions (mostly since October 2017):¹⁰³

- ANDSF casualties, by force element and total
- unit-level Afghan National Army (ANA) and Afghan National Police (ANP) authorized and assigned strength
- ANDSF performance assessments

- some Special Mission Wing (SMW) information, including the number and type of airframes in the SMW inventory, the number of pilots and aircrew, and the operational readiness (and associated benchmarks) of SMW airframes
- information about the misuse of Afghan Special Security Forces (ASSF) by the Ministry of Defense (MOD) and Ministry of Interior (MOI)

The classified annex for this report includes the information USFOR-A classified or restricted from public release.

U.S. Reconstruction Funding for Security


As of December 31, 2019, the U.S. Congress had appropriated nearly \$86.4 billion to help the Afghan government provide security in Afghanistan. This accounts for 63% of all U.S. reconstruction funding for Afghanistan since fiscal year (FY) 2002. Of the nearly \$3.9 billion appropriated for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF) in FY 2019, nearly \$2.3 billion had been obligated and nearly \$2 billion disbursed, as of December 31, 2019. As of December 20, 2019, Congress appropriated roughly \$4.2 billion for the ASFF for FY 2020. Seen in Figure 3.31, ASFF appropriations have fluctuated between \$3.5 billion and \$4.7 billion since the beginning of the RS mission.¹⁰⁴

Congress established the ASFF in 2005 to build, equip, train, and sustain the ANDSF, which comprises all forces under the MOD and MOI. A significant portion of ASFF money is used for Afghan Air Force (AAF) aircraft maintenance, and for ANA, AAF, ASSF, and Afghan Local Police (ALP) salaries. The ALP falls under the authority of the MOI, but is not included in the authorized ANDSF force level that donor nations have agreed to fund; only the United States and Afghanistan fund the ALP. The rest of ASFF is used for fuel, ammunition, vehicle, facility and equipment maintenance, and various communications and intelligence infrastructure. Detailed ASFF budget breakdowns are presented in Tables 3.3 and 3.4 on pages 50–51.¹⁰⁵

ASFF monies are obligated by either CSTC-A or the Defense Security Cooperation Agency. Funds that CSTC-A provides to the Afghan government to manage (on-budget) are provided directly to the Ministry of Finance. The Ministry of Finance then transfers those funds to the MOD and MOI based on submitted funding requests.¹⁰⁶ In contrast to the ANA, a significant share of ANP personnel costs is paid by international donors through the United Nations Development Programme’s multidonor Law and Order Trust Fund for Afghanistan (LOTFA). The United States had been, but is no longer, the largest contributor to LOTFA.¹⁰⁷ A discussion of on-budget (Afghan-managed) expenditures of ASFF and conditions associated with U.S. financial assistance to the ANDSF is found on pages 105–108.

FIGURE 3.31

ASFF APPROPRIATIONS FY 2015–FY 2020
(\$ MILLIONS)



Source: See Appendix B.

The ASFF FY 2019 budget reduction had three major components:

- The ANA, AAF, and ASSF Personnel budgets (salaries, incentives, etc.) were reduced by \$241.68 million.
- AAF Aircraft Contracted Support (aircraft maintenance) was reduced by \$202.52 million.
- AAF UH-60 helicopter procurement was eliminated through a \$396.00 million rescission.

Security-Incident Data

Every quarter, SIGAR tracks and analyzes security-incident data to provide insight into the security situation in Afghanistan and activity between the parties to the conflict. The data show trends including where security-related activity is concentrated in the country and its levels over certain periods of time.

RS-reported enemy-initiated attack data is the only remaining unclassified data from an official source used to track security trends in Afghanistan. It is unclassified only at the provincial level and does not include U.S. and Coalition-initiated attacks on the enemy.


Enemy-initiated attacks: are “all attacks (direct fire, indirect fire, surface-to-air fire, IED, and mine explosions, etc.) initiated by insurgents that the ANDSF and RS consider to be [significant activities] (SIGACTs).”

Effective enemy-initiated attacks: are enemy-initiated attacks that result in ANDSF, Coalition, or civilian casualties.

Source: CSTC-A: response to SIGAR vetting, 4/12/2019.

FIGURE 3.32

FOURTH-QUARTER ENEMY-INITIATED ATTACKS SINCE 2010



Note: EIA = Enemy-Initiated Attacks, EEIA = Effective Enemy-Initiated Attacks.

Source: RS, response to SIGAR data call 1/7/2020; SIGAR, analysis of RS-provided data, 1/2020.

Enemy Attacks in Late 2019 Reach Record-High Levels


Enemy attacks in Afghanistan increased considerably in late 2019, according to data from RS. September 2019, the month of the Afghan presidential election, saw the highest number of **enemy-initiated attacks** in any month since June 2012 and the highest number of **effective enemy-initiated attacks** since recording began in January 2010. This level of violence continued after the presidential election. October 2019 had the second highest number of enemy-initiated attacks in any month since July 2013.¹⁰⁸ Figure 3.32 shows that both overall enemy-initiated attacks and effective enemy-initiated attacks during the fourth quarter of 2019 exceeded same-period levels in every year since recording began in 2010. However, the figure also shows that while the number of overall and effective attacks increased this quarter, the proportion of overall attacks that were effective was similar to the same period in 2018.¹⁰⁹

When looking at 2019 as a whole, enemy attacks appeared to decline early in the year while peace talks were ongoing. But a turbulent last six months resulted in increases in overall enemy attacks (6%) and effective attacks (4%) in 2019 compared to the already high levels reported in 2018.¹¹⁰

The geographic distribution of enemy activity remained largely consistent from 2018 to 2019. Figure 3.33 shows that in 2019 heavy fighting continued in southern and western Afghanistan. However, enemy activity increased and spread into larger areas of the north and east.¹¹¹

FIGURE 3.33

ENEMY-INITIATED ATTACKS IN 2019 VERSUS 2018



Note: The total number of enemy-initiated attacks in 2019 was 29,083; the total for 2018 was 27,417.
 Source: RS, response to SIGAR data call, 1/7/2020; SIGAR analysis of RS-provided data, 1/2020.

Islamic State Fighters Successfully Driven from Nangarhar Stronghold

SIGAR asked USFOR-A about news reports that hundreds of fighters from Islamic State-Khorasan (IS-K), the Islamic State affiliate in Afghanistan, surrendered to the ANDSF and Coalition forces in November 2019. USFOR-A confirmed about 300 IS-K fighters and 1,000 of their family members surrendered this quarter.


USFOR-A said due to sustained pressure from U.S. air strikes, ANDSF operations across Nangarhar Province, and continued fighting between IS-K and Taliban forces, IS-K's stronghold in Nangarhar has been "dismantled." The fighters and family members who did not surrender have fled toward Kunar Province or Pakistan, attempting to consolidate and reinforce positions in more advantageous terrain.

USFOR-A's latest assessment is that there are between 2,000 and 2,500 IS-K fighters active in Afghanistan, a decrease from the 2,000-5,000 reported in September 2019.

Source: Stars and Stripes, "Islamic State's 'Backbone was Broken' in Afghanistan as Hundreds Surrender," 11/19/2019; USFOR-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/18/2020; DOD OIG, Operation Freedom's Sentinel, Lead Inspector General's Report to the United States Congress, 11/15/2019, pp. 18-19; USFOR-A, correspondence with SIGAR, 1/25/2020.

FIGURE 3.34

RS-REPORTED CIVILIAN CASUALTIES BY QUARTER



Source: RS, response to SIGAR data call 1/7/2020; SIGAR, analysis of RS-provided data, 1/2020.

RS Collection Methodology

According to DOD, the RS Civilian Casualty Management Team relies primarily upon operational reporting from RS’s Train, Advise, and Assist Commands (TAACs), other Coalition force headquarters, and ANDSF reports from the Afghan Presidential Information Command Centre to collect civilian-casualty data. DOD says that RS’s civilian-casualty data collection differs from UNAMA’s in that RS “has access to a wider range of forensic data than such civilian organizations, including full-motion video, operational summaries, aircraft mission reports, intelligence reports, digital and other imagery ... and other sources.”

Source: DOD, *Enhancing Security and Stability in Afghanistan*, 12/2017, p. 27 and 6/2019, p. 27.

Civilian Casualties Decline in Late 2019


RS reported a 20% decrease in the number of civilian casualties in Afghanistan this quarter (October 1–December 31, 2019) compared to the same period in 2018, despite increased levels of enemy-initiated violence over the same period. Seen in Figure 3.34, after spiking last quarter, the total number of civilian casualties in 2019 (9,189) was about the same as in 2018 (9,214).¹¹² However, Figure 3.35 shows that civilian casualties rose in most provinces (19 of 34) in 2019 compared to 2018, and the provinces where they occurred shifted. In both years, Kabul and Nangarhar Provinces continued to experience the highest number of civilian casualties.¹¹³

RS attributed 91% of this quarter’s civilian casualties to antigovernment forces, including the Taliban (29%), IS-K (11%), Haqqani Network (4%), and unknown insurgents (47%). Another 4% were attributed to progovernment forces (3% to ANDSF and 1% to Coalition forces), and 5% to other or unknown forces. These percentages were similar to the RS attributions for casualties earlier in 2019. The main causes of civilian casualties continued to be improvised-explosive devices (43%), followed by direct fire (25%), and indirect fire (5%), as was also the case earlier in the year.¹¹⁴

SIGAR typically analyzes Afghan civilian-casualty data from RS and United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (UNAMA), but UNAMA did not issue a report within this reporting period. For the latest available UNAMA data and analysis (as of September 30, 2019), see pages 73–74 of SIGAR’s October 2019 *Quarterly Report to the United States Congress*.

FIGURE 3.35

RS-REPORTED CIVILIAN CASUALTIES IN 2019 VERSUS 2018



Note: Casualties include deaths and injuries. There were 9,189 civilian casualties in 2019 and 9,214 in 2018.

Source: RS, response to SIGAR data call, 1/7/2020; SIGAR analysis of RS-provided data, 1/2020.

Human Rights Abuses Alleged Against U.S.-Backed Afghan Paramilitary Units

This quarter, Human Rights Watch (HRW) issued a special report alleging 14 cases of “laws-of-war violations” against Afghan civilians by U.S.-backed Afghan special paramilitary units from late-2017 to mid-2019. HRW said these violations included “extrajudicial executions and enforced disappearances, indiscriminate airstrikes, [and] attacks on medical facilities.”

According to HRW, Afghan paramilitary forces operate with relative impunity in the country: they nominally belong to the National Directorate of Security (NDS), Afghanistan’s primary intelligence agency. However, these forces do not fall under any ordinary chain of command under the NDS or the Afghan or U.S. military. HRW says the units are largely recruited, trained, equipped, and overseen by the CIA, and often U.S. Special Forces personnel (seconded to the CIA) are deployed alongside them during kill-or-capture operations.

After 2017, in a departure from previous policy, the Afghan paramilitary units were authorized to call in air strikes without U.S. forces present to identify the targets, resulting in increased destruction to residential

buildings, HRW said. A decreased U.S. ground presence and a reliance on local Afghan intelligence sources meant there was less information available about the possible presence of civilians during the strikes. The cases that HRW investigated involved Afghan paramilitary forces seemingly targeting civilians because of mistaken identity, poor intelligence, or political rivalries.

HRW made recommendations to both the Afghan and U.S. governments to address this issue. The primary recommendation for the Afghan government was to “immediately disband and disarm all pro-government armed groups . . . including [NDS] strike force units, the Khost Protection Force, and other counterinsurgency forces that are not under the [ANDSF] chain of command.” HRW recommended that the U.S. government “clarify command responsibility for operations” by Afghan paramilitary forces, and “in all circumstances, comply with international humanitarian law standards to protect civilians from the dangers arising from military operations.”

Source: Human Rights Watch, “They’ve Shot Many Like This’ Abusive Night Raids by CIA-Backed Afghan Strike Forces,” 10/31/2019.

“A small number [of U.S. troops] is required [in Afghanistan] in order to deal with the threat of terrorism and to support us because it’s an advise, assist, and training mission—not a fighting mission.”

—*President Ashraf Ghani*

Source: Washington Post, “Afghanistan’s President on Holding ‘the Most Difficult Job on Earth,’” 1/23/2020.

UNITED STATES FORCES-AFGHANISTAN

U.S. Force Level Slowly Declines

According to DOD, as of December 7, 2019, there are between 12,000 and 13,000 U.S. military personnel in Afghanistan, a decrease from the 14,000 personnel reported in-country earlier this year. Over the last year, U.S. Forces-Afghanistan (USFOR-A) Commander General Austin Scott Miller said he began adjusting the number of personnel in Afghanistan as part an effort to “optimize” the size of the force based on their objectives and capabilities, and risks to the force and to the mission.¹¹⁵

Secretary of Defense Esper said on December 16 that the United States could reduce its troop level to as low as 8,600 personnel “with or without” a peace settlement between the Afghan government and the Taliban because General Miller believes it would be an adequate force to undertake both the unilateral counterterrorism mission and the NATO RS mission to train, advise, and assist the ANDSF.¹¹⁶ Of the total U.S. personnel serving in Afghanistan, 8,475 were assigned to the RS mission, as of November 2019, while the rest were serving in support roles, training the Afghan special forces, or conducting air and counterterror operations.¹¹⁷

U.S. and Coalition Forces Casualties and Insider Attacks Increase

This quarter (October 17, 2019–January 17, 2020), there were five American military deaths in Afghanistan (two hostile and three non-hostile deaths), and 73 servicemembers were injured. American military casualties in 2019 (23 deaths and 192 injuries) were the highest they have been since the RS mission began in January 2015.¹¹⁸ The hostile deaths this quarter were two American soldiers killed January 11 by a roadside bomb in Kandahar Province.¹¹⁹ These casualties bring the total number of military deaths since October 2001 to 2,433 (1,907 hostile and 526 non-hostile), and injuries to 20,711.¹²⁰

According to RS, there were four insider attacks, in which ANDSF personnel attack U.S. and Coalition personnel, this reporting period (September 1–December 31, 2019). One of the attacks resulted in four injuries. These insider attacks bring the total for 2019 to seven that have resulted in eight casualties. This represents more attacks, but fewer casualties, than in 2018 and 2017.¹²¹


AFGHAN NATIONAL DEFENSE AND SECURITY FORCES

Reported ANDSF Force Strength Increased This Quarter

Reported ANDSF personnel strength increased by 7% since last quarter, after over a year of consistent decreases as Coalition and Afghan

FIGURE 3.36

REPORTED ANDSF ASSIGNED STRENGTH FROM APPS



Note: This quarter's data is as of October 31, 2019. The "as of" date of the data is between the 25th and 31st of the indicated month. APPS = Afghan Personnel and Pay System; ANA = Afghan National Army; AAF = Afghan Air Force; ANP = Afghan National Police; ANDSF = Afghan National Defense and Security Forces. No civilians are included in the strength numbers. Source: CSTC-A response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019 and 9/18/2019; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 1/2020.

counterparts worked to more accurately determine the actual size of the force. As of October 31, 2019, CSTC-A reported 272,807 ANDSF personnel (176,019 MOD and 96,788 MOI) biometrically enrolled and eligible for pay in APPS, the new Afghan personnel and payroll management system. This does not include civilians or roughly 19,000 Afghan Local Police (ALP). Figure 3.36 shows this is an increase of 18,957 personnel since last quarter's APPS-reported strength (July 2019), mainly driven by 13,604 more personnel reported in the MOD elements (Afghan National Army, Afghan Air Force, and MOD special forces).¹²²

According to CSTC-A, this quarter's strength numbers increased due to ongoing enrollment and personnel cleansing actions in APPS. CSTC-A said fluctuations will continue "until the backlog of personnel actions level off and APPS reaches 100% enrollment of the ANDSF."¹²³ CSTC-A provided the caveat that "As a result of ongoing efforts to add, verify, correct, and cleanse ANDSF personnel data in APPS, quarter-to-quarter changes in ANDSF assigned strength do not solely reflect changes to the number of personnel actually serving in the ANDSF."¹²⁴ CSTC-A continues to say "the number of personnel reported in APPS is the most accurate it has ever been."¹²⁵

SIGAR Visits CSTC-A to Discuss Impact of Reported ANDSF Strength Changes

A team from SIGAR's Research and Analysis Directorate (RAD) traveled to Kabul from December 1 to 12, 2019, to learn more about the funding and operational implications of the fact that under the new Afghan Personnel Pay System (APPS), the ANDSF is reporting considerably fewer personnel than were reported using the previous manual reporting system, and even fewer personnel compared to the forces' 352,000 authorized strength.

In meetings, CSTC-A said basing salary and incentive payments on the lower APPS-reported strength numbers will result in \$79 million in future cost avoidance due to the removal of about 50,000 inactive personnel records in APPS that the ANDSF previously reported as active. CSTC-A believes it is "impossible to predict" cost savings as a result of APPS implementation. As the ANDSF's use of APPS improves, force numbers continue to fluctuate in the system due to gains and losses. However, they said as the data levels out, historical data will be more reliable for planning and comparison purposes. CSTC-A did acknowledge that inflated personnel numbers in the past may have led to waste, but argued that the total impact through the years would be difficult to quantify.

SIGAR also asked CSTC-A whether the lower APPS-reported personnel strength had allowed DOD to avoid costs for ANDSF equipment. CSTC-A said APPS would not lead to large savings on equipment and materiel because purchases of equipment, systems, and supplies for the ANDSF are based off of equipment authorizations rather than assigned end-strength numbers.

CSTC-A explained that APPS has been a contributing factor in helping to determine whether certain ANDSF leaders and personnel are "reliable partners" based on who implements and uses the system to deter fraud and improve accountability. CSTC-A said verifying personnel numbers in APPS as the system matures will be a "vital tool for force planning, recruitment, and retirement and promotion planning" and can help inform operational planning.

SIGAR will continue to work with CSTC-A to understand how these issues have affected and will affect the expenditure of U.S. taxpayer dollars.

Source: SIGAR, record of meetings with CSTC-A, 12/9/2019; CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/18/2020.



Members of SIGAR's Research and Analysis Directorate during their December 2019 trip to Kabul.

According to DOD, "APPS is a major shift in the ministries' traditional way of managing pay and personnel, and challenges are expected. APPS will take time to mature, but the current assigned-strength reporting from APPS represents another step towards improved accountability of personnel and is a reflection of continued efforts by the MOD and MOI to implement APPS."¹²⁶ More information about APPS and this quarter's data-cleansing efforts is available in the following section.

The ANDSF's total authorized strength continues to be roughly 352,000. The MOI's authorized force level includes an additional 30,000 ALP, which is funded only by the United States and the Afghan government. This quarter's ANDSF assigned strength stands at 77.5% (roughly 79,000 personnel short) of its authorized strength.¹²⁷


ANDSF Force Strength Lower Year-on-Year

Seen in Figure 3.37, ANDSF personnel strength numbers sourced from APPS remain lower than the Afghan self-reported strength data provided previously. This is significant because assigned-strength numbers help inform CSTC-A's decision-making on how much money to provide for ANDSF salary and incentive payments, as well as for certain equipment.¹²⁸

This quarter's reported strength in APPS is 12% lower (roughly 36,000 personnel) than the Afghan self-reported strength provided during the same period in 2018.¹²⁹ Despite this decrease, pages 80 and 87 explain that the funds CSTC-A provided for MOD and MOI salary and incentive payments

FIGURE 3.37

COMPARING ANDSF SELF-REPORTED AND APPS-REPORTED STRENGTH



Note: This quarter's data is as of October 31, 2019. ANA = Afghan National Army; AAF = Afghan Air Force; ANP = Afghan National Police; ANDSF = Afghan National Defense and Security Forces. No civilians are included in strength numbers. ANA strength numbers include the AAF and trainees, transfers, holdees, and student personnel. ANP strength numbers do not include "standby" personnel, generally reservists, or personnel not in service while completing training. The change in the individual strengths of the ANA and ANP from 2017 to 2018 is due to the transfer of two force elements from MOI to MOD in early 2018, but this change did not impact the overall strength of the ANDSF. The change in strength numbers from 2018 to 2019 is due to the transition of strength reporting from ANDSF-reported figures to reporting from the Afghan Personnel and Pay System (APPS). The strength numbers reported here should not be viewed as exact: CSTC-A and SIGAR have long noted many data-consistency issues with ANDSF strength numbers.

Source: CSTC-A response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019; SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 1/30/2016, 1/30/2017, 1/30/2018, 1/30/2019; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 1/2020.

thus far in Afghan fiscal year 1398 (December 22, 2018, to November 1, 2019) stayed about the same compared to roughly the same period in the previous Afghan fiscal year.¹³⁰

MOI and MOD Make Some Progress Accounting for Personnel

MOI, MOD, and CSTC-A continue to undertake three efforts to improve the accuracy of ANDSF personnel data in APPS: (1) "slotting" or matching ANDSF personnel to authorized positions in the system, (2) "data cleansing" or correcting and completing key personnel data, and (3) physically accounting for personnel through site visits called personnel asset inventories (PAI) and personnel asset audits (PAA).¹³¹

This quarter, CSTC-A reported the MOI made some progress in correcting and cleansing existing, and inputting new personnel records at its APPS *shura*, or conference. The primary purposes of the *shura* were to: (1) make sure active personnel did not drop off the rolls due to not being slotted into positions in the system based on the new force-authorization document

(*tashkil*), and (2) establish validated MOI APPS-data baselines for strength that can assist future force planning.¹³² The shura began on July 27, and as of November 23, CSTC-A reported that MOI had processed 6,518 retirements; removed 138 records of personnel confirmed as killed-in-action, and completed 6,379 promotion processes. These are only preliminary results. The MOI was given an extension to complete its shura by December 15, which it did, but there are still personnel actions pending.¹³³ As a point of comparison, MOD's shura this summer resulted in a net decrease of over 18,000 reported personnel, due mainly to the removal of personnel from APPS who were no longer active due to attrition, whether killed in action (KIA), absent without leave (AWOL), dropped from rolls (DFR), or separation status or because they could not be confirmed to exist.¹³⁴ CSTC-A also reported that no efforts to physically account for personnel at duty locations (PAIs or PAAs) could be conducted this quarter.¹³⁵

For the second quarter, MOD generated its payroll data using APPS. CSTC-A said that on September 22, the Minister of Defense issued guidance ordering the ANA to conduct all administrative functions using the system. CSTC-A reported that MOD has greatly improved its utilization of APPS and its understanding of how to integrate APPS into its payroll process. Enough MOD personnel are now enrolled in APPS that MOD can shift priorities from personnel enrollment to using APPS to process payroll. However, as with any automated system or new process, CSTC-A says challenges remain, such as the ability to process payroll in every ANA corps in a timely manner, but CSTC-A continues to train and advise on these processes.¹³⁶ At this time, the MOI still does not use APPS for payroll (it still uses the UN-managed Web-Enabled Pay System), but CSTC-A continues to work with MOI and the UN to transition the MOI to using APPS for this purpose.¹³⁷

ANDSF Attrition – Some Data Classified

USFOR-A continued to classify most ANDSF attrition information this quarter because the Afghan government classifies it.¹³⁸ SIGAR's questions about ANDSF attrition can be found in Appendix E. A detailed analysis of attrition by ANDSF force element is provided in the classified annex of this report.

This quarter, CSTC-A provided some information about MOD and MOI's efforts to combat ANDSF attrition. CSTC-A said MOD's recruiting objectives are focused on aggressively reducing attrition numbers and meeting force-authorization requirements. The ANA Chief of General Staff provided guidance to all ANA commanders to reduce attrition DFR by 45–50%, and increase by 75% the recontracting of those separated from service. Reducing attrition and increasing recontracting will decrease the strain on recruiting and maintain combat readiness. It will also allow recruitment efforts to focus on enlisting better-qualified candidates into the ANA. The

ANA's recruiting command also increased efforts to reinforce the drug-testing requirement during in-processing of new recruits, which more efficiently filtered out drug users.¹³⁹

The MOI's recruiting objectives are focused on entry-level patrolmen and entry-level officers graduating from the Afghan National Police Academy. Other ANP vacancies are being filled by appointments. Recruiting efforts are primarily driven by force authorization requirements. As in any organization, one of the key challenges facing ANP recruiting is the ability to project attrition due to, for example, casualties and AWOL. As attrition trends are developed using new data in APPS, the ANP and ANDSF as a whole will be able to better project both losses. CSTC-A said this will allow them to adjust recruiting objectives as needed to ensure the force is more effective, affordable, and sustainable.¹⁴⁰

ANDSF Casualties

USFOR-A classified most ANDSF casualty information this quarter because the Afghan government classifies it.¹⁴¹ SIGAR's questions about ANDSF casualties can be found in Appendix E. A detailed analysis of ANDSF casualties is provided in the classified annex of this report.

DOD provided a general assessment of ANDSF casualties, saying that the number of ANDSF casualties increased slightly from May through October 2019 compared to the same period in 2018. The number of ANDSF casualties while conducting local patrols, checkpoint operations, and offensive operations did not change significantly during this period in 2019 compared to the same period in 2018. The majority of ANDSF casualties continue to result from direct-fire attacks at checkpoints, and RS has long cited checkpoint reduction as one its top priorities for the ANDSF. IED attacks and mine strikes constitute a relatively small portion of casualties.¹⁴²

ANDSF Insider Attacks Increase in 2019

According to RS, there were 33 insider attacks on the ANDSF this reporting period (September 1–December 31, 2019) that resulted in 90 casualties, continuing the high levels seen last quarter. This brings the 2019 total to 82 attacks that caused 257 casualties (172 deaths and 85 injuries), a higher number of attacks and casualties than in recent years.¹⁴³

ANDSF Performance – Most Data Classified

USFOR-A continued to classify most assessments of ANDSF performance because the Afghan government classifies them.¹⁴⁴ SIGAR's questions about ANDSF performance can be found in Appendix E of this report. Detailed ANDSF performance assessments are reported in the classified annex for this report.



An ASSF soldier stands watch as his fellow soldiers raid Taliban compounds in Logar Province. (NSOCC-A photo by Spc. Casey Dinnison)

CSTC-A's Five Priorities and Objectives for the ANDSF

1. Generating ANA combat power
2. Generating ANP policing power
3. Future force development
4. Logistics reform
5. Stewardship and accountability

Source: CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/18/2020.

New ANDSF Assessment Tool: CSTC-A's Five Priorities and Objectives


CSTC-A is building a new assessment tool for the ANDSF that will replace both the current advisor-engagement tool and the milestone tracker previously used. The new tool will break down CSTC-A's five priorities and objectives for the ANDSF into qualitative and quantitative measures that enable advisors to assess their train, advise, and assist (TAA) efforts. CSTC-A says this new assessment framework will provide the ability to capture data, make measured assessments of partner progress, and develop trends that inform resource allocation and TAA efforts. In addition, the tool will allow for adjustments for the changing political, social, and military environment while maintaining historical records of key measurements. The tool has been approved and will be deployed this year.¹⁴⁵

Afghan Special Security Forces

This quarter, SIGAR has expanded its analysis of Afghan Special Security Forces (ASSF) ground operations after compiling data provided by NATO Special Operations Component Command-Afghanistan (NSOCC-A). SIGAR began tracking the data earlier this year when DOD cited the increased number of independent ASSF operations as an important success indicator for the ANDSF. Because ASSF serves as the primary offensive force in the ANDSF, DOD said the ASSF's growing size and capabilities are important not only for the ANDSF's performance, but also for the United States to

FIGURE 3.38

COALITION-SUPPORTED VERSUS INDEPENDENT ASSF OPERATIONS



Note: Enabled = U.S. or Coalition forces' enablers (air support, etc.) are used during ASSF operation; Partnered = U.S. and Coalition forces partner with ASSF during operation; Independent = ASSF conducts operation with U.S. or Coalition support.

Source: NSOCC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020 and 1/18/2020; SIGAR, analysis of RS-provided data, 1/2020.

increase the effectiveness and efficiency of its small-footprint military campaign in Afghanistan.¹⁴⁶

ASSF ground operations data from the last two years show that U.S. and Coalition forces are increasingly partnering with, or assisting the ASSF with, their operations. Figure 3.38 shows the ASSF conducted fewer ground operations (534) this quarter (October–December 2019) than they did during the rest of 2019, and only 31% of those operations were conducted independently, without U.S. and Coalition support. While the higher operational tempo of the ASSF in early 2019 entailed 30% more total operations in 2019 than in 2018, the number of independent operations was about the same. Consequently, only 43% of all ASSF operations in 2019 were independently conducted, compared to 55% in 2018.¹⁴⁷

Women in the ANDSF

According to CSTC-A, there were 4,524 female personnel, including civilians, enrolled in APPS as of October 31, 2019. The majority of ANDSF women continue to serve in MOI (3,062 personnel), with the other 1,462 in the MOD. CSTC-A also reported that in addition to the number of females reported in APPS, there are currently 142 female cadets enrolled at Afghan military training institutions. This reported strength figure is considerably lower than figures provided to SIGAR over the last several quarters due to the transition of personnel reporting from previous Afghan self-reporting to APPS. CSTC-A said that as with other strength reporting, assigned-strength numbers sourced from APPS will continue to fluctuate due to ongoing enrollment and personnel-cleansing actions in the system.¹⁴⁸

SIGAR INSPECTION

SIGAR inspected a \$3.1 million training compound in Herat Province designed for female ANP students. During January 2019 site visits, SIGAR found construction deficiencies and no electricity, and the compound has never been occupied. For more information, see Section 2.

Ministry Performance Assessments – Most Data Classified

USFOR-A continued to classify most information about MOD and MOI performance because the Afghan government classifies it.¹⁴⁹ SIGAR's questions about the ministries' performance can be found in Appendix E of this report. SIGAR will report on the MOI and MOD performance assessments in the classified annex of this report.

AFGHAN NATIONAL ARMY

As of December 31, 2019, the United States had obligated nearly \$47.7 billion and disbursed more than \$47.5 billion of ASFF from FY 2005 through FY 2018 appropriations to build, train, equip, and sustain the ANA, AAF, and parts of the Afghan Special Security Forces (ASSF). These force elements constituted the ANA budget activity group (BAG) for reporting purposes through the FY 2018 appropriation. For more information about FY 2019 ASFF expenditures and authorizations for the ANA see pages 48–51.¹⁵⁰

ANA Sustainment Funding

As of December 31, 2019, the United States had obligated \$23.7 billion and disbursed \$23.5 billion from FY 2005 through FY 2018 ASFF appropriations for ANA, AAF, and some ASSF sustainment.¹⁵¹ These costs include salary and incentive pay, fuel, transportation services, and equipment maintenance costs, including aircraft, and other expenses. For more details and the amount U.S. funds appropriated for ANA sustainment in FY 2019, see pages 50–51 of this report.

This quarter, CSTC-A reported providing approximately \$557.9 million of ASFF to the Afghan government for MOD elements' sustainment requirements thus far in Afghan FY 1398 (December 22, 2018–November 1, 2019). The U.S. contribution to the MOD sustainment was almost entirely for salaries and incentive pay (\$516.5 million). Roughly, \$41.4 million was spent on nonpayroll sustainment requirements (like fuel and generators) for the ANA.¹⁵² CSTC-A's funding for MOD's salary and incentive payments decreased by only about \$5 million compared to about the same period in FY 1397 even though there was a roughly 8% decrease in reported MOD personnel (-14,734) over about the same time period.¹⁵³

ANA Equipment and Transportation

As of December 31, 2019, the United States had obligated and disbursed approximately \$13.7 billion from FY 2005 through FY 2018 ASFF appropriations for ANA, AAF, and some ASSF equipment and transportation costs.¹⁵⁴

Seen in Table 3.6, CSTC-A reported that the highest-cost items of equipment provided to the ANA, AAF, and ANASOC this quarter (September 1 through November 15, 2019) included five MD-530 helicopters (\$23.5 million), 36 HMMWVs (\$8.6 million), and several types of ammunition. This

TABLE 3.6

MAJOR EQUIPMENT PROVIDED TO THE ANA, SEPTEMBER 1–NOVEMBER 15, 2019				
Equipment Type	Equipment Description	Units Issued in Quarter	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Aircraft	MD-530 Helicopter	5	\$4,709,284	\$23,546,420
Vehicle	M1151A1WB1 HMMWV (Utility Truck)	36	238,500	8,586,000
Ammunition	.50 Caliber Ball Cartridge	2,678,400	3.20	8,570,880
Ammunition	M768 Mortar Cartridge (60 mm)	22,480	313	7,036,240
Ammunition	High-Explosive Rocket (57 mm)	15,000	400.62	6,009,300
Ammunition	High-Explosive Rocket (2.75")	4,320	890	3,844,800
Aircraft	UH-60 Helicopter	2	1,323,000	2,646,000
Weapon	M42 Sniper Rifle (7.62 mm)	324	7,049	2,283,876
OCIE	Field Pack Frame	13,807	147	2,034,323
Ammunition	.50 Caliber MK211-1 Cartridge	496,200	4	1,736,700
Total				\$66,294,539

Note: The above list reflects only the 10 highest-value equipment provided to the ANA this quarter. The “unit costs” listed reflect the average costs paid for items procured under multiple Foreign Military Sales cases. OCIE = Organizational Clothing and Individual Equipment.

Source: CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.

quarter’s data shows a decrease in the amount of high-cost equipment provisions compared to previous quarters.¹⁵⁵

CSTC-A told SIGAR this quarter that because most equipment procurements for the ANDSF require a lengthy process, equipment provisions reported here often reflect procurement requests that were made and funded one or two years ago. CSTC-A said that over the last year or so, it has shifted away from requesting bulk procurements of “major” items, such as weapons systems, HMMWVs, and other costly procurements. Instead, they are more focused on training the ANDSF to repair the equipment they currently have to extend its usable life rather than replacing it on a fixed schedule. CSTC-A will continue to provide replacements for items once they have exceeded their life cycle or are unrepairable, and to replenish less costly items like ammunition and individual equipment at operationally determined intervals.¹⁵⁶

ANA Equipment Operational Readiness – Data Classified

This quarter, USFOR-A continued to classify data on ANA equipment readiness because the Afghan government classifies it.¹⁵⁷ SIGAR’s questions about ANA equipment readiness can be found in Appendix E of this report. ANA equipment readiness is reported in the classified annex of this report.

ANA Infrastructure

The United States had obligated and disbursed \$6 billion of ASFF appropriations from FY 2005 through FY 2018 for ANA, AAF, and some ASSF infrastructure projects as of December 31, 2019.¹⁵⁸

As of December 8, 2019, the United States completed a total of 478 ANA, AAF, and ANASOC infrastructure projects in Afghanistan at a total cost of roughly \$5.4 billion. The number of completed, ongoing, and awarded projects this quarter were in line with trends reported over the last year.

CSTC-A reported that four projects were completed this quarter, costing roughly \$11.6 million. Another 33 projects were ongoing (\$246.8 million total cost) and four projects were awarded (valued at \$18.5 million). A project to build a facility for the Special Operations Brigade-North at Camp Pratt in Mazar-e Sharif was terminated this quarter because the NATO command working with the Afghan special forces determined that existing facilities could support the force's requirement. CSTC-A said the project's construction had started, but there were no complete components at the time of termination. Unspent project funds will be returned to the CSTC-A comptroller for possible use on other ASFF requirements.¹⁵⁹

The highest-cost ongoing projects include a joint NATO-ANA Trust Fund (NATF)-ASFF funded operations and life-support area for the AAF at the Mazar-e Sharif (\$40.8 million), a joint NATF-ASFF funded electrical grid connection for the ANA's Camp Shaheen (\$30.5 million), and an ASFF-funded renovations and additions to the ANA Parwan Prison (\$26.8 million).¹⁶⁰

ANA Training and Operations

As of December 31, 2019, the United States had obligated and disbursed approximately \$4.3 billion of ASFF appropriations from FY 2005 through FY 2018 for ANA, AAF, some ASSF, and MOD training and operations.¹⁶¹


This quarter, the Department of Defense Office of the Inspector General (DOD OIG) released an audit determining whether DOD developed training, mentoring, and contractor-logistics-support requirements for the National Maintenance Strategy-Ground Vehicle Support (NMS-GVS) contract met the ANDSF's needs for maintaining and sustaining its vehicles. The main finding was that the \$2.2 billion in contracts DOD awarded for contractor support to train and perform vehicle maintenance and repairs for the ANDSF since 2010 have made no significant progress in the ANDSF's ability to independently perform maintenance. DOD OIG said that based on the results of the latest contract, the NMS-GVS, "the ANDSF will face challenges in becoming self-sufficient unless CSTC-A develops training and mentoring requirements that measure the ANDSF progression levels, establishes a reasonable work split requirement, and provides required software systems." In addition, if the ANDSF is not able to become self-sufficient by August 2022, when the NMS-GVS ends, DOD may have to continue paying contractor support.¹⁶²

SPECIAL PROJECT

SIGAR issued a special project this quarter reviewing DOD's efforts to implement recommendations from a 2016 SIGAR audit on the Afghanistan Technical Equipment Maintenance Program (A-TEMP) contract. The review found that while DOD did implement SIGAR's recommendations, it is still unknown whether their actions resulted in overall reduced spare-parts cost for the ANDSF. SIGAR made one further recommendation, that DOD modify the new NMS-GVS contract to allow the contractor to use the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) to purchase spare parts when DLA's prices are the least expensive source. DOD agreed with the recommendation. See Section 2 for more information about this report.

FIGURE 3.39

ANA-TERRITORIAL FORCE DISPOSITION



Note: ANA-TF = Afghan National Army-Territorial Force; Operational and Provisional = Companies are split between operational and provisional statuses; Operational and Training = Companies are split between operational and training statuses. This data in this map is as of November 29, 2019.

Source: USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019 and response to SIGAR vetting, 1/1/2020 and 1/18/2020.

ANA Territorial Force

The Afghan National Army Territorial Force (ANA-TF) is the newest ANDSF force element. Designed to be a lightly armed local security force that is more accountable to the ANDSF chain of command than local forces like the ALP, the ANA-TF is responsible for holding terrain in permissive security environments. DOD says that some of the ANA-TF companies may replace conventional ANA companies, where authorizations exist, in areas where conditions are appropriate for the units to thrive. Following a potential peace deal, DOD assesses that the ANA-TF or similar construct may serve as a potential vehicle for reintegration of insurgent fighters as one part of a whole-of-government approach.¹⁶³

The ANDSF is currently nearing the end of its second phase of expanding the ANA-TF. According to RS, there were 70 operational ANA-TF companies across Afghanistan, as of November 29, 2019, with 14 more

companies in training, six more being stood up provisionally, and 15 more in the planning and recruiting phase.¹⁶⁴ The ANA-TF's expansion has been rapid: in July 2019, the ANA had only employed 26 operational companies across Afghanistan.¹⁶⁵

The ANA-TF is currently authorized for 105 companies (expected to be fully operational by March 1, 2020), and the Afghan government has initial plans to grow the ANA-TF to 121 companies during a potential third phase of ANA-TF expansion. Seen in Figure 3.39 on the previous page, the locations of the ANA-TF's operational and planned companies serve the purpose of denying the Taliban freedom of maneuver, and keeping the Taliban away from urban areas and key lines of communication and transportation.¹⁶⁶

AFGHAN AIR FORCE

U.S. Funding

As of November 23, 2019, the United States had appropriated approximately \$8.4 billion to support and develop the AAF (including the SMW) from FY 2010 to FY 2020. After authorizing \$1.5 billion to pay for the AAF's aircraft, sustainment, training, and infrastructure in 2019, Congress authorized some \$1.3 billion in FY 2020 funding for the AAF, bringing authorized funding back to the lower levels of 2017 and 2018. The AAF has been authorized more funding than any other element of the ANDSF in 2020.¹⁶⁷

Table 3.7 shows that in FY 2020 funding priorities for the force shifted from equipment and aircraft outlays to training for the first time since FY 2016, although sustainment remains the most resourced funding category.¹⁶⁸ AAF sustainment costs primarily include contractor-provided maintenance, major and minor repairs, and procurement of parts and supplies for the AAF's in-country inventory of seven air platforms: UH-60, MD-530, and Mi-17 helicopters; A-29, C-208, and AC-208 fixed-wing aircraft; and C-130 transport aircraft.¹⁶⁹

TABLE 3.7

U.S. ASFF FUNDING AUTHORIZED AND/OR REQUESTED FOR THE AAF, FY 2015–2020 (\$ THOUSANDS)						
Funding Category	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
Equipment and Aircraft	\$142,931	\$27,263	\$837,358	\$395,480	\$545,764	\$103,650
Training	104,220	92,617	156,045	266,406	244,853	340,261
Sustainment	329,032	416,408	278,032	554,603	679,558	837,776
Infrastructure	–	–	8,386	22,257	24,850	8,611
Total	\$576,183	\$536,288	\$1,279,821	\$1,238,746	\$1,495,025	\$1,290,298

Note: Data is as of November 23, 2019. This table does not reflect the effects of the December 2019 enacted rescission of FY 2019 funds or two forthcoming budget revision proposals for FY 2019 and FY 2020.

Source: CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.

Nearly \$5.9 billion had been obligated for the AAF and SMW from FY 2010 through FY 2019, as of November 23. About \$1.2 billion of those funds were obligated in FY 2018, and \$927.1 million has been obligated thus far in FY 2019.¹⁷⁰ A substantial portion of these funds (\$2.8 billion) has been obligated for AAF sustainment, which accounts for 48% of obligated funds, followed by equipment and aircraft (\$1.9 billion) at 32%. The amount of funds obligated thus far in FY 2019 differ from recent fiscal years in that the amount obligated for equipment and aircraft (\$76.2 million) decreased by 79% compared to FY 2018 and by 81% to 2017.¹⁷¹ CSTC-A said this is because aircraft procurement has slowed down from previous years and CSTC-A is transitioning to sustaining and maintaining the current fleet.¹⁷²

Aircraft Inventory and Status

TAAC-Air reported an increase of nine operational aircraft this quarter. TAAC-Air reported that the AAF received five additional UH-60s and five MD-530s this quarter. Seen in Table 3.8, the AAF's current in-country inventory as of December 29, 2019, includes 167 operational aircraft. The table also shows TAAC Air's anticipated end state for the AAF by the end of FY 2021. Of note, they are planning to phase out the Mi-17s and add 10 more A-29s, seven more UH-60s, and six more MD-530s to the AAF's inventory.¹⁷³

AAF Operations and Readiness

The AAF decreased its flight hours by 9%, and the readiness of four of seven of its airframes declined this quarter (October–December 2019), compared to last quarter (July–September 2019).¹⁷⁴ However, all airframes except the C-208, a single-engine cargo or personnel transport plane, met their readiness benchmarks, an improvement from last quarter, when three airframes failed to meet their readiness benchmarks. According to TAAC-Air, the C-208 did not meet its benchmark because its maintenance contractors were often prevented from coming to work due to security conditions. Also, some C-208s are awaiting parts that take time to procure and some C-208s require complex repairs. Only the Mi-17 continued to fly over its recommended flight hours this quarter, the same as last quarter. TAAC-Air said this was because in November, the Mi-17s were forced to fly less while recovering due to their maintenance backlog from overflying in September and October.¹⁷⁵

TAAC-Air also reported this quarter that it reduced its total number of AAF advisors from 183 to 150 “to better meet the needs of the [AAF].” They said they are still able to focus on advising on issues that are most critical to the AAF's continued growth, and that TAAC-Air's current capabilities and resources continue to meet all the AAF's training needs.¹⁷⁶ TAAC-Air said its advising model is focused on long-term and expeditionary advising. TAAC-Air is working to encourage AAF growth and independence in

TABLE 3.8

AAF AVIATION SUMMARY AS OF DECEMBER 2019			
AIRCRAFT	Usable	Total	End State / Goal 2021
Fixed Wing			
A-29	15	25	25
C-130	3	4	4
C-208	23	24	23
AC-208	10	10	10
Rotary Wing			
Mi-17	22	27	0
UH-60	45	45	52
MD-530	49	49	55
Total	167	184	151

Note: These figures do not include the aircraft for the Special Mission Wing, which are classified.

Source: TAAC-Air, response to SIGAR data call, 1/7/2020; TAAC-Air, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/18/2019; SIGAR, analysis of TAAC-Air-provided data, 1/2020.



A police advisor teaches ANP officers about civil rights during an interview and reporting course. (RS photo by Staff Sgt. Neysa Canfield)

areas where they demonstrate the ability to sustain progress, establish enduring advisors in areas most critical to the AAF's continued success, and create expeditionary advisors at specific points of need to accelerate AAF independence.¹⁷⁷

AFGHAN NATIONAL POLICE

As of December 31, 2019, the United States had obligated nearly \$21.7 billion and disbursed more than \$21.4 billion of ASFF funds from FY 2005 through FY 2018 appropriations to build, train, equip, and sustain the ANP and some ASSF. These force elements comprised the ANP budget activity group (BAG) for reporting purposes through FY 2018 appropriation. For more information about FY 2019 ASFF expenditures and authorizations for the ANP see pages 48–50.¹⁷⁸

ANP Sustainment Funding

As of December 31, 2019, the United States had obligated approximately \$9.7 billion and disbursed approximately \$9.6 billion of ASFF from FY 2005 through FY 2018 appropriations for ANP and some ASSF sustainment.¹⁷⁹ For more information about what these costs include and the amount U.S. funds appropriated for ANP sustainment in FY 2019, see pages 50–51 of this report.

This quarter, CSTC-A reported that the total amount expended for on-budget MOI elements' sustainment requirements thus far for Afghan FY 1398 (December 22, 2018–November 1, 2019) was roughly \$140.7 million. Some \$100.9 million of these funds went toward nonpayroll-related MOI sustainment expenses such as contracts for operations and maintenance

of equipment and buildings, drilling wells, security improvements, and other minor projects. CSTC-A said nonpayroll expenditures are increasing because MOI is doing a better job of executing its sustainment contracts.¹⁸⁰

The remaining \$39.8 million of CSTC-A's contributions to MOI sustainment expenses was spent on ALP salaries. CSTC-A provided roughly the same amount of funds to the Afghan government for ALP salary and incentive payments as in the same period in Afghan FY 1397, despite the ALP's decreased personnel strength in APPS compared to its self-reported strength from the same period a year prior.¹⁸¹ Unlike with the ANA, a significant share of ANP personnel costs (including ANP salaries) are paid by international donors through the United Nations Development Programme's multidonor Law and Order Trust Fund for Afghanistan (LOTFA).¹⁸²

ANP Equipment and Transportation

As of December 31, 2019, the United States had obligated and disbursed approximately \$4.8 billion and disbursed approximately \$4.7 billion of ASFF from FY 2005 through FY 2018 appropriations for ANP equipment and transportation costs.¹⁸³

CSTC-A informed SIGAR that they decided to move away from procuring "major," high-cost equipment, like HMMWVs or entire communications systems, a change from prior quarters. Instead, they are more focused on training the ANDSF to repair the equipment they currently have to extend its usable life rather than replacing it on a fixed schedule. CSTC-A will continue to provide replacements for items once they have exceeded their life cycle or are unrepairable, and to replenish less costly items like ammunition and individual equipment at regular, operationally determined intervals.¹⁸⁴

Seen in Table 3.9 on the following page, CSTC-A reported that the highest-cost items of equipment provided to the ANP this quarter (September 1 through November 15, 2019) were less costly than they were previously and included several types of ammunition and tires for ANP trucks (\$1.3 million).¹⁸⁵

ANP Infrastructure

The United States had obligated and disbursed approximately \$3.2 billion of ASFF appropriations from FY 2005 through FY 2018 for ANP and some ASSF infrastructure projects as of December 31, 2019.¹⁸⁶

This quarter, CSTC-A reported a \$10 million decrease in the estimated annual facilities-sustainment costs funded by the United States for all ANP facility and electrical-generator requirements. These costs will now be \$68.8 million per year, with \$42.4 million provided directly to the Afghan government and \$26.4 million spent by CSTC-A for the Afghan government. CSTC-A said this decrease reflects the difference between the planned facilities-sustainment costs and the actual amounts executed by the MOI.

TABLE 3.9

MAJOR EQUIPMENT PROVIDED TO THE ANP, SEPTEMBER 1–NOVEMBER 15, 2019				
Equipment Type	Equipment Description	Units Issued in Quarter	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Ammunition	5.56 mm 10 & 12 Clip Band Cartridge	7,096,320	\$0.35	\$2,483,712
Parts - Vehicles	Goodyear Tire for Ford Ranger	4,276	360.50	1,310,594
Ammunition	7.62 mm x 54 mm Cartridge*	1,679,000	0.71	1,922,090
Communication	Exportable Multiband Networking Radio	82	10,507	861,574
Weapon	Club, Self-Protection	5,500	113.64	625,020
Ammunition	12.7 mm x 108 mm Ball Cartridge*	144,000	3.82	550,080
Ammunition	High-Explosive Grenade (40 mm)	5,508	69.89	384,954
Parts - Weapons	MK93 Machine Gun/Grenade Launcher Mount	199	1,775	353,225
OCIE	Combat Boots	3,489	95.85	334,421
Parts - Communications	Power Converter	100	2,643	264,300
Total Cost of Equipment				\$9,089,970

* = non-NATO-standard equipment.

Note: The above list reflects only the 10 highest-value equipment items provided to the ANP this quarter. The "unit costs" listed reflect the average costs paid for items procured under multiple Foreign Military Sales cases. OCIE = Organizational Clothing and Individual Equipment.

Source: CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.

Both ministries have experienced difficulties in executing their facilities-sustainment programs.¹⁸⁷

As of December 8, 2019, the United States had completed 782 ANP infrastructure projects in Afghanistan valued at roughly \$3 billion. CSTC-A reported that two projects were completed this quarter, costing \$4.3 million. Another seven projects (valued at \$82.5 million) were ongoing and no projects were awarded. The number of completed, ongoing, and awarded projects this quarter declined compared to reporting over the last year.¹⁸⁸ CSTC-A said this was because the ANP's facilities needs have mostly been met, or are currently under construction, so the construction program will continue to slow, with fewer new projects reported each quarter.¹⁸⁹

The highest-cost ongoing ANP infrastructure projects include a joint NATF- and ASSF-funded CCTV surveillance system in Kabul (\$33 million), and ASFF-funded GCPSU facilities in Kabul (\$2.1 million) and Kandahar (\$1.7 million).¹⁹⁰

ANP Training and Operations

As of December 31, 2019, the United States had obligated \$4.1 billion and disbursed \$3.9 billion of ASFF appropriations from FY 2005 through FY 2018 for ANP and some ASSF training and operations.¹⁹¹ See page 82 for an update on ANP vehicle-maintenance training.



An Afghan policeman removes a roadside IED in Helmand Province. (Afghan government photo)

REMOVING UNEXPLODED ORDNANCE

Afghanistan is riddled with landmines and explosive remnants of war (ERW) such as live shells and bombs, according to the United Nations.¹⁹² Although contamination includes legacy mines laid before 2001, most casualties today are caused by the mines and other ERW following the arrival of international forces.¹⁹³ In recent years, casualties have been reported from ordnance exploding in areas formerly used as firing ranges by Coalition forces and UNAMA has documented a direct correlation between civilian casualties and ERW in areas following heavy fighting.¹⁹⁴

State's Bureau of Political-Military Affairs' Office of Weapons Removal and Abatement (PM/WRA) manages the conventional-weapons destruction program in Afghanistan. Since FY 2002, State has allocated \$400 million in weapons-destruction and humanitarian mine-action assistance to Afghanistan (an additional \$11.6 million was obligated between 1997 and 2001 before the start of the current U.S. reconstruction effort). As of September 30, 2019, PM/WRA has allocated \$20 million in FY 2018 funds.¹⁹⁵

State directly funds seven Afghan nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), six international NGOs, and one Afghan government organization to help clear areas in Afghanistan contaminated by ERW and by conventional weapons (e.g., unexploded mortar rounds), which insurgents can use to construct roadside bombs and other improvised explosive devices (IEDs).¹⁹⁶

From 1997 through September 30, 2019, State-funded implementing partners have cleared more than 275.1 million square meters of land (106 square miles or less than twice the area of the District of Columbia) and

SECURITY

TABLE 3.10

DEMINEING PROGRAM PERFORMANCE METRICS, FISCAL YEARS 2010–2019							Estimated Contaminated Area Remaining (m ²) ^a
Fiscal Year	Minefields Cleared (m ²)	AT/AP Destroyed	UXO Destroyed	SAA Destroyed	Fragments Cleared		
2010	39,337,557	13,879	663,162	1,602,267	4,339,235		650,662,000
2011	31,644,360	10,504	345,029	2,393,725	21,966,347		602,000,000
2012	46,783,527	11,830	344,363	1,058,760	22,912,702		550,000,000
2013	25,059,918	6,431	203,024	275,697	10,148,683		521,000,000
2014	22,071,212	12,397	287,331	346,484	9,415,712		511,600,000
2015	12,101,386	2,134	33,078	88,798	4,062,478		570,800,000
2016	27,856,346	6,493	6,289	91,563	9,616,485		607,600,000
2017	31,897,313	6,646	37,632	88,261	1,158,886		547,000,000
2018	25,233,844	5,299	30,924	158,850	N/A		558,700,000
2019	13,104,094	3,102	26,791	162,727	N/A		657,693,033
Total	275,089,557	78,715	1,977,623	6,267,132	83,620,528		

Note: AT/AP = antitank/antipersonnel ordnance. UXO = unexploded ordnance. SAA = small-arms ammunition. N/A = not applicable.

Fragments are reported because clearing them requires the same care as other objects until their nature is determined. There are about 4,047 square meters (m²) to an acre.

^a Total area of contaminated land fluctuates as clearance activities reduce hazardous areas while ongoing survey work identifies and adds new contaminated land in the Information Management System for Mine Action (IMSMA) database.

Source: PM/WRA, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.

removed or destroyed over eight million landmines and other ERW such as unexploded ordnance (UXO), abandoned ordnance (AO), stockpiled munitions, and homemade explosives. Table 3.10 shows conventional-weapons destruction figures, FY 2010–2019.¹⁹⁷

The estimated total area of contaminated land continues to fluctuate: clearance activities reduce the extent of hazardous areas, but ongoing surveys find new contaminated land. At the beginning of the calendar year, there were 619.3 square kilometers (239.1 square miles) of contaminated minefields and battlefields. As of September 30, the total known contaminated area was 657.7 square kilometers (253.9 square miles) in 3,995 hazard areas. PM/WRA defines a minefield as the area contaminated by landmines; a contaminated area can include both landmines and other ERW.¹⁹⁸

In 2012, the Afghan government was granted an extension until 2023 to fulfill its obligations under the Ottawa Treaty to achieve mine-free status. Given the magnitude of the problem and inadequate financial support, the country is not expected to achieve this objective.¹⁹⁹

According to State, the drawdown of Coalition forces in 2014 coincided with a reduction in international donor funds to the Mine Action Programme for Afghanistan (MAPA). From a peak of \$113 million in 2010, MAPA's budget decreased to \$51 million in 2018. The Afghan government is expected to request another 10-year extension to meet its treaty obligations. However, according to the State Department, the extension request cannot be initiated or acknowledged sooner than 18 months before April 2023—the end date of the current extension.²⁰⁰

CONFLICT MITIGATION ASSISTANCE FOR CIVILIANS

USAID’s Conflict Mitigation Assistance for Civilians (COMAC) is a \$40 million, five-year, nationwide program that began in March 2018. It supports Afghan civilians and their families who have suffered losses from military operations against the Taliban or from insurgent attacks. COMAC provides assistance to Afghan civilians and their dependent family members who have experienced loss due to:²⁰¹


- military operations involving the U.S., Coalition, or ANDSF against insurgents, criminals, terrorists, or illegal armed groups
- landmines, improvised explosive devices (IED), unexploded ordnance, suicide attacks, public mass shootings, or other insurgent or terrorist actions
- cross-border shelling or cross-border fighting

COMAC provides in-kind goods sufficient to support families affected by conflict for 60 days. Additional assistance includes referrals for health care and livelihood service providers, and economic reintegration for families impacted by loss or injury.²⁰² During FY 2019, COMAC launched an online incident case-management system to coordinate the distribution of assistance packages. The incident-management system uses biometric registration to identify beneficiaries. During FY 2019, COMAC responded to 3,797 incidents in all 34 provinces, distributing 7,734 assistance packages to 5,326 eligible families for a total program expense of \$1.8 million. As seen in Figure 3.40, the provinces receiving the most assistance included Nangarhar (\$208,700), Kabul (\$186,400), and Ghazni (\$172,800) while the provinces receiving the least assistance included Bamyan (\$456), Panjshir (\$548) and Nimroz (\$1,160).²⁰³

As of September 30, 2019, USAID has disbursed \$11.28 million for this program.²⁰⁴

FIGURE 3.40

USAID’S CONFLICT-MITIGATION ASSISTANCE FOR CIVILIANS BY PROVINCE, FY 2019



Note: Total assistance rounded to the nearest U.S. dollar. "Total Assistance" includes immediate assistance, tailored assistance, and medical assistance.

Source: USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.

GOVERNANCE CONTENTS

Key Issues & Events	93
U.S. Reconstruction Funding for Governance	94
Survey of the Afghan People Released	94
Reconciliation and Reintegration	94
Presidential Elections	96
Mutual Accountability	100
U.S. Assistance to the Afghan Government Budget	100
National Governance	108
Subnational Governance	109
Rule of Law and Anticorruption	110
Counternarcotics	115
Refugees and Internal Displacement	119
Women's Advancement	120

GOVERNANCE

KEY ISSUES & EVENTS

U.S.-Taliban peace talks resumed this quarter following their September 2019 suspension.

Afghan election authorities released preliminary results for the September 2019 presidential election that showed President Ashraf Ghani in the lead.

The Asia Foundation released its annual Survey of the Afghan People, reporting that 64% of respondents believed reconciliation between the Afghan government and the Taliban is possible.

This quarter, President Donald J. Trump publicly announced the restart of U.S.-Taliban talks, declaring on a Thanksgiving Day visit to Bagram Air Base, “The Taliban wants to make a deal.”²⁰⁵ President Trump had suspended U.S. peace talks with the Taliban on September 7, 2019, after nine rounds of negotiations.²⁰⁶ At Bagram this quarter, President Trump reiterated that he had suspended the talks because the Taliban killed a U.S. soldier. Calling for a cease-fire, President Trump said the United States would stay in Afghanistan until “we have a deal or we have total victory.” On January 22, 2020, President Trump told President Ashraf Ghani that the Taliban needed to produce a “significant and lasting reduction in violence” to facilitate meaningful negotiations.²⁰⁷

On December 22, Afghanistan’s Independent Election Commission (IEC) released the long-delayed preliminary results from the September 28 presidential election. According to the preliminary figures, President Ghani received 923,868 votes (50.64%), Chief Executive Abdullah received 720,990 votes (39.52%), and Gulbuddin Hekmatyar received 70,242 votes (3.85%).²⁰⁸ The head of the IEC said the totals could change as the results are subject to a review by the Electoral Complaints Commission (ECC). If President Ghani’s votes fall below 50% plus one vote and no other candidate receives a majority, the IEC said there will be a run-off.²⁰⁹

U.S. RECONSTRUCTION FUNDING FOR GOVERNANCE

As of December 31, 2019, the United States had provided more than \$34.96 billion to support governance and economic development in Afghanistan. Most of this funding, nearly \$20.85 billion, was appropriated to the Economic Support Fund (ESF) administered by the State Department (State) and the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID).²¹⁰

Starting this quarter, SIGAR will report on counternarcotics in this section. Since counternarcotics is a crosscutting issue that depends on a variety of reconstruction activities, please see Appendix B for the consolidated list of counternarcotics reconstruction funding.

SURVEY OF THE AFGHAN PEOPLE RELEASED

In December, the Asia Foundation released its annual *Survey of the Afghan People*, which found that 36% of their randomly selected respondents believe Afghanistan is going in the right direction (up from 33% the previous two years but still significantly below the high of 58% in 2013). A national sample of 17,812 Afghan respondents aged 18 years and above were surveyed face-to-face across all 34 provinces from July 11 to August 7, 2019. According to the Asia Foundation, the margin of error is +/- 1.16% with a 95% confidence interval. Unless otherwise noted, results from the survey referenced in this report are from the randomly selected respondents that represented 89% of the Asia Foundation's sample.²¹¹ As shown in Figure 3.41, the Asia Foundation's findings represent the views of randomly sampled Afghans living in districts occupied by about 77% of Afghanistan's population.²¹²



U.S. Special Representative for Afghanistan Reconciliation Zalmay Khalilzad updates President Ashraf Ghani on the progress in U.S.-Taliban peace talks. (Afghan government photo)

RECONCILIATION AND REINTEGRATION

U.S.-Taliban Talks Resume

This quarter, President Trump confirmed that U.S. and Taliban representatives had restarted peace talks following their suspension in September 2019. President Trump called for a cease-fire and said the United States would stay in Afghanistan “until such time as we have a deal or we have total victory.”²¹³


A day before President Trump's remarks, the Taliban released an American and an Australian professor, both of whom had been held hostage by the Taliban since August 2016. According to State, the Taliban viewed the hostage release as a goodwill gesture. The Taliban also released 10 Afghan prisoners and the Afghan government released three Taliban prisoners.²¹⁴

On December 7, talks restarted between the U.S. and the Taliban in Doha, Qatar. According to State, the discussion was focused on a reduction of violence that would lead to intra-Afghan negotiations and a cease-fire.²¹⁵ The talks paused on December 12 following an attack on a medical facility

GOVERNANCE

FIGURE 3.41

2019 SURVEY OF THE AFGHAN PEOPLE (DISTRICTS BY SURVEY METHOD)



Source: SIGAR analysis of The Asia Foundation's 2019 Afghan Survey Data (downloaded 12/19/2019) and USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 2/15/2018.

under construction near Bagram Air Field. U.S. Special Representative for Afghanistan Reconciliation Zalmay Khalilzad tweeted that he expressed outrage about the attack to the Taliban negotiators, saying they must demonstrate their desire for peace.²¹⁶

On December 30, the Taliban posted a message on Twitter acknowledging the senior leadership was actively considering a U.S. proposal to reduce the scope and intensity of violence in Afghanistan prior to signing the draft U.S.-Taliban agreement, State said.²¹⁷

On January 1, 2020, U.S. Ambassador to Afghanistan John R. Bass said in an interview that the United States, in its negotiations with the Taliban, was not insisting on a nation-wide cease-fire. Instead, the goal was a reduction in violence—observable by everyday Afghans—that covers international and Afghan security forces.²¹⁸

On January 13, 2020, President Ghani's spokesperson called on the Taliban to agree to a cease-fire, saying, "without a cease-fire, there would be no peace talks [with the Afghan government]."²¹⁹ This same spokesman expressed the Afghan government's preference for a cease-fire over a reduction in violence, saying a reduction of violence does not have an established definition and is therefore impractical.²²⁰ U.S. Special Representative for

Afghan perceptions of reconciliation

According to the Asia Foundation's 2019 survey, 64% of respondents believed that reconciliation between the Afghan government and the Taliban is possible (a significant increase over the 53.5% who believed this in 2017). When it comes to offering assistance to former insurgents, 81% of respondents either strongly agreed or somewhat agreed (up from 69% in 2018). Almost half of respondents, 48.6%, say they feel sufficiently represented in the peace talks. Male respondents are more likely to feel represented than female respondents (51.8% vs. 45.4%). The sense of representation is higher among more-educated respondents.

Source: The Asia Foundation, Afghanistan in 2018: A Survey of the Afghan People, 12/4/2018, p. 5; The Asia Foundation, A Survey of the Afghan People: Afghanistan in 2019, 12/2/2019, pp. 171, 173–174.

Afghanistan Reconciliation Zalmay Khalilzad has called for reduced violence to pave the way for intra-Afghan negotiations. On January 22, 2020, President Trump told President Ashraf Ghani that the Taliban needed to produce a “significant and lasting reduction in violence” to facilitate meaningful negotiations.²²¹

A more comprehensive discussion of State’s perspectives on the peace talks is presented in the classified addendum of this report.

PRESIDENTIAL ELECTIONS

Preliminary Election Results Released Two Months Late

On December 22, 2019, the Afghan government’s Independent Election Commission (IEC) released the long-delayed preliminary results from the September 28 presidential election.²²² The IEC originally planned to release the preliminary results on October 19, followed by final results on November 7, and to hold a run-off between the top two candidates (if no candidate received more than 50% of the finalized results) on November 23, 2019.²²³


According to the preliminary figures, the top three candidates were President Ghani with 923,868 votes (50.64%), Chief Executive Abdullah with 720,990 votes (39.52%), and Gulbuddin Hekmatyar with 70,242 votes (3.85%).²²⁴ While announcing the preliminary results, the head of the IEC said the outcome could change subject to a review by the Electoral Complaints Commission (ECC). If President Ghani’s results fall below 50% plus one vote of the final total and no other candidate achieves a majority, there will be a run-off.²²⁵ U.S. Ambassador to Afghanistan John R. Bass reiterated the IEC’s caution about the preliminary nature of the results, tweeting that “many steps remain before final election results are certified.”²²⁶ The ECC received approximately 16,500 complaints, with 8,000 filed by Chief Executive Abdullah’s campaign and 3,000 by President Ghani’s campaign.²²⁷ On January 14, 2020, the ECC said it had rejected almost 10,000 of the complaints for lack of evidence. Further, the ECC ordered recounts for 5,315 polling stations.²²⁸

Commenting on the low voter turnout, Ambassador Bass said, “In our political culture, [such a victory with less than one million votes in a country of 30 million people] is not a commanding mandate. That is not a signal that a large majority of the people support whoever that person is.” Ambassador Bass called on the eventual winner to exhibit humility and govern inclusively.²²⁹

Of the 5,373 planned polling centers for the presidential election, only 4,678 opened on election day; most of the remainder were closed due to insecurity, the UN Secretary-General reported.²³⁰ However, the IEC included only the preliminary results from 4,540 polling centers.²³¹

FIGURE 3.42

VOTER REGISTRATION AND TURNOUT BY PROVINCE (THOUSANDS)



Note: See Appendix F “Low Election Turnout Across the Board” for details on this analysis.

Source: SIGAR analysis of IEC preliminary results data compiled by Colin Cookman, downloaded from https://github.com/colincookman/afghanistan_presidential_election_2019 on 12/25/2019 and USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 2/15/2018.


Low Election Turnout Across the Board

Only 21.84% (1,824,401) of Afghanistan’s more than eight million registered voters cast ballots in the September election. Figure 3.42 shows the total number of registered voters per province compared to the reported voter turnout. Kabul and Nangarhar Provinces stand out for having the largest number of both registered voters and recorded votes (representing between them approximately 30% of both registrations and votes nationwide).²³²

However, these two relatively urbanized provinces saw only average levels of turnout as a percent of registered voters. Instead, as Figure 3.43 on the following page shows, the more rural, minority Hazara-dominated Daykundi (56.69%) and Bamyan Provinces (48.52%) had the highest voter turnout as a percent of registered voters per province.²³³ For more discussion on the urban/rural divide, see Appendix F Methodological Notes of this report.

FIGURE 3.43

PROVINCE-LEVEL TURNOUT AS PERCENT OF REGISTERED VOTERS



Note: See Appendix F “Low Election Turnout Across the Board” for details on this analysis.

Source: SIGAR analysis of IEC preliminary results data compiled by Colin Cookman, downloaded from https://github.com/colincookman/afghanistan_presidential_election_2019 on 12/25/2019.

Candidates and Protesters Demand Only Biometrically Validated Votes Be Counted

According to the IEC, one of the reasons for the delay in announcing the preliminary results was a dispute over the audit and recounting of votes from 8,225 polling stations (representing the equivalent of approximately 29% of the polling stations reported in the IEC’s preliminary results) that either lacked biometric data or showed other discrepancies.²³⁴

Chief Executive Abdullah Abdullah and many of the minor presidential candidates claimed that approximately 29% of the to-be-audited polling stations lacked biometric data. These candidates said the IEC should immediately invalidate the votes from these stations as the IEC had already said it would only count biometrically verified votes. Most of the presidential candidates did not want the audit and recount to proceed without a commitment from the IEC that it would invalidate some 300,000 votes that the candidates said were either registered outside of official polling hours, were initially quarantined, or had evidence of duplication (duplicate photos, voter registration numbers, or fingerprints). On November 13, the IEC suspended its audit and recount in 14 provinces for five days following protests by Abdullah’s supporters.²³⁵

After the IEC and the protesting candidates failed to reach a compromise, the IEC resumed its audit and recount, and the protesting candidates continued their boycott. Abdullah’s supporters halted the process again in seven provinces.²³⁶ On December 13, Abdullah asked his supporters to allow the IEC to proceed with their recount, saying this showed his “goodwill” despite his continued refusal to accept the hundreds of thousands of votes he said were fraudulent.²³⁷

Many candidates and their supporters appear to have pinned their hopes for a fair election on the implementation of biometric voter-verification technologies. As the UN Secretary-General reported, political reactions and maneuvering regarding biometric voter verification are at the center of the post-electoral controversies.²³⁸ According to USAID, while all candidates and the election-management bodies agree that only biometrically processed votes should be counted, they disagree over how to determine which votes are biometrically processed.²³⁹

U.S. Funding Support to Elections

The Afghan government estimated that the presidential elections would cost \$149 million, with the Afghan government committing \$90 million and donors providing the remaining \$59 million through the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). According to the UN Secretary-General, these elections were the first primarily funded by the Afghan government since 2001.²⁴⁰

The U.S. government provided financial support to Afghan parliamentary and presidential elections in 2018 and 2019 through a grant of up to \$79 million to the UNDP. Through this grant, UNDP provides support to Afghanistan’s electoral management bodies, the IEC and the ECC.²⁴¹

As shown in Table 3.11, USAID had two active elections-related programs this quarter, the largest of which is support to the UNDP.²⁴²

USAID has the three-year, \$18.2 million cooperative agreement with the Consortium for Elections and Political Process Strengthening (CEPPS)—representing the International Foundation for Electoral Systems, the International Republican Institute, the National Democratic Institute, and Internews—to support domestic Afghan election observation of the 2018 parliamentary elections, the 2019 presidential elections, and to promote longer-term electoral reforms.²⁴³ CEPPS’ local partners trained and planned to field 7,109 observers to cover all polling centers in the 2019 presidential election, but reportedly fielded only some 6,800 domestic observers covering 79% of open polling centers.²⁴⁴

TABLE 3.11

USAID ELECTION-RELATED PROGRAMS				
Project Title	Start Date	End Date	Total Estimated Cost	Cumulative Disbursements, as of 1/11/2020
Electoral Support Activity (ESA)	5/20/2015	12/31/2019	\$78,995,000	\$59,955,399
Strengthening Civic Engagement in Elections in Afghanistan Activity (SCEEA)	8/9/2018	8/8/2021	18,253,000	9,295,886

Source: USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020.

“[What] concerns me is the number of people in this [Afghan] society, but particularly here in Kabul, who have a sense of entitlement about the flow of financial resources from the international community, from other governments, from taxpayers in democratic societies that will come to Afghanistan. They seem to expect that we will continue to provide hundreds of millions of dollars in funding regardless of whether it is spent well, regardless of whether it achieves the intended results because we have done so in the past.”

—*U.S. Ambassador to Afghanistan,*
John Bass

Source: ToloNews, “US Amb. Bass: Take Opportunity for Peace, Fight Corruption,” 1/1/2020.



Outgoing U.S. Ambassador to Afghanistan John R. Bass offering his thoughts after receiving an award from President Ashraf Ghani. (Afghan government photo)

MUTUAL ACCOUNTABILITY

Afghanistan Compact

This quarter, State said there were no updates on the Afghan government’s progress in meeting the Afghanistan Compact’s benchmarks for reform because the Afghan government and the U.S. Embassy had suspended the periodic Compact meetings until after the election.²⁴⁵

The U.S. and Afghan governments announced the launch of the Afghanistan Compact in 2017.²⁴⁶ The Compact is an Afghan-led initiative designed to demonstrate the government’s commitment to reforms. The Afghan government appears to face no direct financial consequences if it fails to meet the Afghanistan Compact reform commitments. Instead, the principal motivation for the Afghan government officials tasked with achieving the Compact benchmarks appears to be avoiding embarrassment, State said.²⁴⁷

U.S. ASSISTANCE TO THE AFGHAN GOVERNMENT BUDGET

Summary of Assistance Agreements

With regard to the security aid that makes up the vast majority of current U.S.-funded assistance to the Afghan government, participants in the NATO Brussels Summit on July 11, 2018, committed to extend “financial sustainment of the Afghan forces through 2024.” The public declaration

did not specify an amount of money or targets for the on-budget share of assistance.²⁴⁸

Prior to that, the United States and other international participants confirmed their intention to provide \$15.2 billion between 2017 and 2020 in support of Afghanistan's economic development priorities at the Brussels Conference in October 2016.²⁴⁹ Then at the November 2018 Geneva Conference on Afghanistan, international donors reaffirmed their intention to provide \$15.2 billion for Afghanistan's development priorities up to 2020 and to direct continuing but gradually declining financial support to Afghanistan's social and economic development up through 2024.²⁵⁰

According to the UN Secretary-General, this quarter, the UN began coordinating with the Afghan government to prepare for a 2020 ministerial conference to determine donor funding up to 2024. While a conference host has not yet been identified, the UN hopes donors will maintain their development investments until 2024.²⁵¹

At the November 2018 Geneva Conference on Afghanistan, the Afghan government proposed that donors commit to delivering 60% of aid **on-budget**.²⁵² However, international donors committed only to continue channeling aid on-budget "as appropriate" with no specific target.²⁵³

At the 2019 Civilian Assistance Review conducted by the U.S. and the Afghan governments, USAID officials said that the planned reduction in **off-budget** U.S.-funded development projects will not be redirected toward more on-budget assistance. According to USAID, the Afghan government lacks the systems, procedures, and controls required to manage additional U.S. on-budget funds.²⁵⁴ However, Afghanistan's minister of finance, in closing the review, recommended that USAID limit its off-budget projects to 10 programs only and phase out its use of contractors. Further, he recommended that donors set benchmarks for the Afghan government which, if achieved, would result in funds being delivered to the Afghan government.²⁵⁵ The minister's latter proposal resembles the structure of USAID's New Development Partnership program (which ended in July 2018 after USAID provided the Afghan government with \$380 million in benchmark-based incentive funds²⁵⁶) and the World Bank's current Incentive Program Development Policy Grant program (described in more detail below).

As shown in Table 3.12 on the following page, USAID's active, direct bilateral-assistance programs have a total estimated cost of \$75 million. USAID also expects to contribute \$2.7 billion to the Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund (ARTF) from 2012 through 2020 in addition to \$1.37 billion disbursed under the previous grant agreement between USAID and the World Bank (2002–2011). USAID has disbursed \$154 million to the Afghanistan Infrastructure Trust Fund (AITF).²⁵⁷

On-budget assistance: encompasses donor funds that are aligned with Afghan government plans, included in Afghan government budget documents, and included in the budget approved by the parliament and managed by the Afghan treasury system. On-budget assistance is primarily delivered either bilaterally from a donor to Afghan government entities, or through multidonor trust funds. (DOD prefers the term "direct contributions" when referring to Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF) monies executed via Afghan government contracts or Afghan spending on personnel.)

Off-budget assistance: encompasses donor funds that are excluded from the Afghan national budget and not managed through Afghan government systems.

Source: SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 7/30/2014, p. 130; Ministry of Finance, "Aid Management Policy for Transition and Beyond," 12/10/2012, p. 8; State, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/14/2016; DOD, OSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/15/2018.

GOVERNANCE

TABLE 3.12

USAID ON-BUDGET PROGRAMS					
Project/Trust Fund Title	Afghan Government On-Budget Partner	Start Date	End Date	Total Estimated Cost	Cumulative Disbursements, as of 1/11/2020
Bilateral Government-to-Government Projects					
Textbook Printing and Distribution	Ministry of Education	9/15/2017	12/31/2019	\$75,000,000	–
Multi-Donor Trust Funds					
Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund (ARTF) (current award)*	Multiple	3/31/2012	6/30/2020	2,700,000,000	\$2,395,686,333
Afghanistan Infrastructure Trust Fund (AITF)	Multiple	3/7/2013	3/6/2023	153,670,184	153,670,184

*USAID had a previous award to the ARTF that concluded in March 2012 and totaled \$1,371,991,195 in disbursements. Cumulative disbursements from all ARTF awards are currently \$3,767,677,528.

Source: USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020.

Civilian On-Budget Assistance

USAID has provided on-budget civilian assistance in two ways: bilaterally to Afghan government entities, and through contributions to two multidonor trust funds, the World Bank-administered ARTF and the Asian Development Bank-administered AITF.²⁵⁸ According to USAID, all bilateral-assistance funds are deposited in separate bank accounts established by the Ministry of Finance (MOF) for each program.²⁵⁹

The ARTF provides funds to the Afghan government's operating and development budgets in support of Afghan government operations, policy reforms, and national-priority programs.²⁶⁰ The AITF coordinates donor assistance for infrastructure projects.²⁶¹

As of September 2019, the United States remains the largest cumulative donor to the ARTF (30.2% of actual contributions paid in, as distinct from pledged); the next-largest donor is the United Kingdom (17.2% of actual contributions paid in).²⁶²

Following the April 2018 release of SIGAR's audit that criticized the World Bank's lack of transparency and failure to share ARTF-related reporting with donors,²⁶³ SIGAR has observed a marked increase in the volume of ARTF-related documents provided to USAID by the World Bank. According to USAID, the World Bank has increased its sharing of reports with ARTF donors, improving its relationship with them. USAID said the increased flow of ARTF documents has allowed USAID to better inform and involve its technical offices in ARTF meetings and initiatives.²⁶⁴

When the World Bank and the Afghan government disagree about the eligibility of certain ARTF expenditures, the World Bank requests a refund from the Afghan government. Since 2014, the World Bank has sought refunds in 15 cases, with three refunds presently outstanding. The World Bank has reportedly told the MOF that it will prevent the Afghan government from accessing \$30 million in incentive funds if the outstanding



SIGAR Investigative Analyst Jordan Schurter attending a meeting of the National Procurement Commission chaired by President Ghani. (Afghan government photo)

refunds are not processed.²⁶⁵ Following a fiduciary review of its concluded Education Quality Improvement Program (EQUIP II), the World Bank reported that the Ministry of Finance refunded \$2.2 million in EQUIP II funds that violated their World Bank rules. Further, the World Bank received and is validating approximately 90% of the previously missing Ministry of Education documentation associated with \$28.9 million in EQUIP II costs that the fiduciary review could not locate.²⁶⁶

The World Bank is currently partnering with the Afghan civil-society organization Integrity Watch Afghanistan (IWA) on community-based monitoring in Kabul, Kapisa, Nangarhar, Herat, and Bamyan Provinces. According to the World Bank, while the community-based monitoring data is not specific to their education or health programs, the IWA reporting is helpful for understanding challenges that are not otherwise communicated through conventional implementer reporting. The health care-related problems IWA identified in these provinces include: shortfalls or low quality of medicine, health centers residing in low-quality rented facilities, no drinking water, no waiting rooms, and low salaries for health workers. For education-related problems, IWA reported: a lack of school books, improperly designed or no school facilities, schools in rented facilities that necessitate frequent relocations with each new lease, and absence of standard toilets. The World Bank has requested that ministries implementing their programs share information on schools currently under construction with IWA to facilitate community monitoring of these ongoing projects.²⁶⁷

ARTF Recurrent-Cost Window

The ARTF recurrent-cost window supports operating costs, such as Afghan government non-security salaries and operation and maintenance expenses.

INTEGRITY WATCH AFGHANISTAN (IWA) – A PARTNER FOR OVERSIGHT

SIGAR has collaborated with the Afghan civil society organization Integrity Watch Afghanistan (IWA) on inspections of over 500 different facilities in 28 provinces across Afghanistan. While IWA only has approximately 95 paid staff, they have a network of volunteers in the provinces and districts that extends the organization's reach. In partnering with SIGAR over the past five years, IWA has used its work with SIGAR to increase its capacity and status as the lead civil society voice on corruption in Afghanistan.

The recurrent-cost window is also the vehicle for channeling reform-based incentive funds, such as the Incentive Program Development Policy Grant (IP DPG).²⁶⁸

As of September 2019, the ARTF recurrent-cost window has cumulatively provided the Afghan government approximately \$2.6 billion for wages, \$600 million for operations and maintenance costs, \$1.1 billion in incentive program funds, and \$762 million in ad hoc payments since 2002.²⁶⁹

U.S. provided \$60 million in ARTF incentive funds despite announcing intention to withhold these funds

In December 2019, USAID provided \$60 million in ARTF incentive funds despite a State Department statement on September 19, 2019, that the U.S. government would withhold that amount due to the Afghan government's failure to meet unspecified benchmarks for transparency and accountability in public financial management.²⁷⁰

The \$60 million in question were incentive funds tied to two Incentive Program Development Policy Grant (IP DPG) public financial-management-related benchmarks that were not due until November 15, 2019. These benchmarks required the Afghan government to: (1) publish fortnightly revenue reports on its website and (2) publish the minutes of the meetings of the cash-management committee.²⁷¹

In November 2019, the World Bank told donors that the IP DPG benchmarks (including the two IP DPG benchmarks USAID said were associated with the \$60 million in incentive funds) were complete and that the Afghan government's supporting evidence had been translated and verified by the Bank.²⁷² In total, USAID has provided \$210 million to support the 2019 IP DPG.²⁷³

World Bank reconsiders teacher training and other “soft” development efforts

A recent review of the World Bank's former Education Quality Improvement Program (EQUIP II) project revealed a number of fiduciary risks in the design, implementation, and monitoring of teacher training, according to the Bank. These findings have implications for other “soft” development activities that do not leave behind tangible assets that can be readily verified.²⁷⁴ (EQUIP II was an ARTF-funded project that ran from 2008 to 2017 that aimed to increase equitable access to quality basic education.²⁷⁵) The World Bank accordingly has decided to reduce its exposure by significantly scaling back its support to teacher training in the EQUIP II follow-on program, even as it recognizes Afghanistan's continued “critical” need for a well-trained teaching force.²⁷⁶

Although the project exceeded its targets in the number of teachers trained, the World Bank now questions the impact of its \$108 million teacher-training effort. According to World Bank monitoring data, EQUIP

II trained hundreds of thousands of teachers through a consortium of 10 nongovernmental organizations. Further, a third-party evaluation conducted during EQUIP II's implementation produced largely positive conclusions.²⁷⁷ (While the World Bank deployed a third-party monitor for EQUIP II, this monitoring was limited to school construction and did not extend to either physical monitoring or verification of teacher training.²⁷⁸)

However, the World Bank now acknowledges that the impact of this large-scale training is unclear: there was no rigorous assessment of teacher competency, and no impact evaluation was conducted to measure the effectiveness of this large-scale training. When the Bank did conduct objective testing of a sample of the allegedly trained teachers in 2017, it found that more than half the sample scored at or below the equivalent of grade 2 (unable to subtract double digits or divide double digit with single digit). The teachers tested also performed poorly in a battery of questions designed to test their instructional skills, with only one in three able to assess student learning properly.²⁷⁹

As a result of these reflections, the World Bank now recognizes that projects involving soft characteristics (such as all forms of training, distribution of perishable items such as seeds or feed in agriculture projects or consumables in health projects) are particularly at risk. The low capacity of recipient-government monitoring systems and the World Bank's own inability to conduct field visits exacerbates these risks.²⁸⁰ The World Bank has also concluded that the Afghan government's own audit arrangements, at least as it related to EQUIP II, were often inadequate to identify risks and issues associated with the program and report on their resolutions.²⁸¹

On-Budget Assistance to the ANDSF

Approximately 71% of total U.S. on-budget assistance goes toward the requirements of the Afghan security forces.²⁸²

DOD provides on-budget assistance to the Afghan government through direct contributions from the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF) to the Afghan government to fund a portion of Ministry of Defense (MOD) and Ministry of Interior (MOI) requirements, and through ASFF contributions to the multidonor Law and Order Trust Fund for Afghanistan (LOTFA).²⁸³

According to DOD, most of the ASFF appropriation is not on-budget because it is spent on equipment, supplies, and services for the Afghan security forces using DOD contracts.²⁸⁴ UNDP administers LOTFA primarily to fund Afghan National Police salaries and incentives.²⁸⁵ The Combined Security Transition Command-Afghanistan (CSTC-A) provides direct-contribution funding to the Ministry of Finance (MOF), which allots it incrementally to the MOD and MOI.²⁸⁶

For Afghan fiscal year (FY) 1398 (December 2018–December 2019), CSTC-A planned to provide the Afghan government up to the equivalent of \$707.5 million to support the MOD and \$137.3 million to support the MOI.²⁸⁷

GOVERNANCE



President Ashraf Ghani and Lt. Gen. E. John Deedrick Jr., Commander, Combined Security Transition Command - Afghanistan, meet at the presidential palace. (Afghan government photo)

As of November 1, CSTC-A had provided the Afghan government the equivalent of \$523.6 million to support the MOD for FY 1398. Almost all of these funds (92%) paid for salaries.²⁸⁸ Additionally, as of November 1, CSTC-A had provided the equivalent of \$133.1 million to support the MOI. Of these funds, none were delivered via the LOTFA.²⁸⁹ With less than two months left in the Afghan fiscal year, the MOD and MOI spent approximately 74% of the wages and salaries CSTC-A had budgeted for these ministries.²⁹⁰

CSTC-A has reduced its involvement in the LOTFA over the past few years. In 2016, for example, the United States contributed \$114.40 million to LOTFA, but only \$1.04 million in 2018.²⁹¹ According to CSTC-A, their reduced LOTFA contributions allow other donors (such as those that are prohibited by their governments from providing funds directly to the Afghan government) to contribute to the MOI costs through the UNDP-administered fund.²⁹² CSTC-A told SIGAR that it plans to continue contributing to LOTFA and participating in LOTFA management at current levels.²⁹³

For Afghan fiscal year (FY) 1399 (December 2019–December 2020), CSTC-A plans to provide 4% (or approximately \$34 million) less in combined on-budget assistance to the MOD and MOI than it budgeted for 1398.²⁹⁴ The combined MOD and MOI wages and salaries for 1399 represents a reduction of 2.4% (or approximately \$15 million) compared to the amount CSTC-A budgeted for wages and salaries in 1398.²⁹⁵ According to CSTC-A,

some of its planned reductions were the result of MOD and MOI failures to fully execute CSTC-A funds for purchasing goods and services and assets.²⁹⁶

CSTC-A declines to adequately describe conditions for on-budget assistance

Over the past year, SIGAR has sought to understand CSTC-A's evolving perspective on the effectiveness of conditioning their approximately \$809.5 million in planned on-budget assistance to the MOD and MOI on the performance of the ministries in the next Afghan fiscal year. CSTC-A has made clear (and SIGAR has reported) that CSTC-A is no longer issuing commitment letters that outline certain predefined conditions that could result in reduced funding if the MOD or MOI fail to meet the conditions.²⁹⁷

Previously, CSTC-A viewed the commitment-letter conditions as a means to drive behavior change in the MOD and MOI by ensuring these institutions complied with various Afghan legal regulations, the Afghanistan Compact, and the U.S.-Afghanistan Strategic Partnership Agreement. The first commitment letters were implemented in 2014. As the commander of CSTC-A at that time, Major General Todd Semonite, told SIGAR, this was a reaction to his observation that “in 2013, we had no conditions” for on-budget funds to support the MOD and MOI. CSTC-A would apply financial and nonfinancial penalties (levers) when it observed noncompliance with commitment-letter conditions.²⁹⁸

CSTC-A now says that while it reserves the right to financially penalize the Afghan security forces, it believes financial incentives are a more effective tool.²⁹⁹

However, CSTC-A has declined to give specifics as to how its alternative incentive-based, positive-reinforcement approach to conditionality actually works. When asked for examples of positive Afghan government behaviors that prompted the provision of incentives, CSTC-A said only that it did not apply any penalties and that its partners are reliable.³⁰⁰ When asked to provide a list of any financial penalties or incentives, CSTC-A replied that it had focused over the last year on “building reliable partners within [the Afghan government] and the ANDSF. Through these partnerships, we have adopted an overall approach of incentivizing our reliable partners to accomplish our combined goals.”³⁰¹ When asked for documentation for the incentive-based approach, CSTC-A provided none.³⁰²

SIGAR's principal interest in this matter is in ensuring that Congress is informed of CSTC-A's approach to conditionality and of any evidence for the efficacy of this approach. Given CSTC-A's failure to provide documentation or detail for its new approach, SIGAR observed that CSTC-A's current approach appears more ad hoc than the previous predefined conditionality articulated in commitment letters. CSTC-A disagreed with this observation and asked that SIGAR remove any discussion of conditionality from this report until CSTC-A officials have the chance to discuss the issue in depth with SIGAR.³⁰³

GOVERNANCE

SIGAR recently initiated an audit of CSTC-A's use and enforcement of conditionality to improve accountability and transparency in the Afghan National Defense and Security Forces.³⁰⁴ CSTC-A's responses so far to this audit have similarly been of limited value to proper oversight.

NATIONAL GOVERNANCE

Civil Society and Media

As shown in Table 3.13, USAID funds programs to support broader human and institutional capacity building of civil-society organizations and the media.

TABLE 3.13

USAID CAPACITY-BUILDING PROGRAMS AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL				
Project Title	Start Date	End Date	Total Estimated Cost	Cumulative Disbursements, as of 1/11/2020
Afghan Civic Engagement Program (ACEP)	12/4/2013	2/15/2020	\$79,120,000	\$78,812,355
Rasana (Media)	3/29/2017	3/28/2020	9,000,000	7,271,735

Source: USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020.

The Afghan Civic Engagement Program's (ACEP) goal is to promote civil-society and media engagement that enables Afghan citizens to influence policy, monitor government accountability, and serve as advocates for political reform. Starting in June 2018, ACEP's goals included expanding civic and voter education and engagement for the scheduled parliamentary and presidential elections.³⁰⁵ ACEP has awarded \$9.9 million in grants to local institutions and civil-society organizations (CSO). Its current portfolio includes an additional \$1.9 million in grants.³⁰⁶

This past quarter, ACEP implemented a peace campaign, "We Choose Peace," to highlight the benefits of tolerance, national unity, and engaging minorities, marginalized populations, and traditionally under-engaged populations. The campaign also highlights the cost of these groups' exclusion from the peace process at the community level. ACEP's campaign included delivering printed flyers and posters to 28 provincial capitals, FM radio broadcasts by ACEP members, and creating a peace-focused Facebook page to inform more people about the culture of peace.³⁰⁷

USAID's \$9 million Rasana program provides support to women journalists and women-run or women-owned media organizations. The program has four program areas: (1) support and training for women journalists, (2) investigative journalism initiatives, (3) advocacy and training for the protection of journalists, and (4) expanding the outreach of media through small grants for content production in underserved areas.³⁰⁸

SUBNATIONAL GOVERNANCE

Provincial and Municipal Programs

USAID has two subnational programs focused on provincial centers and municipalities: the Initiative to Strengthen Local Administrations (ISLA) and Strong Hubs for Afghan Hope and Resilience (SHAHAR) programs. Table 3.14 summarizes total program costs and disbursements to date.

TABLE 3.14

USAID SUBNATIONAL (PROVINCIAL AND MUNICIPAL) PROGRAMS				
Project Title	Start Date	End Date	Total Estimated Cost	Cumulative Disbursements, as of 1/11/2020
Strong Hubs for Afghan Hope and Resilience (SHAHAR)	11/30/2014	5/29/2020	\$72,000,000	\$61,154,020
Initiative to Strengthen Local Administrations (ISLA)	2/1/2015	7/30/2020	48,000,000	41,465,542
Citizens' Charter Afghanistan Project (CCAP)*	3/31/2012	6/30/2020	N/A	67,111,625

Note: *This includes USAID contributions to ARTF with an express preference for the Citizens' Charter Afghanistan Project.

Source: USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020.

Initiative to Strengthen Local Administrations

The \$48 million ISLA program is meant to enable the Afghan government to improve provincial governance in the areas of fiscal and development planning, representation of citizens, and enhanced delivery of public services. ISLA aims to strengthen subnational systems of planning, operations, communication, representation, and citizen engagement, leading to services that more closely respond to all citizens' needs in health, education, security, justice, and urban services.³⁰⁹

According to USAID, ISLA saw improvement in a core problem: the poor integration of provincial priorities into Afghanistan's national budget plans. For the Afghan fiscal year (FY) 1398 (December 2018–December 2019), ISLA found that 14.2% of community-proposed provincial development-plan (PDP) projects from 16 ISLA-supported provinces found their way into the national budget plan.³¹⁰

As of January 18, 2020, the Afghan government reported approximately \$62 million in expenditures for development projects that shared the same code and province as those PDP-proposed projects included in the Afghan national budget.³¹¹

Strong Hubs for Afghan Hope and Resilience

The objective of the \$72 million SHAHAR program is to create well-governed, fiscally sustainable Afghan municipalities capable of meeting the needs of a growing urban population. SHAHAR partners with municipalities to, among other things, deliver capacity-building for outreach and citizen

consultation, improved revenue forecasting and generation, and budget formulation and execution.³¹²

As of September 2019, 14 SHAHAR-supported municipalities reported a 12% increase in total revenues collected for Afghan fiscal year (FY) 1398 (December 2018–December 2019) compared to the same period of time in the prior year. The total revenue collected by these municipalities was the approximately equivalent of \$21.2 million.³¹³

Citizen’s Charter Afghanistan Project

In October 2018, USAID began explicitly contributing a portion of its ARTF funds to the Citizen’s Charter Afghanistan Project (CCAP) for the first time since the program began in 2016. Prior to that, other donors were funding CCAP through the ARTF. USAID requested that \$34 million of its \$300 million contribution to the World Bank’s ARTF be spent on CCAP. According to the Afghan government, CCAP is the centerpiece of the government’s national inclusive development strategy for rural and urban areas. CCAP works through Community Development Councils (CDC) to implement community projects. CCAP defines a suite of minimum basic services for each community covering health, education, and a choice of infrastructure investments (such as road access, electricity, or small-scale irrigation for rural communities).³¹⁴

Both the World Bank and Afghan government have proposed expanding CCAP in the event of peace.³¹⁵ As of December 2019, the Afghan government reported 12,565 CDCs have been elected across the country (out of a total 37,601 envisioned).³¹⁶

RULE OF LAW AND ANTICORRUPTION

Summary of Rule of Law and Anticorruption Programs

As shown in Table 3.15, the United States supports a number of active rule-of-law and anticorruption programs in Afghanistan.

Support to the Independent Joint Anti-Corruption Monitoring and Evaluation Committee (MEC)

On September 19, State announced that the Independent Joint Anti-Corruption Monitoring and Evaluation Committee (MEC) is “incapable of being a partner in the international effort to build a better future for the Afghan people.” As a result, State said the United States would stop providing funding to the MEC by the end of 2019.³¹⁷ USAID decided to end its funding to the MEC in December 2019 after concluding that the challenges faced by the MEC would not be overcome. Further, USAID said it saw no evidence that the Afghan government was institutionalizing the MEC, despite its commitments to do so.³¹⁸

GOVERNANCE

TABLE 3.15

RULE OF LAW AND ANTICORRUPTION PROGRAMS				
Project Title	Start Date	End Date	Total Estimated Cost	Cumulative Disbursements, as of 1/11/2020
Assistance for Development of Afghan Legal Access and Transparency (ADALAT)	4/18/2016	4/17/2021	\$68,163,468	\$28,472,147
Afghanistan's Measure for Accountability and Transparency (AMANAT)	8/23/2017	8/22/2022	31,986,588	6,279,720
Corrections System Support Program (OASIS CSSP) Option Year 2*	6/1/2019	5/31/2022	14,703,987	3,292,947
Justice Sector Support Program OASIS Contract*	8/28/2017	8/28/2022	34,112,566	21,410,179
Continuing Professional Development Support (CPDS)*	2/6/2018	4/6/2020	7,938,401	7,938,401
Delegated Cooperation Agreement (DCAR) with the Department for International Development (DFID) for Independent Joint Anti-Corruption Monitoring and Evaluation Committee (MEC)	5/19/2015	12/31/2019	2,883,000	2,883,000

*Disbursements as of 12/18/2019.

Source: State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019; USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020.

Afghanistan's Measure for Accountability and Transparency (AMANAT)

In August 2017, USAID awarded the contract for the \$32 million Afghanistan's Measure for Accountability and Transparency (AMANAT) program to support the Afghan government's efforts to reduce and prevent corruption in government public services.³¹⁹ According to USAID, AMANAT supports select Afghan government institutions with technical assistance to plan for and implement recommended procedural reforms.³²⁰

Over the past year, AMANAT has provided training to the Supreme Audit Office (SAO) to help enable the SAO in conducting professional audits.³²¹ Recently, the World Bank told donors that only 44 of the SAO's nearly 200 auditors have a twelfth grade education. Further, while the SAO is legally independent, the SAO has relied heavily on international firms to provide technical assistance to meet international standards for auditing World Bank projects.³²² In the wake of the World Bank's in-depth fiduciary review of the EQUIP II program (see pages 102–103 of this report), the World Bank appears to have lost confidence in the SAO's work. According to the World Bank, the SAO carried out the independent annual external audits of EQUIP II over the life of the project. Although these audits sometimes reported serious observations, the SAO always expressed an unqualified (that is, positive) audit opinion on the financial statements of EQUIP II.³²³

Assistance for the Development of Afghan Legal Access and Transparency (ADALAT)

In April 2016, USAID launched the \$68 million Assistance for the Development of Afghan Legal Access and Transparency (ADALAT) program. ADALAT aims to (1) increase the effectiveness and reach of the formal justice sector, (2) strengthen the linkages between the formal and traditional justice sectors, and (3) increase "citizen demand for quality

legal services.³²⁴ ADALAT collaborates with the Ministry of Justice (MOJ) Department of the Huquq (“rights”). Huquq offices provide citizens the opportunity to settle civil cases within the formal system before beginning a court case.³²⁵ ADALAT’s efforts to increase demand for quality legal services includes providing grants to (1) civil-society organizations to promote legal awareness and legal rights, and (2) private universities to prepare future “practical problem-solvers” within formal and traditional dispute resolution institutions.³²⁶

SIGAR SPECIAL PROJECT

Based on Department of Justice concerns about the slow implementation of the Case Management System (CMS), SIGAR issued a review this quarter that sought to determine whether: (1) case files input into CMS by the Attorney General’s Office were complete; (2) seized and forfeited assets are being safeguarded and tracked in CMS; and (3) progress is being made implementing CMS nationwide.

This quarter, SIGAR found that mandatory fields were complete for 20 of the 25 cases reviewed, while five to seven of the eight mandatory fields were blank in the other five cases. SIGAR also reviewed 87 closed cases processed by the Attorney General’s Office that involved seized or forfeited cash and drugs valued at about \$1.75 million, plus a number of other assets to include weapons and vehicles whose value could not be determined. The review found that there was no information in CMS tracking the location or disposition of these assets, and that the Justice Attaché was unaware of any safeguards that existed in the Afghan government to prevent the seized and forfeited assets from being stolen.

Justice Sector Support Program (JSSP)

State’s Justice Sector Support Program is the largest rule-of-law program in Afghanistan. JSSP was established in 2005 to provide capacity-building support to the Afghan justice system through training, mentoring, and advisory services. The current JSSP contract began in August 2017 and has an estimated cost of \$34 million. The previous JSSP contract, which began in 2010, cost \$280 million. JSSP provides technical assistance to Afghan justice-sector institutions to: (1) build the capacity of justice institutions to be professional, transparent, and accountable; (2) assist the development of statutes that are clearly drafted, constitutional, and the product of effective, consultative drafting processes; and (3) support the case-management system so that Afghan justice institutions work in a harmonized and interlinked manner and resolve cases in a transparent and legally sufficient manner.³²⁷

JSSP advises various Afghan government offices on how to use its Case Management System (CMS). CMS is an online database that tracks the status of criminal cases in Afghanistan, across all criminal justice institutions, from the moment a case is initiated to the end of a convicted criminal’s confinement.³²⁸ As of November 31, 2019, the CMS had recorded 497,100 criminal cases and 100,077 civil cases.³²⁹

In a November 2019 audit, SIGAR heard from Attorney General’s Office (AGO) that it has instructed central and provincial AGO offices since May 2016 not to process any cases without recording them in CMS. Despite this progress, SIGAR found that different Afghan agencies displayed varying levels of CMS familiarity and utilization.³³⁰

Continuing Professional Development Support (CPDS)

In February 2018, State launched the \$8 million Continuing Professional Development Support (CPDS) program. According to State, CPDS responds to an urgent need by the Afghan government to train legal professionals on the newly revised penal code and build the organizational capacity of the nascent professional-training departments of Afghan legal institutions.³³¹

According to the recent reporting, CPDS has developed a data-collection tool to measure the change in workplace behavior of graduates from CPDS-funded training courses. CPDS evaluators are visiting participants at their place of work, interviewing and observing participants (and speaking to

supervisors when available), and evaluating documents in case files using the data-collection tool. According to CPDS, case-file documents should demonstrate whether prosecutors, defense counsel, and judges are applying key concepts and knowledge learned during the training courses.³³² As of the latest reporting, CPDS has collected data from 450 participants in 17 provinces.³³³

Corrections System Support Program (CSSP)

State's Corrections System Support Program (CSSP) provides mentoring and advising support, training assistance, leadership capacity-building initiatives, infrastructure assistance and nationwide case management for correctional facilities.³³⁴

Anticorruption

On International Anti-Corruption Day, U.S. Ambassador to Afghanistan, John Bass declared that the fight against corruption in Afghanistan has not received the attention, focus, and determination from Afghans as it deserves. Later, at the December 15 event, he said that corruption in Afghanistan is the most troubling and frustrating issue for U.S. elected leaders and threatens the continued financial support from the international community. He said Afghans too often refuse to accept responsibility for corruption and instead place the blame for corruption on someone else. He called for action before it is too late to salvage donor confidence.³³⁵ SIGAR observers reported that most of the audience (including President Ghani, the Supreme Court chief justice, speakers of parliament, and ministers) were silent following the Ambassador's speech.

At the same anticorruption event, President Ghani ordered the minister of interior to arrest General Zemarai Paikan, the former chief of the Afghanistan National Civil Order Police, who was convicted in December 2017 in absentia of murder and abuse of power and sentenced to five years and two months confinement. As of April 2019, CSTC-A told SIGAR that the Paikan case set a bad precedent as he was living comfortably and unconcerned about his apprehension despite being sentenced for serious felonies. SIGAR has not been able to determine whether the general was arrested following President Ghani's order.³³⁶

Anti-Corruption Justice Center

In May 2016, President Ghani announced the establishment of a specialized anticorruption court, the Anti-Corruption Justice Center (ACJC). At the ACJC, elements of the Major Crimes Task Force (MCTF) investigators, AGO prosecutors, and judges work to combat serious corruption. The ACJC's jurisdiction covers major corruption cases in any province involving senior officials (up to the deputy minister), generals, and colonels, or cases involving substantial monetary losses. Substantial losses are defined



Ambassador John R. Bass, on the occasion of International Anti-Corruption Day, warning senior Afghan government officials of the risks in not addressing impunity and curbing rampant corruption. (State Department photo)

The international community cannot care more about [corruption] than Afghans do. It will not care more than Afghans.

If you do not make progress addressing impunity and curbing rampant corruption, you will not hear my government, and other governments, speaking louder and more urgently about this issue.

You will hear silence. And Afghanistan will receive much less support.

—U.S. Ambassador to Afghanistan,
John Bass

Afghan perceptions of corruption

According to the Asia Foundation's survey, 81.5% of Afghan respondents in 2019 said corruption is a "major problem" in Afghanistan, the same as 2018. When it comes to corruption being a major problem in the respondent's daily life, 67.9% agreed this was the case (2.7% lower than the response in 2018). Overall, 91% of respondents believe corruption is a problem in their daily lives, down from 93% who said so in 2018.

Source: The Asia Foundation, Afghanistan in 2018: A Survey of the Afghan People, 12/4/2018, p. 5; The Asia Foundation, A Survey of the Afghan People: Afghanistan in 2019, 12/2/2019, pp. 142–144.

as a minimum of five million afghani—approximately \$73,000—in cases of bribes, money laundering, selling of historical or cultural relics, illegal mining, and appropriation of Afghan government property; or a minimum of 10 million afghani—approximately \$146,000—in cases of embezzlement.³³⁷

According to the UN Secretary-General, there was no significant progress this quarter in executing the 127 ACJC arrest warrants and summonses issued before the Geneva Ministerial Conference on Afghanistan of November 2018.³³⁸ As SIGAR reported in a November 2019 audit, the Afghan government executed only 14 of the 48 warrants, and only 41 of the 79 summonses resulted in individuals appearing before the ACJC.³³⁹

On January 15, 2020, the ACJC appellate court reduced the sentences of the former IEC and ECC election commissioners from five years each to two and a half years. The commissioners were found guilty of improperly modifying the vote results in the 2018 parliamentary election.³⁴⁰

In November, the Afghan government issued its Joint Action Plan for Improving Detection and Prosecution of Corruption Cases. According to the authors, this plan responds to problems such as the delays in serving summonses and arrest warrants for corruption cases.³⁴¹ The action plan calls for the MOI to develop an operational plan to fully implement the outstanding summonses and warrants and report on its progress.³⁴²

Afghanistan Security Forces

According to CSTC-A, the largest area of corruption (in monetary terms) in the Afghan security forces remains fuel-related. CSTC-A also observed that other supplies it provides the Afghan security forces are also subject to theft, fraud, bribery, extortion, and embezzlement.³⁴³

In previous reporting, CSTC-A highlighted the personal interest shown by the ministers of defense and interior in removing corrupt actors from their respective ministries.³⁴⁴ While CSTC-A said the overall trend for removing corrupt actors over the past year has been positive, this quarter CSTC-A saw a noticeable decrease in removals in the period leading up to the presidential election. CSTC-A believes the slowdown is due to MOD and MOI officials waiting for the election results before removing influential corrupt actors who are connected to national political leaders. CSTC-A hopes that MOD and MOI will resume removing corrupt officials once a new government is established.³⁴⁵

When asked to describe any specific or significant anti- or countercorruption activities taken by MOD or MOI senior leaders this quarter that CSTC-A attributes to U.S. train, advise, and assist efforts, CSTC-A responded that they observed the following:³⁴⁶

- Improvements were made to the MOD Inspector General (MOD IG) professional education with the initiation of a new basic IG course and plans for additional basic and advanced courses in 2020.

SIGAR AUDIT

In November, SIGAR released a Congressionally directed update of its 2018 assessment on Afghan government's progress toward achieving anticorruption reforms. SIGAR found that the Afghan government has made progress in meeting its anticorruption strategy benchmarks since May 2018. However, serious challenges remain to fighting corruption. Without the political will to address these challenges, including resource shortfalls at anticorruption institutions, the seeming impunity of powerful individuals, and declining activity at the corruption courts, transformative change will remain elusive.

- An MOD working group was established to meet monthly to discuss and coordinate cases and persons of interest, discuss challenges, and develop solutions to combat corruption. According to CSTC-A, it is abundantly clear that the new working group is paying off, as there was a lively discussion resulting in both coordination and the call for action on processing cases and jurisdictional issues.

Among the MOD and MOI elements tasked with reducing corruption, CSTC-A highlighted the work of MOD IG for uncovering issues at the Afghan National Army Recruiting Command (ANAREC). Following an MOD IG inspection of this command, the minister of defense ordered the MOD Criminal Investigation Directorate (MOD CID) to investigate alleged criminal activities of central- and provincial-level leadership.³⁴⁷

The MOD appears to see the MOD CID (newly established in June 2019) as the principal countercorruption mechanism for the MOD, CSTC-A said.³⁴⁸ According to CSTC-A, the MOD CID is an independent entity, as it reports directly to the defense minister and does not fall under the chain of command of corps commanders.³⁴⁹ As of November 2019, the MOD CID reported that it was investigating 59 cases, with most (20) related to neglect of duty. Cases the MOD CID considered important that were recently referred to Afghan judicial authorities included a murder, a missing weapon, suspected connection to the enemy, and stealing and selling military boots.³⁵⁰

COUNTERNARCOTICS

Ministry of Counter Narcotics Dissolved

President Ashraf Ghani issued a presidential decree in January 2019 dissolving the Ministry of Counter Narcotics (MCN) and establishing a committee to oversee the transition of the MCN's duties to other ministries.³⁵¹ State did not provide any information on why the Afghan government chose to dissolve the MCN.³⁵² This quarter, the State Department reported that MCN dissolution is complete and that former MCN employees have been transferred to other Afghan government entities. Two hundred and twenty authorized positions were transferred to the MOI's Counter Narcotics Police of Afghanistan's (CNPA) National Interdiction Unit (NIU); 47 to the Ministry of Public Health; and 50 to the Ministry of Agriculture, Irrigation, and Livestock. Additionally, a presidential decree in June 2019, based on the recommendation of the Attorney General's Office (AGO) stipulated that the MCN facilities should be transferred to the AGO, but State said the fate of the MCN facilities is not yet finalized.³⁵³

This quarter, the State Department reported that its Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs (INL) operates under

Counternarcotics Strategy Update

On September 17, 2018, the Senate Caucus on International Narcotics Control requested that SIGAR conduct a review of the U.S. government's current counternarcotics efforts in Afghanistan. As part of that review, the caucus asked SIGAR to determine the status of the Department of State-led interagency *U.S. Counternarcotics Strategy for Afghanistan* and State's revision of, or plans to revise, this strategy. SIGAR found that State has not revised, and has no plans to revise, the 2012 *U.S. Counternarcotics Strategy for Afghanistan*. State officials told SIGAR that the department now follows the administration's August 2017 *Strategy in Afghanistan and South Asia* (South Asia strategy). Those officials also stated that the South Asia strategy serves as overall guidance for U.S. strategic priorities in Afghanistan and counternarcotics efforts. SIGAR has been unable to obtain any South Asia strategy documents, but reviewed President Trump's speech concerning the strategy. In the speech, President Trump did not mention narcotics. More information on SIGAR's ongoing review of the counternarcotics strategy is available in the Oversight section on p. 20.

the U.S. 2017 South Asia Strategy, not the 2012 Counternarcotics Strategy (CN) created with the now-defunct MCN.³⁵⁴ State INL said it was not able to provide information about how the South Asia Strategy differs from the 2012 CN Strategy.³⁵⁵ Afghan government officials informed SIGAR that the MOI will now review and prepare an updated counternarcotics plan.³⁵⁶

Finally, the annual opium surveys previously conducted by the MCN and the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) will henceforth be done in partnership between the UNODC and the Afghanistan National Statistics and Information Authority.³⁵⁷ UNODC has yet to release its 2019 survey, but based on UNODC's 2018 report, Afghan farmers devoted more land to opium-poppy cultivation in 2017 than at any time since the UNODC began monitoring. In 2018, opium-poppy cultivation declined somewhat due to drought, but was still the second highest year on record.³⁵⁸



Members of the National Interdiction Unit (NIU) attend a ceremony at the U.S. Embassy honoring their fallen and wounded colleagues. (State Department photo)

Afghan Counter Narcotics Police Organization and Funding

The State Department's INL provides support to specialized units within the Counter Narcotics Police of Afghanistan (CNPA).³⁵⁹ The CNPA leads Afghan law-enforcement personnel in counternarcotics efforts. The CNPA, authorized at 2,632 personnel, are located in all 34 provinces and comprise regular police as well as specialized units. Specialized units include three major components including the U.S.-supported National Interdiction Unit (NIU) and Sensitive Investigative Unit (SIU), and the UK-supported Intelligence and Investigation Unit (IIU).³⁶⁰ Additionally, the U.S.-supported Technical Investigative Unit (TIU) provides support to these components.³⁶¹

This quarter, DEA Acting Administrator Uttam Dhillon and Ambassador John Bass presented the DEA Purple Heart Award to eight DEA-trained officers killed and five wounded in a terrorist attack on Aug. 6, 2019, in Kabul. Another officer honored was killed and one wounded during a firefight with Taliban-affiliated drug traffickers on Jan. 9, 2019. In a press release, the DEA said the fallen and injured officers worked closely with the DEA on important investigations that resulted in the seizure of tons of drugs and chemicals. They dismantled laboratories and destroyed drug trafficking organizations closely tied to terrorist acts.³⁶²

The NIU conducts interdiction operations and seizures, serves arrest warrants, and executes search warrants in high-threat environments. The NIU receives mentoring from DEA and NATO Special Operations Component Command-Afghanistan, including U.S. Special Forces. The NIU typically maintains forward-based personnel in Kandahar, Kunduz, and Herat.³⁶³

The SIU's mission is to identify significant drug-trafficking organizations operating in Afghanistan and dismantle them through the Afghan criminal-justice system. The SIU receives mentoring from the DEA and consists of handpicked personnel who are thoroughly vetted.³⁶⁴ The SIU also has four officers responsible for administrative management of court orders

obtained by SIU investigators to conduct Afghan judicially authorized wire intercepts.³⁶⁵

The Technical Investigative Unit (TIU) is an individual component of the CNPA that consists of 100 translators who work within the Judicial Wire Intercept Platform (JWIP). The JWIP is a State-funded project to provide technical systems associated with the wiretap program and is executed by DEA through an interagency agreement with State. JWIP supports DEA operations as well as SIU and NIU investigations.³⁶⁶

Other Afghan law-enforcement elements such as the special operations General Command of Police Special Units execute high-risk arrests and operations including counterterrorism, counternarcotics, and counter-organized crime. The Afghan Uniform Police and Afghan Border Police (ABP) also participate in counternarcotics activities.³⁶⁷

The Special Mission Wing (SMW) is a rotary- and fixed-wing aircraft force that supports NIU missions as well as counterterrorism missions conducted by Afghan special security forces. The SMW is the only Afghan National Defense and Security Forces organization with night-vision, rotary-wing air assault, and fixed-wing intelligence-surveillance-reconnaissance capabilities. The SMW structure consists of assault squadrons in Kabul, Kandahar, and Mazar-e Sharif.³⁶⁸ Since its establishment in 2012, the SMW has been used to conduct counterterrorism and counternarcotics missions. In recent years, counterterrorism missions have dominated. Most recently, only 2% of the SMW's 341 unilateral sorties from October through November 2019 were in support of CN missions.³⁶⁹

U.S. Funding for Afghan Counternarcotics Elements

INL estimates that it funds approximately \$21 million per year in operations and maintenance for the NIU, SIU, and other INL programming. Costs directly attributable to NIU and SIU include \$6 million for two years of JWIP (not including other costs DEA may incur), \$9.6 million for two years of other interagency agreement support, and \$825,000 per year for NIU salary supplements. Salary supplements are used to attract and retain the most qualified and highly trained officers to the specialized units. A graduated scale of supplements is provided to all NIU officers, from police officers to unit commanders.³⁷⁰

Interdiction Results

Between October 1 and December 13, 2019, DOD reported that U.S.-supported interdiction activities by Afghan security forces included eight operations resulting in 11 detentions and seizures of 989 kilograms (kg) (2,176 lbs) of opium, 100 kg (220 lbs) of heroin, and 1,020 kg (2,244 lbs) of hashish. No precursor chemicals or methamphetamines were seized by

GOVERNANCE

TABLE 3.16

INTERDICTION RESULTS, FISCAL YEARS 2011–2020											
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020 ¹	TOTAL
Number of Operations	624	669	518	333	270	196	157	198	138	8	3,111
Detainees	862	535	386	442	394	301	152	274	177	11	3,534
Hashish seized (kg)	182,213	183,776	37,826	19,088	24,785	123,063	227,327	42,842	145,976	1,020	987,916
Heroin seized (kg)	10,982	3,441	2,489	3,056	2,859	3,532	1,975	3,242	3,315	100	34,991
Morphine seized (kg)	18,040	10,042	11,067	5,925	505	13,041	106,369	10,127	1,759	–	170,950
Opium seized (kg)	98,327	70,814	41,350	38,379	27,600	10,487	24,263	23,180	13,612	989	349,001
Precursor chemicals seized (kg)	122,150	130,846	36,250	53,184	234,981	42,314	89,878	22,863	81,182	–	813,648
Methamphetamine ² (kg)	50	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	9	30	86	734	–	909
Amphetamine (kg)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17	N/A	N/A	1,990	–	2,007

Note: The significant difference in precursor chemicals total seizures between 2014 and 2015 is due to a 12/22/2014 seizure of 135,000 kg of precursor chemicals.

¹ Results for period 10/1/2019–12/13/2019.

² In crystal or powder form.

Source: DOD(CN), response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.

Afghan security forces during this period.³⁷¹ Table 3.16 contains interdiction results provided by DOD.

Despite the strong performance of Afghan specialized units and their improved capabilities over the years, the number of drug seizures and arrests they conduct have minimal impact on the country's opium-poppy cultivation and production. For example, total opium seizures over the years since FY 2008 are equivalent to approximately 8% of the country's 6,400 metric tons of opium production for the single year of 2018, as reported by UNODC.³⁷²

DOD said the poor security situation continues to hinder the access of government forces in areas where the drug trade is concentrated, particularly in southern regions of the country where most of the opium is grown, and where drug products are transported, processed, and sold.³⁷³

Eradication Update

Governor-Led Eradication

State INL reported that the Governor-Led Eradication (GLE) program is transitioning from being managed by the MCN to the MOI, and that the CNPA will be the future implementer of independent Afghan eradication and GLE.³⁷⁴ Under the GLE program, which began in 2005, INL reimbursed provincial governors \$250 toward the eradication costs of every UNODC-verified hectare of eradicated poppy.³⁷⁵ Obligated funds for GLE amount to \$6.9 million since 2008, and the Administration has requested future funding for eradication in its most recent budget request.³⁷⁶ The dissolution of the MCN interrupted the planning and execution of eradication in 2019.³⁷⁷ This

quarter, INL reported that the MOI now has taken over eradication planning for spring 2020.³⁷⁸

Last quarter, INL informed SIGAR that its May 2015 opium-poppy eradication agreement with the MCN is no longer in force due to the dissolution of the MCN. Once a new Afghan administration is in place and MOI takes full charge of counternarcotics planning, INL said that the Afghan government and INL may consider implementing a new memorandum of understanding (MOU) on opium-poppy eradication.³⁷⁹ According to INL, because the CNPA recently took over eradication responsibilities, INL believes that the CNPA would likely agree to new eradication implementing instructions to include a follow-on MOU to continue the program, but that it is not yet possible to say what will happen during the upcoming season.³⁸⁰

REFUGEES AND INTERNAL DISPLACEMENT

According to State, on October 25, the Pakistan government formally extended the validity of Afghan citizen cards until December 31, 2019. Cardholders (of which there are approximately 878,000) are allowed to temporarily reside in Pakistan, but are not given refugee status or permanent residency.³⁸¹

Afghan Refugees

As of December 28, 2019, the UNHCR reported that 8,072 **refugees** have voluntarily returned to Afghanistan in 2019. The majority (6,062) of these refugee returns were from Pakistan.³⁸²

The voluntary repatriation of registered refugees in 2019 is low compared to previous years. According to State, the primary factors attracting refugees to return are the desire to reunite with families and relatives and employment opportunities in Afghanistan; the primary push factors are strict border-entry requirements and the loss of livelihoods in their hosting countries.³⁸³

Undocumented Afghan Migrant Returnees

As of December 7, the International Organization of Migration (IOM) reported that 451,073 undocumented Afghans returned from Iran and 19,140 undocumented Afghan **migrants** returned from Pakistan in 2019.³⁸⁴

According to State, the desire to return home to reunite with family and economic opportunities were the primary factors for migration to Afghanistan.³⁸⁵

Conflict-Induced Internal Displacement

Compared to the same period last year, the number of conflict-induced internally displaced persons recorded by the UN Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA) in 2019 is 20% higher, perhaps reflecting

Refugees: persons who are outside their country of origin for reasons of feared persecution, conflict, generalized violence, or other circumstances that have seriously disturbed public order and, as a result, require international protection. According to the UNHCR, refugees have the right to safe asylum and should receive at least the same rights and basic help as any other foreigner who is a legal resident.

Migrants: persons who change his or her country of usual residence, irrespective of the reason for migration or legal status. According to the UN, there is no formal legal definition of an international migrant.

Source: United Nations, "Refugees and Migrants: Definitions," 2019; UNHCR, "Protecting Refugees: questions and answers," 2/2002.

an intensification of the conflict. As of December 18, conflicts in 2019 had induced 427,043 Afghans to flee their homes. The office recorded 356,297 displaced persons in the same period last year.³⁸⁶

WOMEN'S ADVANCEMENT

In July 2013, then-USAID Administrator Rajiv Shah described the Promote partnership in a public speech as “the largest investment USAID has ever made to advance women in development.”³⁸⁷ According to USAID, Promote aims to strengthen women’s participation in civil society, boost female participation in the economy, increase the number of women in decision-making positions within the Afghan government, and help women gain business and management skills.³⁸⁸ Table 3.17 show the current Promote programs.

According to USAID, of the 68,026 total Promote beneficiaries, 23,455 unique Promote beneficiaries have found employment. Of these, 1,619 have been hired by the Afghan government and 12,422 have secured permanent employment in the private sector. There are also 9,414 Promote beneficiaries holding private-sector internships.³⁸⁹

Following five years of implementation, Promote’s Women’s Leadership Development (WLD) program ended in October 2019.³⁹⁰ WLD aimed to contribute to Promote’s objective of creating a critical mass of women in mid- to upper-management levels in the economy, civil society, and government who would be prepared to take actions to improve the lives of girls and women at all levels.³⁹¹ To advance this objective in line with WLD’s contractual obligation to equip 18,000 women with “advanced skills, leadership acumen and self-confidence to compete successfully for high ranking positions,” WLD trained and graduated 20,801 students from its 12-week-long, more senior leadership course. (WLD had a second training course for literate women who had not yet completed secondary school.)³⁹² WLD intended the more senior leadership course to facilitate graduate entry to and advancement in decision-making positions in social, political, and economic sectors.³⁹³

The impacts of WLD’s training on contributing to a mid- to upper-management level critical mass are, however, difficult to determine even with the conclusion of the program. According to WLD in 2017, most trainees who secured jobs following graduation filled junior positions with the prospect of gaining a leadership position years in the future.³⁹⁴ The fact the program mostly targeted entry-level job candidates for its more senior-level leadership courses would make determining program impacts at mid- to upper-management levels impossible without a follow-on assessment years in the future.

Promote’s Women in the Economy (WIE) program recently helped fund a women-only passenger service. This project aims to help women learn

GOVERNANCE

TABLE 3.17

USAID GENDER PROGRAMS				
Project Title	Start Date	End Date	Total Estimated Cost	Cumulative Disbursements, as of 1/11/2020
Promote: Women in the Economy	7/1/2015	6/30/2020	\$71,571,543	\$56,032,942
Promote: Women's Leadership Development	9/23/2014	9/22/2019	41,959,377	41,352,545
Promote: Women in Government	4/21/2015	4/20/2020	37,997,644	35,172,327
Promote: Women's Rights Groups and Coalitions	9/2/2015	9/1/2020	29,534,401	21,854,213
Promote: Rolling Baseline and End-line Survey	2/21/2017	10/20/2020	7,577,638	5,784,730
Combating Human Trafficking in Afghanistan	1/11/2016	8/31/2020	7,098,717	6,962,858
Gender Based Violence (GBV)	7/9/2015	7/8/2020	6,667,272	6,667,272
Countering Trafficking in Persons (CTIP) II - Empowerment and Advocacy to Prevent Trafficking	1/10/2018	1/9/2020	1,483,950	1,298,950
Promote: Scholarships	3/4/2015	3/3/2020	1,247,522	1,247,522

Source: USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020.

how to drive, get their driving license, and then get a full-time job as mini-van drivers. As of August 2019, there are four female drivers. The shuttle service is currently providing free transport for university students and staff in Balkh Province. According to WIE, the beneficiaries are satisfied with this initiative.³⁹⁵

WIE also supported a 10-episode television series, *Roya*, that aimed to encourage women to want to work and encourage families to support their female family members who desire to work outside the house. To determine impact, WIE commissioned focus-group surveys of approximately 150 equally apportioned male and female respondents from Afghanistan's major urban areas. Almost all respondents reportedly agreed that the show reflected real life in Afghanistan. Female respondents reported that the show gave them specific strategies to use in their own lives when faced with obstacles like those faced by women in the show. Male participants appreciated the use of comedy to address societal issues and benefited from watching a female experience. Nearly all of the focus group respondents (97%) supported women working outside the home, significantly more than the 76% of randomly selected respondents to the Asia Foundation's 2019 survey who agreed with this statement.³⁹⁶

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL CONTENTS

Key Issues & Events	123
U.S. Support for Economic and Social Development: Theory, Objectives, and Funding	124
Economic Profile	126
Economic Growth	130
Agriculture	136
Infrastructure and Essential Services	140
Education	143
Health	145

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT



KEY ISSUES & EVENTS

Afghanistan will require at least \$4.6 billion, and perhaps as much as \$8.2 billion, of donor funding, per year, through 2024, the World Bank said this quarter.

Some 11.3 million Afghans face acute food insecurity in the coming months.

After several years of strong performance, the growth rate of the Afghan government's domestic revenues has slowed significantly.

An Afghan civil society organization alleged that at least 165, and possibly more than 546, boys from six schools in Logar Province were sexually abused by teachers, older students, authority figures, and extended family members.

Afghanistan will require at least \$4.6 billion, and perhaps as much as \$8.2 billion, of donor funding, per year, through 2024, according to a World Bank report released this quarter.³⁹⁷ Even in a best-case scenario in which the Afghan government's organically generated revenues doubled to \$5 billion, nearly half of all public expenditures would need to be financed by donors, the Bank said.³⁹⁸ The Bank's analysis assumes constant per capita spending on government services, increased operations and maintenance expenditures on infrastructure, and a \$1.6 billion reduction in security-sector costs.³⁹⁹ A significant reduction in grants would "force very difficult trade-offs," according to the Bank.⁴⁰⁰

On November 27, 2019, President Ashraf Ghani reportedly canceled two large-scale extractives contracts to develop a copper mine in Sar-e Pul and Balkh Provinces and a gold mine in Badakhshan Province.⁴⁰¹ The Afghan government had the right to terminate the contracts if the awardees—the Afghan Gold and Minerals Company (AGMC) and the Turkish Afghan Mining Company (TAMC)—violated a material contract obligation, or if exploration activities were delayed for a period of more than 12 months.⁴⁰² Both companies failed to post contractually required performance bonds

(issued to one party of a contract as a guarantee against the failure of the other party to meet its contractual obligations).⁴⁰³ However, in an interview with SIGAR, Brad Barnett, the CEO of CENTAR Ltd.—which partially owns AGMC and TAMC—said the contracts had not yet been canceled because the Afghan cabinet had not yet approved their cancellation.⁴⁰⁴ Neither company had initiated exploration activities as of October 2019, according to reporting from *Stars and Stripes*.⁴⁰⁵

Many Afghans remain hungry this quarter. The Integrated Food Security Phase Classification (IPC), on whose food-security analyses USAID relies, anticipated that 11.3 million people—or more than one-third of all Afghans—would face acute food insecurity between November 2019 and March 2020.⁴⁰⁶ Food-insecure households are those that either experience acute malnutrition due to food consumption gaps, or that are forced to deplete household assets in order to meet minimum needs.⁴⁰⁷ Christos Stylianides, the European Union’s Commissioner for Humanitarian Aid and Crisis Management commented, “The humanitarian outlook in Afghanistan is as bleak as ever.”⁴⁰⁸

A longstanding Afghan human rights issue led to controversy this quarter. An Afghan civil society organization shared allegations with the *New York Times* and the *Guardian* that at least 165, and possibly more than 546, boys from six schools in Logar Province were sexually abused by teachers, older students, authority figures, or extended family members.⁴⁰⁹ The organization, the Logar Youth, Social, and Civil Institution, said it had discovered more than 100 videos of abuse on a Facebook page.⁴¹⁰

After making the allegations public, Mohammed Musa (described as a lead social worker at the Institution) and another Logar activist, Ehsanullah Hamidi, were detained by Afghanistan’s intelligence agency, the National Directorate of Security (NDS), and coerced into confessing that their accusations were untrue.⁴¹¹ In a Twitter post, U.S. Ambassador to Afghanistan John Bass decried the NDS for “Soviet-style tactics.”⁴¹² Musa and Hamidi were subsequently released by the NDS, reportedly due to pressure from the U.S. and international human rights groups.⁴¹³ The practice of *bacha bazi*, or “boy play,” in which authority figures keep boys as sex slaves, is widespread in Afghanistan.⁴¹⁴ A SIGAR report released in January 2018 found that DOD was partnering with certain Afghan security force units credibly accused of being involved in child sexual assault.⁴¹⁵

U.S. SUPPORT FOR ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT: THEORY, OBJECTIVES, AND FUNDING

Advancing the Afghan economy has long been a key U.S. objective. While the emphasis and intensity of specific policies and programs have changed over the past 16 years, the core belief and theory of change that a growing economy contributes to stability and security has remained

constant.⁴¹⁶ The U.S. government's current Integrated Country Strategy (ICS) for Afghanistan states that economic prosperity in Afghanistan depends upon the United States' ability to advance private-sector-led export growth and job creation, and to bolster gains in health, education, and women's empowerment.⁴¹⁷

The ICS is linked to USAID's Country Development Cooperation Strategy (CDCS) for Afghanistan.⁴¹⁸ The objectives of the CDCS are to:⁴¹⁹


- accelerate private-sector-driven, export-led economic growth
- advance social gains in health, education, and gender equality
- increase the Afghan government's accountability to its citizens

Progress towards these objectives is likely to remain difficult. High levels of violence, episodic political uncertainty (most recently surrounding the outcome of the September 2019 presidential election), and a severe drought have kept Afghanistan's real GDP growth rate below 3% since 2014—the year in which U.S. and Coalition forces completed a phased drawdown.⁴²⁰ Since then, poverty has increased, some social-development indicators have stagnated, and the proportion of Afghans who perceive corruption as a problem in daily life has remained roughly the same.⁴²¹

As of December 31, 2019, the U.S. government had provided approximately \$34.5 billion to support governance and economic and social development in Afghanistan since 2002. Most of these funds—nearly \$20.5 billion—were appropriated to USAID's Economic Support Fund (ESF). Of this amount, \$19.6 billion has been obligated and \$17.0 billion has been disbursed.⁴²² Figure 3.44 shows USAID assistance by sector.

FIGURE 3.44

USAID DEVELOPMENT ASSISTANCE, CUMULATIVE DISBURSEMENTS, AS OF JANUARY 11, 2020 (\$ MILLIONS)



Note: USAID Mission-managed funds. Numbers are rounded. USAID gender programs managed by the agency's Office of Gender are presented as a separate category. Agriculture programs include Alternative Development. Infrastructure programs include power, roads, extractives, and programs that build health and education facilities. OFM activities (e.g. audits and pre-award assessments) included under Program Support funds.

*Unpreferenced funds are U.S. contributions to the ARTF that can be used for any ARTF-supported initiatives.

Source: SIGAR analysis of USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/11/2020; SIGAR analysis of World Bank, ARTF, Administrator's Report on Financial Status as of October 22, 2019, 1/3/2020.

Excluding Opium Economy Distorts Analysis of Afghanistan's Economic Performance

The IMF and the World Bank's economic analysis ignores the most important factor to Afghanistan's growth rate in recent years: the flourishing opium trade. Afghanistan's National Statistics and Information Authority (NSIA), which includes the opium economy in one version of its GDP figures, reported that when estimated opium production dropped by nearly 30% due to a supply surplus and a widespread drought in 2018, Afghanistan's economy contracted by 0.2%. That figure differs substantially from the NSIA's licit-only growth rate figure of 2.7%. Unlike the NSIA, neither the IMF nor the World Bank considers the narcotics economy in their GDP growth estimates.

By contrast, the NSIA reported that GDP growth including the opium economy in 2017 was 7.2%, due to a nearly 90% increase in opium production. Afghanistan's licit GDP growth rate in 2017 was 2.7%, according to the Bank and IMF.

The impact the narcotics economy can have on Afghanistan's economic growth rate is reflected by its size. According to the UNODC, the overall value of the opium economy in 2018 (between \$1.2 billion and \$2.2 billion) was equivalent to 6%–11% of the country's licit GDP. As has become typical for Afghanistan, the value of opiates available for export in that year (between \$1.1 billion and \$2.1 billion) was much larger than the combined value of all licit exports (\$875 million).

Source: NSIA, Afghanistan Statistical Yearbook 2017–2018, 8/2018, p. 110; World Bank, Afghanistan Development Update: Building Confidence Amid Uncertainty, 7/2019, p. 18; IMF, Fifth Review under the Extended Credit Facility Arrangement and Request for Modification of Performance Criteria, 5/15/2019, p. 24; SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 1/30/2019, pp. 150, 152; UNODC, Afghanistan Opium Survey 2018: Cultivation and Production, 11/2018, pp. 5, 8; NSIA, "Growth-Rate-of-GDP," 6/10/2019; NSIA, Afghanistan Statistical Yearbook 2018–19, 7/2019, posted to the NSIA website 11/2019, ii; SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 4/30/2019, p. 151; UNODC, Afghanistan Opium Survey 2017: Cultivation and Production, 11/2018, p. 40; UNODC, Afghanistan opium survey 2018: Challenges to sustainable development, peace and security, 7/2019, p. 24; World Bank, Afghanistan Development Update January 2020: Navigating a Sea of Uncertainty, 1/2020, p. 32.

Since counternarcotics is a crosscutting issue that depends on a variety of reconstruction activities, please see Appendix B for a consolidated list of counternarcotics reconstruction funding.

SIGAR EVALUATION REPORT

In September 2019, the House Oversight and Reform National Security Subcommittee (Majority) asked SIGAR to conduct an inquiry to ascertain whether any U.S.-funded capital assets provided to Afghanistan were either unused or improperly used. The evaluation is ongoing.

Data Reliability Issue: Shifting Labor Force Numbers

Donors like USAID and the World Bank use estimates for the number of Afghans entering the labor market every year to justify interventions aimed at reducing poverty and improving living standards. But such estimates vary, making it difficult to understand how much assistance, and what types of assistance, might be required.

In a May 2017 report on poverty in Afghanistan, the World Bank said that more than 400,000 Afghans were expected to enter the labor market every year for the foreseeable future. In a December 2019 report assessing Afghanistan's future grant financing needs, the Bank said that 300,000 Afghans were entering the labor force every year. While it is unclear what accounts for this difference, given population growth of 2.3% per year, it seems intuitively unlikely that fewer Afghans are entering the labor force today than in 2017.

Source: World Bank, Afghanistan Poverty Status Update: Progress at Risk, 5/2017, p. 7; World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, p. 9; USAID, Country Development Cooperation Strategy, 3/31/2019, p. 13.

ECONOMIC PROFILE

Afghanistan remains poor, war-ridden, and heavily aid-dependent. Despite consistent and intensive donor efforts to make the country more economically self-reliant, grants totaling \$8.5 billion per year currently finance approximately 75% of total public expenditures.⁴²³ While the overall economic goal of the current U.S. strategy is to transition Afghanistan from an assistance recipient to an enduring economic partner, there is a sense in which the reach, scale, and nature of U.S. assistance has made the country's state apparatus, as currently constructed, more dependent, rather than less.⁴²⁴ In its *2019 High-Risk List*, SIGAR identified the sustainability of U.S.-funded institutions, programs, and property provided in Afghanistan as a crosscutting issue affecting every area of reconstruction in Afghanistan.⁴²⁵

Although Afghanistan's real, licit GDP growth rate averaged just under 10% over the first decade of reconstruction as donor funds flooded into the country, growth dropped substantially as the Afghan government assumed responsibility for the ongoing fight against the Taliban insurgency.⁴²⁶ In 2018, GDP grew at the lowest rate (1.8%, according to the World Bank) in several years, falling from the 2017 figure of 2.7% and interrupting what had been a slow but steady licit economic recovery.⁴²⁷

One consequence of slower growth is that approximately 55% of Afghans are living below the poverty line today, compared to 39% in 2012, according to the Bank.⁴²⁸ While the World Bank estimates that the GDP grew by 2.9% in 2019, this will not be enough to materially improve living standards, with Afghanistan's population growing by an estimated 2.3% per year.⁴²⁹ Living standards are often defined in GDP per capita terms, meaning that if the population were growing at a rate just below GDP, living standards would not markedly improve. Currently, Afghanistan has the lowest GDP per capita in "the immediate region," according to the IMF.⁴³⁰

In contrast to Afghanistan's relatively low economic growth rate, the South Asian economy as a whole was expected to grow by 7% in 2019, the Bank said.⁴³¹ For Afghanistan, hopes for higher future growth depend heavily on improvements to security and political stability, and continued foreign assistance, according to the IMF.⁴³² But improvement in these areas is uncertain: the Afghan government's control of territory deteriorated over the last several years for which data is available, the outcome of the latest Afghan presidential election remains unresolved months after the final vote was cast, and levels of future grant support to Afghanistan are unclear.⁴³³ As the IMF said in December 2019, "Risks to the [growth] outlook are tilted to the downside."⁴³⁴



Afghan business owners discuss their products with a potential buyer at the USAID-sponsored Passage to Prosperity International Trade and Investment Show. (USEK photo)

Afghanistan's Licit Trade Deficit Remains Stubbornly High

Afghanistan's large, licit trade deficit has not budged despite USAID's strategic focus on exports to spur economic growth.⁴³⁵ As USAID was developing its current strategy in December 2017, the agency told SIGAR it expected to "bridge [Afghanistan's] massive trade deficit" through efforts occurring over "the next three to five years."⁴³⁶ This thinking is proving to be overly optimistic: in afghani terms, the country's trade deficit remained virtually the same (decreasing by just 0.2%) through the first three quarters of 2019, compared to the same period in 2018 (following an 8.8% *increase* from 2017 to 2018).⁴³⁷ (The afghani (AFN) has depreciated against the U.S. dollar (USD) over the last several years, meaning that export growth calculations could overstate a decrease in export growth if USD values are not first converted to AFN.)

In response to a draft of this report, USAID distanced itself from its December 2017 statement by saying, "The elimination of the trade deficit is beyond USAID's manageable strategic interest."⁴³⁸ USAID added that while increased exports could reduce Afghanistan's trade deficit, growth in exports may not be large enough to eliminate or "bridge" it.⁴³⁹ While it is true that USAID's current strategy reflects the less aggressive hypothesis that the agency's efforts will simply "*decrease* the country's trade deficit" [emphasis added], World Bank projections show that this thinking, too, may be overly optimistic.⁴⁴⁰ The Bank expected the deficit to be equivalent to 32.3% of GDP by 2022, essentially unchanged from December 2017.⁴⁴¹ Available trade data from 2019 showed that, at the end of the third quarter, the deficit was \$4.5 billion, while cumulative exports in 2019 stood at just \$534.6 million.⁴⁴²



Tray of saffron awaits inspection in Herat. (USAID photo)


Also in response to a draft of this report, USAID claimed that its “shift to the new export strategy is incomplete, and its consequences can be better evaluated after its completion.”⁴⁴³ However, in a publicly available fact sheet presented on its website, USAID continues to claim that a “major highlight” of its support for export-driven economic growth is: “Afghanistan is showing strong progress with exports of \$875 million in 2018.”⁴⁴⁴

In early 2019, USAID was celebrating strong licit export growth through 2018 as a sign of economic progress.⁴⁴⁵ However, export growth began to moderate in the third quarter of 2018, and has continued more modestly in 2019, even in afghani terms (trade data on the NSIA website is denominated in U.S. dollars).⁴⁴⁶ Exports contracted by 14.1% in the third quarter of 2019, compared to the third quarter of 2018.⁴⁴⁷ Overall, exports in 2019 have been stagnant: through the first three quarters of 2019, cumulative exports were equivalent to the same period in 2018.⁴⁴⁸ Figure 3.45 presents recent quarter-to-quarter export growth.

The IMF attributes Afghanistan’s poor licit-trade situation to persistent conflict, the country’s landlocked geography (which significantly raises the costs of trade, relative to countries with direct access to commercial sea routes), low levels of infrastructure and institutional capacity, and limited access to electricity and finance.⁴⁴⁹ However, these obstacles do not preclude Afghanistan from exporting large amounts of opium, which dwarfs the country’s licit exports.⁴⁵⁰ Curiously, although the IMF listed opium as Afghanistan’s top export in a table of selected economic indicators in a May 2018 update on the country’s macroeconomic performance, it removed opium from lists of Afghanistan’s main exports in subsequent updates dated November 2018 and May 2019.⁴⁵¹ In 2018, the value of opiates available for export was between \$1.1 billion and \$2.1 billion, according to the UNODC—far larger than the combined value of all licit exports (\$875 million).⁴⁵²

FIGURE 3.45

AFGHANISTAN'S EXPORT PERFORMANCE, 2017-2019 (AFN BILLIONS)



Note: Afghanistan's National Statistics and Information Authority (NSIA) denominates its quarterly trade data in U.S. dollars. The afghani (AFN) has depreciated against the U.S. dollar (USD) over the last several years, meaning that export growth calculations could overstate a decrease in export growth if USD values are not first converted to AFN. SIGAR therefore converted quarterly merchandise export totals to afghani (AFN). To do so, SIGAR used the average AFN/USD exchange rate for each quarter, calculated from monthly average exchange rates posted by Afghanistan's central bank.

Source: SIGAR analysis of NSIA quarterly and annual export data, 2017-2019, accessed 12/10/2019, 9/22/2019, 6/21/2019, 3/23/2019, 12/20/2018, and 9/25/2018.

Fiscal Update: Revenue Gains Stalled

Afghanistan's **sustainable domestic revenues** contracted by 2.6% over the first 11 months of FY 1398 (December 22, 2018, to December 21, 2019), year-on-year, SIGAR analysis of Afghan government accounting data showed.⁴⁵³ As SIGAR emphasized in its *2019 High-Risk List*, strengthening Afghanistan's fiscal capacity will be key to sustaining the infrastructure and institutions that will be vital to economic growth as the Afghan government is asked to take greater responsibility for its own development in the coming years.⁴⁵⁴

The IMF is uncertain how long revenue growth will remain slow (Figure 3.46 shows cumulative sustainable revenue gains through Month 11 of FY 1398).⁴⁵⁵ Signaling potential concerns from Afghanistan's Ministry of Finance, the MOF requested an early tax payment of more than \$10 million from state-owned Bank-e Millie Afghan (BMA) in order to shore up funds intended for "major national projects," TOLONews reported this quarter.⁴⁵⁶ An MOF spokesman said the Afghan government was entitled to the funds because BMA is a state-owned bank.⁴⁵⁷ However, according to TOLONews,

Sustainable Domestic Revenues:

According to Afghanistan Ministry of Finance officials, these are revenues like customs, taxes, and nontax fees. Multilateral institutions such as the World Bank and the IMF use reports of these revenues to judge the Afghan government's fiscal performance.

One-Off Domestic Revenues:

These are nonrecurring revenues arising from one-time transfers of funds, such as central bank profits, to the Afghan government. The IMF excludes central bank transfers from its definition of domestic revenues for the purpose of monitoring Afghanistan's fiscal performance under its Extended Credit Facility arrangement with the government.

Source: SIGAR, communications with MOF officials, 8/21/2017; SIGAR, communications with IMF officials, 9/7/2017.

the MOF said funds collected from BMA would count towards the bank's taxes in future years, inviting questions about why the funds were collected now.⁴⁵⁸

Should public expenditure needs stay constant, rise in line with population growth, or rise due to other factors (such as the need to consolidate and sustain an intra-Afghan peace), poorer revenue performance would mean that donors would need to foot more of Afghanistan's reconstruction bill, according to World Bank analysis published this quarter.⁴⁵⁹ The World Bank said that, in a mid-term, downside scenario in which revenues drop to a similar extent observed during the heavily contested 2014 presidential elections (i.e. collapse to the equivalent of approximately 8.5% of GDP), donors may have to provide between \$6.4 billion and \$8.2 billion to supplement domestic financing.⁴⁶⁰ That would be a little less than the \$8.5 billion in grant assistance donors currently provide per year, but the Bank's analysis also assumes that security expenditures will decrease by \$1.6 billion by 2024, which may not occur.⁴⁶¹

Lower growth through month 11 was driven in large part by a sharp decline in "Other Revenue," a catchall category for revenues not listed elsewhere in the MOF's chart of accounts.⁴⁶² Within this category, revenues from Afghan government-owned enterprises dropped by AFN 4.9 billion (\$61.4 million)—or 77.3%.⁴⁶³ A second factor was an 8.0% decline in administrative fees, which fell by AFN 2.1 billion (\$26.5 million).⁴⁶⁴ The Afghan government earns administrative fees in exchange for certain services it provides, such as issuing national identity cards and visas.⁴⁶⁵ A 47.4% drop in overflight revenues accounted for the majority of the overall decline in the administrative fees category. Overflight revenues, earned when commercial aircraft transit through Afghan airspace, decreased by AFN 2.0 billion (\$25.3 million) during the period.⁴⁶⁶ Pakistan closed its airspace from February 27, 2019, to July 16, 2019, which likely contributed to the decline.⁴⁶⁷

Figure 3.46 compares monthly sustainable domestic revenue collection from FY 1397 (December 22, 2017 to December 21, 2018) with monthly revenue collection from FY 1398. While aggregate revenues grew at the higher rate of 4.3% over the first 11 months of the year, the increase was driven by a large (AFN 9.0 billion, or \$113.8 million) transfer of central bank profits rather than revenue categories generally considered to be more sustainable.⁴⁶⁸ Outpacing sustainable (but not aggregate) domestic revenues, expenditures grew by 10.4%.⁴⁶⁹ Figure 3.47 shows cumulative spending increases by month through month 11.


ECONOMIC GROWTH

Through its current strategy, USAID seeks to accelerate private-sector-driven, export-led growth in Afghanistan.⁴⁷⁰ In support of this objective, the agency's Office of Economic Growth aims to:⁴⁷¹

- strengthen trade connections between Afghanistan and its neighbors

FIGURE 3.46

CUMULATIVE SUSTAINABLE REVENUE GAINS (FY 1397-1398) VERSUS SAME MONTH PRIOR YEAR




Note: During the timeframe within which SIGAR conducted the analysis for this report, Afghanistan's Ministry of Finance provided AFMIS data for only the fourth quarter of FY 1398. Consequently, the first nine months of revenues were calculated using AFMIS data exported on 10/8/2019. Because the Afghan government sometimes retroactively revises its accounting data, growth figures above may differ from those calculated using a single comprehensive export from AFMIS that includes all data from FY 1398. Last quarter, a similar graphic presented a cumulative 4.6% uptick in revenues through month 7. That figure is corrected here to 5.1%.

Source: SIGAR analysis of MOF-provided AFMIS data exported 1/6/2020 and 10/8/2019; SIGAR analysis of USAID-provided AFMIS data exported 1/12/2019.

FIGURE 3.47

CUMULATIVE EXPENDITURE INCREASES (FY 1397-1398) VERSUS SAME MONTH PRIOR YEAR



Note: During the timeframe within which SIGAR conducted the analysis for this report, Afghanistan's Ministry of Finance provided AFMIS data for only the fourth quarter of FY 1398. Consequently, the first nine months of revenues were calculated using AFMIS data exported on 10/7/2019. Because the Afghan government sometimes retroactively revises its accounting data, growth figures above may differ from those calculated using a single comprehensive export from AFMIS that includes all data from FY 1398.

Source: SIGAR analysis of MOF-provided AFMIS data exported 1/6/2020 and 10/7/2019; SIGAR analysis of USAID-provided AFMIS data exported 1/12/2019.

- increase firm-level competitiveness by supporting export-ready Afghan businesses
- raise employment levels through that firm-level support and through the creation of a more favorable enabling environment for businesses

Materially accelerating Afghanistan's licit economic growth rate, which is currently too low to reduce poverty and improve living standards, may not be possible within the timeframe set by USAID's strategy (which covers development support through 2023).⁴⁷² High levels of insecurity, violence, and episodic political uncertainty (manifest most recently in a presidential election that remains unresolved months after the final vote was cast) continue to weigh down the Afghan economy, according to the IMF.⁴⁷³

USAID's active economic-growth programs have a total estimated cost of \$139 million and can be found in Table 3.18.

The Extractives Sector Contributes Little to GDP

Although Afghanistan is endowed with a plethora of natural resources (including rare earth elements, gold, chromite, copper, natural gas, and many others), mining made up just 1.1% of GDP in 2018, according to a recently released report from Afghanistan's statistical authority (the NSIA).⁴⁷⁴ As the NSIA bluntly stated, "Despite having numerous mines in Afghanistan, it has not been extracted yet [sic]."⁴⁷⁵

Even so, donors continue to emphasize the supposed importance of the extractives sector to Afghanistan's future development. Substantial future increases to government revenues (which presently cover only 25% of total public expenditures) would depend in large part on the ability of the Afghan government to mobilize major revenue-generating projects in the extractives sector, the World Bank said this quarter.⁴⁷⁶ The Afghan government has passed a new mining law, but it believes that security challenges and poor infrastructure, among other issues, still hinder development of the sector.⁴⁷⁷

After a seven-year period from 2009 through 2016, during which DOD and USAID obligated nearly \$488 million to develop Afghanistan's extractives industry, U.S. support to the sector is now relatively small.⁴⁷⁸ The most significant current U.S. effort is a five-year, \$18.2 million interagency agreement between USAID and the United States Geological Survey (USGS) that aims to help MOMP better organize and improve its data on Afghanistan's mineral reserves.⁴⁷⁹ One objective of a separate, five-year, \$20 million USAID interagency agreement with the U.S. Department of Commerce is to improve the legal and regulatory regime in the mining sector as well as building the capacity of MOMP's staff to administer its mining contracts.⁴⁸⁰

In sharp contrast to the state of the licit industry, illegal mining—broadly defined—has flourished in Afghanistan. According to the United States Institute of Peace, most mineral extraction in the country is either illicit or unregulated. While some local communities have operated for decades

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT

TABLE 3.18

USAID ACTIVE ECONOMIC-GROWTH PROGRAMS				
Project Title	Start Date	End Date	Total Estimated Cost	Cumulative Disbursements, as of 1/11/2020
Multi-Dimensional Legal Economic Reform Assistance (MELRA)	2/7/2018	2/6/2023	\$19,990,260	\$3,371,197
Extractive Technical Assistance by USGS	1/1/2018	12/31/2022	18,226,206	5,567,947
INVEST	9/28/2017	9/27/2020	15,000,000	5,287,209
Afghanistan Investment Climate Reform Program	3/27/2015	3/26/2020	13,300,000	6,273,446
Commercial Law Development Program	3/1/2014	9/30/2019	13,000,000	12,700,832
Carpet and Jewelry Value Chains	1/31/2019	4/30/2023	9,941,606	1,369,315
Goldozi Project	4/5/2018	4/4/2022	9,718,763	2,926,344
Livelihood Advancement for Marginalized Population (LAMP)	8/1/2018	7/31/2022	9,491,153	1,020,463
Establishing Kabul Carpet Export Center (KCEC)	6/6/2018	6/5/2021	9,416,507	3,959,066
Recycling Plant Value Chain in Northern Afghanistan	6/5/2019	6/4/2023	7,250,000	156,333
Trade Show Support (TSS) Activity	6/7/2018	12/6/2020	6,921,728	5,474,912
Development Credit Authority (DCA) with Ghazanfar Bank	9/1/2018	8/30/2025	2,163,000	40,015
Afghanistan International Bank Guarantee Agreement	9/27/2012	9/27/2020	2,000,000	520,800
Development Credit Authority (DCA) with FINCA, OXUS, and First Microfinance Banks	9/25/2014	9/24/2020	1,958,000	142,100
Afghanistan Loan Portfolio Guarantee	9/27/2017	9/26/2023	665,820	732
Total			\$139,043,043	\$48,810,713

Source: USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/11/2020.

under informal agreements brokered before the current regulatory regime took effect, the Taliban and various criminal networks control other sites.⁴⁸¹

Another Acting Minister Takes Over at the Ministry of Mines

Frequent leadership turnover at Afghanistan’s Ministry of Mines and Petroleum (MOMP) has disrupted U.S. capacity-building efforts there and has been an obstacle to developing the extractives sector, according to a report that evaluated USAID’s last large-scale effort to develop the industry.⁴⁸² While turnover at the ministry has slowed in recent years—over one four-year period, MOMP cycled through five different ministers—Acting Minister Nargis Nehan resigned for unspecified personal reasons in late October 2019.⁴⁸³ Nehan, whom the Afghan parliament refused to confirm (a decision that Afghan civil society organizations said reflected sexism), had served in an acting capacity for two and a half years.⁴⁸⁴

Taking over for Nehan is Acting Minister Enayatullah Momand.⁴⁸⁵ Momand, who is described as a “technical deputy of the ministry” in public reporting, is a seven-year veteran of MOMP, according to his profile on the ministry’s website.⁴⁸⁶ Since the beginning of the National Unity Government—the power-sharing arrangement between President Ashraf

Ghani and Chief Executive Abdullah Abdullah adopted following the disputed 2014 presidential elections—MOMP has been led by five different acting ministers, and has had a confirmed minister for a total of only 12 months.⁴⁸⁷

Two Large Mining Contracts in Jeopardy

On November 27, 2019, President Ghani reportedly canceled two contracts to develop a gold mine in Badakhshan Province and the Balkhab copper mine in Sar-e Pul and Balkh Provinces.⁴⁸⁸ The awardees—the Afghan Gold and Minerals Company (AGMC) and the Turkish Afghan Mining Company (TAMC)—failed to post contractually required performance bonds.⁴⁸⁹ A performance bond is issued to one party of a contract as a guarantee against the failure of the other party to meet its contractual obligations.⁴⁹⁰ However, in an interview with SIGAR, Brad Barnett, the CEO of CENTAR Ltd.—which partially owns AGMC and TAMC—said the contracts had not yet been canceled because the Afghan cabinet had not yet approved their cancellation.⁴⁹¹

MOMP had the right to terminate the contracts if AGMC or TAMC violated a material contract obligation, or if exploration activities were delayed for more than 12 months.⁴⁹² Although State claimed in December 2018 that the companies had begun the exploration phase, *Stars and Stripes* reported that exploration activities had not yet been initiated as of October 2019, 12 months after the contracts were signed.⁴⁹³

The Afghan government signed the mining contracts for the Badakhshan gold and Balkhab copper concessions at a ceremony at the Afghan Embassy in Washington, DC, in the fall of 2018, reviving long-held hopes at the time that Afghanistan’s extractives sector could be leveraged for the country’s economic development.⁴⁹⁴ Consultant projections from 2012 suggested that total government revenues over the lifetime of the projects (11 years from the mines’ becoming operational) could have been \$358 million for Balkhab and \$328 million for Badakhshan.⁴⁹⁵

However, controversy soon followed.⁴⁹⁶ Civil society organizations (CSOs) concerned about corruption in Afghanistan’s mining sector contended the contracts had been awarded illegally, due to the involvement of former Minister of Housing and Urban Development Sadat Naderi.⁴⁹⁷ The CSOs pointed out that the Afghan mining law in force at the time the contracts were signed appeared to set a five-year “cooling off” period for former ministers before they were allowed to hold a mining license.⁴⁹⁸ State argued that such concerns were “without substantive legal basis,” and said Naderi’s firm—the Afghan Krystal Mining Company, which had a 50.1% ownership stake in the Balkhab concession (by owning 50.1% of AGMC) and a 24.5% ownership stake in the Badakhshan concession (by owning 24.5% of TAMC), according to contract documents—bid for and won these contracts in good faith before Naderi became a minister.⁴⁹⁹

Beyond potential conflict of interest issues, State inaccurately portrayed the contracts as having been awarded to a U.S. company. State claimed that CENTAR Ltd. was based in the United States. Contract documents, however, contain contrary information: a company named CENTAR Ltd., based in Guernsey in the Channel Islands of the UK, owned 24.5% of the Badakhshan concession and 49.9% of the Balkhab project.⁵⁰⁰ CENTAR CEO Brad Barnett confirmed to SIGAR that the company is Guernsey-based, not U.S.-based.⁵⁰¹

Some Afghanistan Jobs Creation Program Awardees are Not Meeting USAID Performance Standards

Project data shared with SIGAR this quarter indicates that several awards granted under USAID's five-year, \$96 million Afghanistan Jobs Creation Program (AJCP) are not meeting targets.⁵⁰² Initiated in June 2017, AJCP aims to generate revenue and sustainable jobs by supporting Afghanistan's value-chain development, and to help Afghan business increase exports.⁵⁰³ The program funds multiple awards, with the value of individual grants ranging from \$2 million to \$10 million.⁵⁰⁴

One AJCP award went to Impact Carpets Associates LLC, which established the \$9.4 million Kabul Carpet Export Center (KCEC). KCEC seeks to increase Afghanistan's carpet exports and create jobs for Afghans in the carpet industry.⁵⁰⁵ Despite training 150 Afghan carpet manufacturers (750% of the project target for the first fiscal year of implementation), Impact Carpets missed annual targets for the volume of carpets exported (achieving 70% of the target), the value of carpets exported (70% of the target), the number of new jobs created (71% of the target), and the value of loans distributed to carpet manufacturers (0% of the target).⁵⁰⁶ KCEC, which is intended to be financially sustainable, hit only 40% of its revenue target.⁵⁰⁷

USAID awarded a second grant worth \$9.7 million to Family Health International (FHI 360) to support a program entitled "The Goldozi Project," which aims to help 15,000 women in and around Kabul sell their embroidered products.⁵⁰⁸ Goldozi's annual report for FY 2019 showed that FHI 360 failed to meet several key performance targets. For example, FHI 360 created just 80 of a planned 200 jobs. FHI 360 also reported that no individuals increased their income as a result of Goldozi assistance (versus a target of 500).⁵⁰⁹ FHI 360 explained that the high-end Afghan products supported by the project struggled to compete with new trends and styles in the fashion industry. Lack of product differentiation and quality were major concerns.⁵¹⁰ FHI 360 further explained that most Afghans lacked the purchasing power to afford Goldozi's products and preferred to purchase imported machine-made goods from Pakistan.⁵¹¹

A third AJCP grant worth \$9.5 million was awarded to the Cooperative for Assistance and Relief Everywhere Inc. (CARE) to support a project called "Livelihood Advancement for Marginalized Populations (LAMP)."⁵¹²

LAMP aims to create jobs for internally displaced Afghans.⁵¹³ LAMP's most recent quarterly performance report indicated that CARE had met its target of providing 145 individuals with new or better employment.⁵¹⁴ However, this target was met by simply providing these individuals with 40 hens, along with feed and equipment. The quarterly report did not indicate whether the recipients were generating income from poultry activities.⁵¹⁵ More than a year and a half since it was established in 2018, LAMP has benefitted only these 145 individuals.⁵¹⁶ CARE attributed the slow start in part to a long delay in finalizing beneficiaries for intervention. As a result of this delay, many beneficiaries "lost their interest."⁵¹⁷ Descriptions of other challenges listed in CARE's quarterly report were poorly written and consequently incomprehensible. For example, according to CARE, one challenge was, "Due to this project implementation modality and program description mentioned issue is challengeable for implementation of this modality."⁵¹⁸

AGRICULTURE

The agricultural sector employs approximately 40% of Afghanistan's total labor force, according to the World Bank, and accounted for 20.5% of the country's GDP (including opium-poppy) in 2018, according to Afghanistan's National Statistics and Information Authority (NSIA).⁵¹⁹ The Bank expected the sector's contribution to GDP in 2019 to be approximately the same as in 2018.⁵²⁰ Agriculture's significance to growth has diminished somewhat since the U.S.-led intervention in 2001, in line with a significant rise in demand, driven largely by the international presence in the country, for construction and services (for example, communications and logistics services).⁵²¹ Nevertheless, the Bank expected licit agriculture to contribute approximately 0.84 percentage points (out of 2.5 percentage points) of GDP growth in 2019 due to anticipated recovery from a severe drought in 2018.⁵²²

In addition to licit agricultural activity supported by international donors, illicit opium-poppy cultivation thrives in Afghanistan. Including indirect employment, as many as 507,000 Afghans worked in the opium economy in 2018, making the sector one of the country's largest employers (considerably larger than the Afghan National Defense and Security Forces), according to a May 2019 paper from the Afghanistan Research and Evaluation Unit.⁵²³

Since 2002, USAID has disbursed nearly \$2.3 billion to improve licit agricultural production, increase access to markets, and develop income alternatives to growing poppy for opium production.⁵²⁴ USAID's active agriculture programs have a total estimated cost of \$348 million and can be found in Table 3.19. This quarter, State and USAID's alternative development projects—which aim to transition opium-poppy farmers into licit agriculture—have been incorporated into this section of the quarterly economic and social development update. Total disbursements on State's active

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT

TABLE 3.19

USAID ACTIVE AGRICULTURE PROGRAMS				
Project Title	Start Date	End Date	Total Estimated Cost	Cumulative Disbursements, as of 1/11/2020
Strengthening Watershed and Irrigation Management (SWIM)	12/7/2016	12/6/2021	\$87,905,437	\$22,548,698
Commercial Horticulture and Agriculture Marketing Program (CHAMP)	2/1/2010	1/31/2020	71,292,850	71,238,969
Afghanistan Value Chains–Livestock Activity	6/9/2018	6/8/2021	55,672,170	10,630,971
Afghanistan Value Chains–High Value Crops	8/2/2018	8/1/2023	54,958,860	8,768,053
Regional Agriculture Development Program–East (RADP East)	7/21/2016	7/20/2021	28,126,111	15,520,586
Grain Research and Innovation (GRAIN)	3/13/2017	9/30/2022	19,500,000	9,150,000
Promoting Value Chain–West	9/20/2017	9/19/2020	19,000,000	11,406,963
Catalyzing Afghan Agricultural Innovation	5/28/2018	5/27/2023	8,000,000	2,182,659
SERVIR	9/14/2015	9/30/2020	3,100,000	1,877,059
Total			\$347,555,428	\$153,323,957

Note: CHAMP is the only project USAID continues to code as an alternative-development intervention in its financial data. All other projects are coded as agricultural interventions.

Source: USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/11/2020.

alternative livelihood projects (Table 3.20 on page 140) were \$79 million, as of December 18, 2019.

One-Third of Afghans Could Face Food Insecurity in Early 2020

Some 11.3 million people—or more than one-third of all Afghans—stood to face acute food insecurity between November 2019 and March 2020, according to the Integrated Food Security Phase Classification (IPC), on whose food-security analyses USAID relies.⁵²⁵ Food-insecure households experience acute malnutrition due to food consumption gaps, or are forced to deplete household assets to meet minimum needs.⁵²⁶

Factors contributing to widespread food insecurity include the lingering impacts of last year’s severe drought, high unemployment and food prices, and the continuing conflict.⁵²⁷ Christos Stylianides, the European Union’s Commissioner for Humanitarian Aid and Crisis Management, commented, “The humanitarian outlook in Afghanistan is as bleak as ever.”⁵²⁸ To help address widespread malnutrition, USAID contributed \$101.1 million to multiple partners in FY 2019, including \$87.1 million to the UN World Food Program (WFP) to address widespread food insecurity.⁵²⁹ WFP provides emergency food assistance in Afghanistan.⁵³⁰

USAID’s CHAMP is Meeting Performance Targets but Sustainability is a Key Question

USAID’s Commercial Horticulture and Agricultural Marketing Program (CHAMP) works with Afghan firms to boost the country’s exports, particularly fresh and dry fruits and nuts.⁵³¹ While USAID still categorizes CHAMP as an alternative-development project in its financial data, the current,

SIGAR AUDIT

A November 2019 SIGAR audit examining USAID's efforts to reduce food insecurity in Afghanistan found that incomplete reporting and limited site visits reduced USAID's ability to conduct oversight of its emergency food-assistance activities in Afghanistan. Moreover, more than 91% of USAID's implementing partners' formal quarterly, biannual, annual, and final project performance reports lacked information required by USAID's award agreements.

primary purpose of CHAMP is to support USAID's export-led growth strategy by increasing exports of high-value agricultural products.⁵³² The project is implemented by the humanitarian organization Roots of Peace.⁵³³

CHAMP met or significantly exceeded nearly all of its performance targets for the quarter ending September 30, 2019, data from the project's latest quarterly report show.⁵³⁴ In particular, CHAMP exceeded targets for both the volume and value of agricultural commodities exported with U.S. government (USG) assistance by 60% and 240%, respectively.⁵³⁵ Other performance targets that were exceeded, like the number of households benefitted by agriculture and alternative-development interventions and the number of full-time equivalent jobs created, were boosted by higher-than-target exports.⁵³⁶

While these figures seem encouraging (the value of agricultural commodities exported with CHAMP support was more than \$31.7 million in the fourth quarter of FY 2019), they are attributable in part to USAID-supported trade shows and to heavily subsidized air corridors between Afghanistan and regional trading partners.⁵³⁷ For example, contracts signed at a single trade show—USAID Afghanistan's 3rd Annual Passage to Prosperity event, which ran from September 24 through September 26, 2019, in New Delhi, India—were equivalent to nearly 65% (\$20.5 million) of the value of sales supported by CHAMP's assistance in the fourth quarter of FY 2019.⁵³⁸

While the extent of linkage between CHAMP-supported exports and Afghanistan's network of regional air corridors is less clear, USAID has said there is a close connection between the corridors and the trade exhibitions supported by the agency.⁵³⁹ Representatives from the Afghanistan Chamber of Commerce and Investment attend the trade shows and, if a deal is signed, ensure that planes are available to fill the order.⁵⁴⁰ Subsidy rates that reduce airfreight costs for Afghan exporters are substantial. For example, subsidies cover 83% of shipment costs from Kabul to New Delhi.⁵⁴¹

USAID said that its goal was to ensure "Afghans can self-reliantly manage international trade exhibitions within five years," and noted it is working to help Afghanistan "achieve critical product density for various Afghan commodities within [a] five-year timeframe" (implying that export subsidies are a necessary but temporary measure to help achieve such density).⁵⁴² However, a key question is whether high levels of exports from CHAMP-supported traders are sustainable in the absence of subsidized trade exhibitions and airfreight costs.

State's \$24 Million CBARD-West Project Faces Implementation Challenges

The Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development-West (CBARD-West) project, managed by State's Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs (INL), faced significant implementation challenges during the fourth quarter of FY 2019, a report provided to SIGAR

this quarter showed.⁵⁴³ CBARD-West aims to strengthen local production and marketing of high-value crops in 63 communities in Farah and Badghis Provinces, thereby accelerating Afghanistan’s licit economic growth and reducing the prevalence of illicit opium-poppy production.⁵⁴⁴ By dividing communities into treatment and control groups, CBARD-West is also attempting to assess the viability of alternative livelihoods for farmers who might otherwise grow poppies.⁵⁴⁵

CBARD-West’s implementer, the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), said the project faced numerous implementation challenges during the latest reporting period (July 2019 through September 2019).⁵⁴⁶ The biggest unforeseen challenge, according to UNDP, was an exceptionally low survival rate for saplings planted in 2018. The survival rate in Farah was just 59.9% (meaning that approximately 99,000 out of 160,000 saplings planted survived).⁵⁴⁷ The survival rate of saplings planted in Badghis Province was even lower (37.7%): only 38,000 of 124,000 saplings survived.⁵⁴⁸

UNDP said the low survival rates were the cumulative result of successive droughts, extreme floods, and unintentional neglect by farmers displaced by armed conflict. The implementer added that despite its efforts to mitigate the impacts of these risks through site selection and assessment of intervention appropriateness, the severity of floods, drought, and conflict in areas selected for intervention were too much for local communities to cope with.⁵⁴⁹ Moreover, UNDP was limited in its ability to assess these impacts, as well as provide timely support, due to the remoteness of project locations, limited accessibility in times of flood, general insecurity, and weak management and coordination at local, district, and provincial levels.⁵⁵⁰ In light of these challenges, UNDP said it was conducting a review that will ascertain how to make its project interventions more viable.⁵⁵¹

In addition to these developments, UNDP reported that it had trained project beneficiaries on gender-sensitive agro-business development (to strengthen women’s involvement and participation) and post-harvest value addition (processing and marketing), as well as completed construction on new commercial greenhouses and irrigation projects during the quarter.⁵⁵² INL said that the preliminary analysis for CBARD’s midterm evaluation suggests that the project is succeeding as “there has been a (larger) reduction on opium-poppy cultivation in the villages receiving INL funded project interventions (“treatment” villages) compared to the villages not receiving the interventions (“control” villages).”⁵⁵³ However, SIGAR’s 2018 lessons-learned report on counternarcotics found that interventions like CBARD seldom have a lasting impact on poppy cultivation.⁵⁵⁴ State-INL’s alternative-development projects are presented in Table 3.20 on the following page.

TABLE 3.20

STATE-INL ACTIVE ALTERNATIVE-DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS			
Project Title	Start Date	End Date	Obligated and Disbursed, Cumulative, as of 12/18/2019
Community-Based Agriculture and Alternative Development-West (CBARD-West)	9/1/2016	4/30/22	\$24,368,607
Community-Based Agriculture and Alternative Development-East (CBARD-East)	11/11/17	4/30/22	22,128,683
Boost Alternative Development Intervention Through Licit Livelihoods (BADILL)	8/12/16	8/12/20	20,000,000
Community-Based Agriculture and Alternative Development-Access to International Markets (CBARD-AIM)	7/30/19	4/30/23	8,900,000
Monitoring and Impact Assessment of High-Value Agricultural Based Interventions	8/30/16	11/10/20	3,810,530
Total			\$79,207,820

Source: State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.

INFRASTRUCTURE AND ESSENTIAL SERVICES

A major goal of the U.S.-led reconstruction effort has been to construct and improve Afghanistan’s infrastructure base. Since 2002, the U.S. has built health and education facilities, roads and bridges, and electricity infrastructure.⁵⁵⁵ USAID alone has disbursed more than \$4.4 billion for infrastructure projects.⁵⁵⁶

Funding levels for infrastructure have decreased in recent years as the U.S. has shifted its reconstruction focus away from large capital projects like road construction. Although State says there are no plans to bilaterally underwrite any new major infrastructure, several high-dollar-value projects are still being implemented.⁵⁵⁷ This section focuses specifically on remaining U.S.-funded power-infrastructure projects.

U.S. Efforts to Expand Afghanistan’s Power Grid

Since 2011, a top U.S. development priority has been to expand and connect Afghanistan’s “islanded” power grids—work that has involved large-scale infrastructure projects. DOD and USAID have been working to expand Afghanistan’s power grid and to connect the country’s **Northeast Power System (NEPS)** with its southeastern counterpart, the **Southeast Power System (SEPS)**.⁵⁵⁸ USAID aims to connect the two networks with a 470-kilometer transmission line.⁵⁵⁹ Figure 3.48 on the previous page shows the current status of U.S.-funded power-infrastructure projects.

During the high-water mark of the Afghanistan intervention (2010–2012), DOD and State justified these efforts based on the U.S. government’s counterinsurgency (COIN) strategy, which sought to simultaneously contain the Taliban insurgency and address its presumed root causes. By increasing access to electricity, the reasoning went, the Afghan population’s confidence in the Afghan government would grow as the government’s ability to provide essential services and stimulate long-term economic growth improved—thereby reducing support to the Taliban.⁵⁶⁰ While the proportion of Afghans with access to grid-based electricity rose from less than 6% in

NEPS: imports electricity from Central Asia to provide power to Kabul and the communities north of Kabul.


SEPS: draws most of its power from the Kajaki Dam and from diesel generators in Kandahar City to provide power in the Helmand and Kandahar areas.

Source: DOD, Report on Progress Toward Security and Stability in Afghanistan, 11/2013, p. 107.

ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT

FIGURE 3.48

STATUS OF U.S.-FUNDED POWER-INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS, AS OF DECEMBER 2019



Note: Map is illustrative and does not depict every feature of Afghanistan's energy infrastructure.

Source: Afghan Energy Information Center, Afghanistan Energy Map, "Afghanistan Current & Future Power System up to Year 2032 (1410)," no date, <http://aEIC.af/en/ppi>, accessed 10/9/2019; USFOR-A, JENG, "Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund Program FY 11 through FY 14," 12/10/2019; USAID, OI, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019; SIGAR, Supplement to October 2018 Quarterly Report to Congress: Power Infrastructure Map, "U.S.-Funded Power-Infrastructure Projects: Current Status, as of September 2018," 10/30/2018; SIGAR, Afghanistan's Energy Sector: USAID and DOD Did Not Consistently Collect and Report Performance Data on Projects Related to Kajaki Dam, and Concerns Exist Regarding Sustainability, SIGAR 19-37-AR, 5/2019, p. 28.

2002 to over 30%, as of early 2019, according to USAID, it is unclear whether this improvement contributed to COIN outcomes.⁵⁶¹ A 2017 SIGAR audit that examined a subset of infrastructure projects funded by Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 monies found that U.S. agencies had not assessed whether the projects had achieved their COIN objectives or could be sustained by the Afghan government.⁵⁶²

Overtly, the rationale for increasing Afghans' access to electricity is less directly connected to security outcomes than it was at the peak of the U.S. intervention.⁵⁶³ According to USAID's current strategy, access to reliable and affordable power drives economic growth and results in better delivery of education and health services.⁵⁶⁴ Yet, a residual connection between power-infrastructure and security outcomes remains: all of the

development objectives under USAID's strategy are expected to contribute to Afghanistan's stability because they "address the security and development challenges that have made Afghanistan a safe haven for terrorists and violent extremist organizations and have driven the conflict with the Taliban."⁵⁶⁵ The body of research examining whether economic and social development programs can positively impact stability levels is mixed.⁵⁶⁶

Most of USAID's Remaining Power-Infrastructure Projects Face Delays

USAID currently has five ongoing power-infrastructure projects (DOD's projects are complete). Those projects include the construction of:⁵⁶⁷

- the Salang substation (99% complete, with an expected completion date of January 2, 2020), located near a strategic pass between Baghlan and Parwan Provinces
- a transmission line between Ghazni and Kandahar Provinces (17% complete, with an expected completion date of December 30, 2020)
- substations along the transmission line from Ghazni to Kandahar (completion date: July 30, 2023; USAID did not provide a completion percentage for this project)
- transmission lines and substations in SEPS (completion date: July 30, 2023; USAID did not provide a completion percentage for this project)
- a wind farm in Herat Province (completion date: December 24, 2021; SIGAR did not ask for a completion percentage, as this is a new project).

A sixth project—construction of a 10-megawatt solar power plant outside of Kandahar City in southern Afghanistan that was more than one year behind schedule—has been completed, USAID reported this quarter. Commercial operations at the plant began on October 16, 2019, USAID said.⁵⁶⁸

Four of five active projects are also delayed.⁵⁶⁹ The Salang substation and the transmission line and substations between Ghazni and Kandahar were originally supposed to be complete by the end of 2016—meaning they are more than three years behind schedule.⁵⁷⁰ USAID's work on SEPS evolved from a DOD contract that was originally supposed to be complete by November 2013—meaning it is now more than six years behind schedule.⁵⁷¹ Initiated in October 2019, USAID's newest power-infrastructure project is a 25-megawatt wind farm in Herat Province that aims to demonstrate the commercial viability of generating power from wind in northwest Afghanistan.⁵⁷²

Cumulatively, USAID has disbursed more than \$1.9 billion since 2002 to build power plants, substations, and transmission lines, and to provide technical assistance in the power sector.⁵⁷³ USAID's active power-infrastructure projects have a total estimated cost of \$811 million and are presented in Table 3.21.

TABLE 3.21

USAID ACTIVE ENERGY PROJECTS				
Project Title	Start Date	End Date	Total Estimated Cost	Cumulative Disbursements, as of 1/11/2020
Power Transmission Expansion and Connectivity (PTEC)	1/1/2013	12/31/2023	\$316,713,724	\$254,041,011
SEPS Completion and NEPS-SEPS Connector Substations	7/3/2019	7/30/2023	159,794,733	16,523,473
Contributions to the Afghanistan Infrastructure Trust Fund (AITF)	3/7/2013	3/6/2023	153,670,184	153,670,184
Engineering Support Program	7/23/2016	1/22/2020	125,000,000	71,162,587
25 MW Wind Farm in Herat Province	10/22/2019	12/24/2021	22,994,029	–
Design and Acquisition of SEPS Completion and NEPS-SEPS Connector	3/7/2018	6/27/2022	20,151,240	2,345,093
Kandahar Solar Project	2/23/2017	12/29/2019	10,000,000	10,000,000
Spare parts for Tarakhil Power Plant	8/14/2019	2/11/2020	2,136,850	1,135,788
Power Sector Governance and Management Assessment	1/12/2019	3/2/2019	567,330	567,330
Total			\$811,028,090	\$509,445,467

Source: USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/11/2020.

EDUCATION

Since the 2001 U.S.-led intervention, donors have generally highlighted Afghanistan’s progress in the education sector as a significant achievement.⁵⁷⁴ However, although more children are in school than under the Taliban regime, when girls were forbidden to attend, poor data quality makes it difficult to ascertain the extent of that success. For example, figures for the number of children and youth in school vary widely.⁵⁷⁵ Additionally, Ministry of Education (MOE) enrollment data cannot be used to determine attendance rates directly because the MOE counts students who have been absent for up to three years as enrolled, under the premise that they may return to school.⁵⁷⁶

Numerous challenges plague the education sector. Many Afghans living in rural areas reside far from schools (making it difficult for them to attend); schools are sometimes targeted, threatened, occupied, or caught in crossfire between Afghan government forces and insurgents; and many teachers are allegedly appointed on the basis of cronyism and bribery.⁵⁷⁷

As part of its current strategy in Afghanistan, USAID hopes to increase access to basic education for children, improve the quality of that education, and build management capacity at the MOE.⁵⁷⁸ The agency hypothesizes that advancing gains in education will help the Afghan government gain the confidence of its citizens.⁵⁷⁹ However, Afghanistan’s education sector has not succeeded in making such gains in recent years: attendance rates of primary-school age children did not improve between two comprehensive surveys conducted by the NSIA in 2011–2012 and 2016–2017. The

NSIA said, “This is a remarkable finding, given the continuous efforts to expand primary education facilities across the country.”⁵⁸⁰

USAID also believes that advancing gains in education will ultimately contribute to Afghanistan’s stability.⁵⁸¹ Yet, Afghan government—and by extension donor—funded education services are also provided in many Taliban-controlled areas, meaning that the insurgency, too, benefits from overall improvements to Afghanistan’s education system.⁵⁸²

USAID, which aims to improve access to and quality of education in Afghanistan, as well as build capacity at the MOE, has disbursed more than \$1.1 billion for education programs in Afghanistan, as of January 11, 2020.⁵⁸³ The agency’s active education programs have a total estimated cost of \$520 million and can be found in Table 3.22.

Activists Allege Rampant Sexual Abuse in Logar Province Schools

At least 165, and possibly more than 546, boys from six schools in Logar Province were sexually abused, according to reporting from the *New York Times* and the *Guardian*.⁵⁸⁴ The Logar Youth, Social, and Civil Institution, an Afghan civil society organization, told the publications that teachers and other authority figures, older students, and extended family members were among the abusers, adding that it had discovered more than 100 videos of the abuse on a Facebook page.⁵⁸⁵ Mohammed Musa, described as a lead social worker at the organization, said that although some of the accused

TABLE 3.22

USAID ACTIVE EDUCATION PROGRAMS				
Project Title	Start Date	End Date	Total Estimated Cost	Cumulative Disbursements, as of 1/11/2020
Afghanistan University Support and Workforce Development Program	1/1/2014	9/30/2019	\$93,158,698	\$92,454,137
Support to the American University of Afghanistan (AUAF)	8/1/2013	5/31/2020	90,681,844	74,324,123
Increasing Access to Basic Education and Gender Equality	9/17/2014	12/31/2019	77,402,457	77,402,457
Textbook Printing and Distribution II	9/15/2017	12/31/2019	75,000,000	–
Afghan Children Read (ACR) Program	4/4/2016	4/03/2021	69,547,810	41,996,195
Strengthening Education in Afghanistan (SEA II)	5/19/2014	9/30/2020	44,835,920	37,698,050
Let Girls Learn Initiative and Girls' Education Challenge Programme (GEC)	6/29/2016	6/28/2021	25,000,000	15,000,000
Capacity Building Activity at the Ministry of Education	2/1/2017	1/31/2022	23,212,618	14,722,111
Afghanistan's Global Partnership for Education	10/11/2012	9/30/2019	15,785,770	15,729,922
Financial and Business Management Activity with AUAF	7/5/2017	7/4/2020	4,384,058	3,358,726
PROMOTE Scholarships PAPA	3/4/2015	3/3/2020	1,247,522	1,247,522
Total			\$520,256,697	\$373,933,244

Source: USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/11/2020.

teachers had been detained by the police, they were subsequently released without charges.⁵⁸⁶

Some victims have suffered extensive repercussions for reporting the abuse, according to the *Times* and the *Guardian*, both of which spoke with accusers, teachers, or administrators.⁵⁸⁷ One school administrator said the Taliban had killed three boys who reported rapes, and Musa claimed that five families killed their sons after recognizing them in videos posted to social media.⁵⁸⁸ Musa added that police officers had raped several boys who had agreed to be questioned.⁵⁸⁹ A spokesman for the Logar provincial police denied this accusation, while Logar Provincial Governor Mohammad Anwar Ishaqzai said he considered Musa's findings "inaccurate."⁵⁹⁰

In the wake of the news reports on the allegations, the National Directorate of Security (NDS, Afghanistan's intelligence agency) detained Musa and another Logar activist, Ehsanullah Hamidi, and coerced the activists into confessing that their accusations were untrue.⁵⁹¹ Musa and Hamidi were subsequently released following pressure from the United States and human-rights groups—including a Twitter post in which U.S. Ambassador to Afghanistan John Bass decried the Afghan government for "Soviet-style tactics."⁵⁹² Afghan President Ashraf Ghani, who instructed the NDS to "stop the proceedings," ordered Afghanistan's Ministry of Education to compile a report on the allegations.⁵⁹³ The practice of *bacha bazi*, or "boy play," in which authority figures keep boys as sex slaves, is widespread in Afghanistan.⁵⁹⁴

HEALTH

Afghanistan appears to have made progress in key health indicators since 2001—though conflicting data points preclude a precise evaluation of the extent of improvement.⁵⁹⁵ For example, although results from a household survey showed that Afghanistan's under-5 mortality rate (the probability of dying between birth and 5 years of age) was 55 per 1,000 live births in 2015, the CIA *World Factbook* stated in 2015 that the infant mortality rate (the probability of dying between birth and 1 year of age) was 115 per 1,000 live births.⁵⁹⁶ Many health outcomes in Afghanistan remain worse than in most other countries: according to the *Factbook*, Afghanistan has the lowest life expectancy (52.1 years) in the world.⁵⁹⁷

USAID has suggested that making people healthier can produce positive effects on security outcomes—"healthy people and health[y] communities are the bedrock of a peaceful and stable nation," the agency said. But insecurity has risen even as key health indicators have ticked up, according to the World Bank.⁵⁹⁸ USAID has also asserted that, by bolstering Afghans' confidence in their government's capacity to deliver services, continuing to improve health outcomes will help achieve stability in Afghanistan.⁵⁹⁹ However, some reports indicate that the Taliban coopt Afghan government

SIGAR EVALUATION REPORT

On December 23, 2015, a bipartisan group of 93 U.S. Senators and members of the House of Representatives requested that SIGAR conduct an inquiry into the U.S. government's experience with allegations of sexual abuse of children committed by members of the Afghan security forces, and the manner in which DOD and State implemented the Leahy laws in Afghanistan. The Leahy laws prohibit the U.S. funding of units of foreign forces that commit gross violations of human rights.

SIGAR found that although DOD and State had received credible information regarding incidents of child sexual assault perpetrated by members of the Afghan security forces, the Secretary of Defense had used an exemption authority granted by statute to continue providing select training, equipment, and other assistance to some of the Afghan security-force units implicated in those incidents. Following SIGAR's report, Congress removed the exemption authority—known as the "notwithstanding clause"—from subsequent funding provisions for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, through which the U.S. provides funding for Afghan security forces.



A public health employee takes a woman's blood pressure. (USAID photo)

health services delivered in areas under their control, thereby potentially legitimizing their own authority, not the Afghan government's.⁶⁰⁰

U.S. on- and off-budget assistance to Afghanistan's health sector totaled more than \$1.3 billion as of January 11, 2020.⁶⁰¹ USAID's active health programs have a total estimated cost of \$291 million, and are listed in Table 3.23.

USAID's \$60 Million HEMAYAT Project Difficult to Assess

Some results of USAID's \$60 million Helping Mothers and Children Thrive (HEMAYAT) project appear to be encouraging, but the project's overall impact is difficult to assess, data from HEMAYAT's latest annual report show.⁶⁰² HEMAYAT was initiated to help reduce high child-mortality rates and pregnancy-related deaths for mothers in Afghanistan.⁶⁰³ The project, which concluded this quarter, sought to achieve these goals by increasing access to, and use of, family-planning and maternal, neonatal, and child health services, and by strengthening referral systems to hospitals at the provincial level.⁶⁰⁴

HEMAYAT implementer Jhpiego, an affiliate of Johns Hopkins University, reported that it had significantly exceeded its FY 2019 target for the number births attended by a skilled doctor or midwife. A total of 573,332 births were attended by a skilled health-care professional, more than 39% above the goal of 411,586. Jhpiego indicated that the higher-than-expected result may be attributable to higher utilization rates of health facilities in targeted provinces.⁶⁰⁵ HEMAYAT project records also showed a 20% reduction in the rate of postpartum hemorrhage mortality and a 59% reduction in the rate of early newborn mortality in targeted health facilities in FY 2019.⁶⁰⁶ While these results are encouraging on their face, Jhpiego noted that the changes in the fatality rates were difficult to interpret due to changes in quality of care, timely use of services by clients, and the reporting of deaths.⁶⁰⁷ The

TABLE 3.23

USAID ACTIVE HEALTH PROGRAMS				
Project Title	Start Date	End Date	Total Estimated Cost	Cumulative Disbursements, as of 1/11/2020
Initiative for Hygiene, Sanitation, and Nutrition (IHSAN)	5/11/2016	5/10/2021	\$75,503,848	\$36,916,350
Helping Mothers and Children Thrive (HEMAYAT)	1/7/2015	1/6/2020	66,292,151	58,653,113
Disease Early Warning System Plus (DEWS Plus)	7/1/2014	6/30/2022	54,288,615	28,988,615
Health Sector Resiliency (HSR)	9/28/2015	9/27/2020	27,634,654	20,805,044
Medicines, Technologies and Pharmaceuticals Services (MTaPS)	9/20/2018	9/20/2023	20,000,000	29,620
Challenge Tuberculosis	1/1/2015	9/29/2019	16,886,357	13,889,395
Enhancing Community Access & Utilization of Zinc and ORS for the Management of Childhood Diarrhea	7/21/2015	7/20/2020	13,000,000	13,000,000
Sustaining Health Outcomes through the Private Sector (SHOPS) Plus	10/11/2015	9/30/2020	12,500,000	9,596,443
Central Contraceptive Procurement (CCP)	4/20/2015	4/19/2020	2,343,773	1,350,309
Global Health Supply Chain Quality Assurance (GHSC-QA)	1/2/2015	12/31/2019	1,500,000	1,348,802
TB Data, Impact Assessment and Communications Hub (TB DIAH)	9/24/2018	9/24/2023	600,000	170,149
Global Health Supply Chain Management (GHSCM-PSM)	4/20/2015	4/19/2020	176,568	176,568
4 Children	9/15/2014	9/16/2019	20,000	20,000
Total			\$290,745,966	\$184,944,410

Source: USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/11/2020.

same data caveats applied to the discouraging 20% increase in pre-eclampsia and eclampsia fatality rates at targeted health facilities.⁶⁰⁸ Eclampsia is a pregnancy disorder characterized by the onset of high blood pressure.

Other metrics of HEMAYAT's impact, including the contraceptive prevalence rate and the percent of births receiving at least four antenatal visits during pregnancy, were not measured during the lifetime of the project, making it difficult to ascertain whether HEMAYAT made inroads in those areas.⁶⁰⁹

Number of Polio Cases Rises Significantly in 2019

The number of new polio cases reported in Afghanistan rose by 33% in 2019: 28 new polio cases were reported, compared to 21 cases in 2018.⁶¹⁰ Both figures were substantially higher than the 14 cases reported in 2017.⁶¹¹ In a sign that polio may be spreading beyond eastern and southern Afghanistan (where new cases are not uncommon), this quarter authorities registered a new polio case in Baghlan Province in the country's north. It was reportedly the first case of polio registered in Baghlan since 2011.⁶¹² Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Nigeria are the only three countries where polio remains endemic.⁶¹³

Endemic: refers to the constant presence and/or usual prevalence of a disease or infectious agent in a population within a geographic area.

Source: CDC, Principles of Epidemiology in Public Health Practice, Third Edition An Introduction to Applied Epidemiology and Biostatistics, "Lesson 1: Introduction to Epidemiology," <https://www.cdc.gov/ophss/csels/dsepd/ss1978/lesson1/section11.html>, accessed 10/16/2018.



A midwife trained through USAID's HEMAYAT tends to a newborn and her mother. (USEK photo)

Efforts to eliminate polio in Afghanistan face numerous obstacles. Large-scale population movements across Pakistan and Afghanistan's 1,500-mile border increase cross-border transmission risk, and the Pakistani Taliban have issued a fatwa targeting polio workers, complicating vaccination outreach.⁶¹⁴ Although the Afghan Taliban have reportedly voiced strong support for polio vaccinations over the past decade, they too at times disrupt vaccination efforts.⁶¹⁵ Since May 2018, the Taliban, fearing spies, has not allowed door-to-door vaccinations in areas they control, and from April to September 2019, they banned all polio-related activities, according to the United Nations. Currently, the Taliban allows vaccinations only in area health facilities.⁶¹⁶

USAID has obligated \$36.6 million for polio-related programs since 2003, of which \$32.5 million has been disbursed.⁶¹⁷

Drug Use in Afghanistan Continues to Grow

Drug use is a growing problem for Afghanistan, following a pattern of increasing opium production.⁶¹⁸ A 2015 report published by Afghanistan's Ministry of Counter Narcotics (with technical assistance from the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, or UNODC) estimated that between 1.9 million and 2.4 million adult Afghans used drugs.⁶¹⁹ The number of drug users at that time was equal to 12.6% of Afghanistan's adult population—more than double the global drug use rate of 5.2%.⁶²⁰ By comparison, in 2009, there were an estimated 940,000 drug users in Afghanistan, a figure that, 10 years ago, represented 8% of the adult population.⁶²¹

Drug use among women and children is among the highest documented worldwide, and 38.5% of rural households tested positive for some form of illicit drug.⁶²² Opium remains the predominant opioid used in Afghanistan, with nearly 70% of opioid users reporting using opium, but there is also significant use of heroin and nonmedical use of pharmaceutical opioids, according to the UNODC.⁶²³ Afghan authorities are also grappling with a growing methamphetamine problem that could one day rival the country's heroin trade.⁶²⁴ Drug use "will pull down, today or tomorrow, the entire economy," a senior program officer in the UNODC's Afghanistan office told National Public Radio this quarter.⁶²⁵

Update on State-INL's Drug-Treatment and Prevention Work

State's Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs (INL) works with international partners to build the capacity of Afghan service providers in drug prevention, treatment, and recovery.⁶²⁶ To support Afghanistan's drug-demand reduction efforts, and to build capacity in Afghan government ministries, INL funds several projects administered by the **Colombo Plan** and UNODC.⁶²⁷

In November 2018, INL provided nearly \$300,000 to the Colombo Plan to support drug treatment education for eight staff members of Kabul

The Colombo Plan: Instituted as a regional intergovernmental organization to further economic and social development, it was conceived at a conference held in Colombo, Sri Lanka (then Ceylon) in 1950 with seven founding-member countries. The organization has since expanded to include 26 member countries. INL supports the Colombo Plan's Universal Treatment Curriculum, a national level training and certification system for drug-addiction counselors aimed at improving the delivery of drug treatment services in Africa, Asia, and Latin America.

Source: Colombo Plan Secretariat website, "History," www.colombo-plan.org, accessed 7/1/2017; INL, International Narcotics Control Strategy Report, Volume I: Drug and Chemical Control, 3/2018, p. 19.

University's Addiction Studies Department.⁶²⁸ The latest project document available to SIGAR indicated that training for the staff members continued with an 11-day session in New Delhi, India.⁶²⁹

INL also sponsors a \$2 million program that provides scholarships and fellowships to female Afghan students at the Asian University for Women (AUW). The program aims to develop the capacity of the Afghan government to advance counternarcotics priorities and, simultaneously, promote gender integration.⁶³⁰ This project is not on track to meet these objectives. As of July 2019 (the latest reporting provided to SIGAR) the project had placed just four (out of a target of 25) women fellows to Afghanistan's Ministry of Counter Narcotics (MCN) and sponsored just five female students at AUW (out of a target of 25).⁶³¹ So few beneficiaries were expected in 2020 that project implementers put hiring of a career counselor on hold after conducting interviews for the position.⁶³²

Since a January 2019 Afghan presidential decree dissolved the MCN, the MCN's functions have been assumed by the Ministry of Public Health (MOPH), the Ministry of Interior (MOI), and the Ministry of Agriculture, Irrigation, and Livestock. Women leadership fellowships will continue at these ministries.⁶³³

Another INL capacity-building initiative is a four-year, \$5.4 million agreement with the Colombo Plan to bolster the capabilities of the MCN.⁶³⁴ Since 2008, INL has obligated \$35.2 million and disbursed \$27.4 million to support capacity building at the MCN.⁶³⁵ In light of the dissolution of the MCN, INL is funding an advisor position at the MOI and providing technical assistance to the MOPH in support of the transfer of MCN functions to these ministries.⁶³⁶

Overall, INL has obligated and disbursed approximately \$159.7 million to the Colombo Plan since 2008 for drug-demand-reduction and capacity-building programs in Afghanistan.⁶³⁷

4 OTHER AGENCY OVERSIGHT



OTHER AGENCY OVERSIGHT CONTENTS

Completed Oversight Activities	154
Ongoing Oversight Activities	156

Photo on previous page

An 82nd Airborne Division sergeant helps secure the landing zone for a CH-47 Chinook helicopter in southeast Afghanistan. (DOD photo)

OTHER AGENCY OVERSIGHT

SIGAR's enabling legislation requires it to keep the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense fully informed about problems relating to the administration of Afghanistan reconstruction programs, and to submit a report to Congress on SIGAR's oversight work and on the status of the U.S. reconstruction effort no later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal quarter. The statute also instructs SIGAR to include, to the extent possible, relevant matters from the end of the quarter up to the submission date of its report.

Each quarter, SIGAR requests updates from other agencies on completed and ongoing oversight activities. This section compiles these updates. Copies of completed reports are posted on the agencies' respective public websites.

The descriptions appear as submitted, with minor changes to maintain consistency with other sections of this report: acronyms and abbreviations in place of full names; standardized capitalization, punctuation, and preferred spellings; and third-person instead of first-person construction.

These agencies perform oversight activities in Afghanistan and provide results to SIGAR:

- Department of Defense Office of Inspector General (DOD OIG)
- Department of State Office of Inspector General (State OIG)
- Government Accountability Office (GAO)
- U.S. Army Audit Agency (USAAA)
- U.S. Agency for International Development Office of Inspector General (USAID OIG)

OTHER AGENCY OVERSIGHT

COMPLETED OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

Table 4.1 lists the two oversight reports related to Afghanistan reconstruction that participating agencies completed this quarter.

TABLE 4.1

RECENTLY COMPLETED OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES OF OTHER U.S. AGENCIES, AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019			
Agency	Report Number	Date Issued	Report Title
DOD OIG	DODIG-2020-026	12/13/2019	Audit of the DOD Requirements for the National Maintenance Strategy–Ground Vehicle Support Contract
GAO	GAO-20-99	11/18/2019	Afghanistan Security Forces Fund: DOD Has Processes for Identifying Training Needs and Maintaining Visibility over Contracts

Source: DOD OIG, response to SIGAR data call, 12/23/2019; State OIG, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019; GAO, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019; USAID OIG, response to SIGAR data call, 12/17/2019; USAAA, response to SIGAR data call, 11/21/2019.

U.S. Department of Defense Office of Inspector General

During this quarter, DOD OIG released one report related to Afghanistan reconstruction.

Audit of the DOD Requirements for the National Maintenance Strategy–Ground Vehicle Support Contract

DOD OIG determined the Combined Security Transition Command–Afghanistan (CSTC-A) developed requirements for the National Maintenance Strategy–Ground Vehicle Support (NMS–GVS) contract that were not measurable or achievable. As a result, CSTC-A developed requirements to maintain vehicles and train the Afghan National Defense and Security Forces (ANDSF), and the Army awarded contract support valued at \$2.2 billion since 2010 with no significant progress in ANDSF’s ability to independently perform maintenance. The \$2.2 billion in contractor support since 2010 includes the combined contract value of \$1.2 billion from the three Afghanistan–Technical Equipment Maintenance Program contracts and the contract value, as of September 2019, of \$1 billion from the NMS–GVS contract.

Based on the results of the NMS–GVS contract’s base year and first four months of option year one, ANDSF will face challenges in becoming self-sufficient unless CSTC-A develops training and mentoring requirements that measure ANDSF progression levels, establishes a reasonable work split requirement, and provides required software systems. Furthermore, if ANDSF does not become self-sufficient by August 2022 due to a lack of training success, DOD may have to continue to pay contractor support to train and perform vehicle maintenance and repairs after the contract ends.

U.S. Department of State Office of Inspector General-Middle East Regional Operations

State OIG completed no reports related to Afghanistan reconstruction this quarter.

Government Accountability Office

During this quarter, GAO released one report related to Afghanistan reconstruction.

Afghanistan Security Forces Fund: DOD Has Processes for Identifying Training Needs and Maintaining Visibility over Contracts

Combined Security Transition Command-Afghanistan (CSTC-A) has established processes to identify capability gaps within the Afghan National Defense and Security Forces (ANDSF), develop and select training needed to address those gaps, and identify associated funding requirements. CSTC-A generally includes these requirements in the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF) budget-justification book. Many of the key decisions and associated cost assumptions on how CSTC-A and Train Advise Assist Command-Air (in the case of Afghan pilot training) intend to carry out ASFF training efforts are proposed 18–24 months before the training will occur.

ASFF-funded training contracts are developed and executed under a process modeled on the U.S. government's foreign military sales program. Prior to April 2019, most ASFF-funded training requirements were filled under a single-award indefinite delivery, indefinite quantity (IDIQ) contract that supported a wide range of DOD training needs. An IDIQ contract provides for an indefinite quantity, within stated limits, of supplies or services during a fixed period. The government places orders for individual requirements. According to an Army official, that contract's broad scope and high contract-value ceiling made it a highly expedient way to contract for various types of training for the ANDSF. However, contracting officials stated that using a single-award contract limited DOD's ability to negotiate some costs. At that point, DOD began to transition to an approach using several contracts, including one with multiple providers. Given that DOD executed its first task order under these new contracts in April 2019, it is too early for GAO to comment on the efficacy of this new approach.

DOD has varying degrees of visibility over ASFF-funded contracts. DOD officials stated that they have visibility at the broadest level of the overall execution of the ASFF budget, including funding associated with Afghan National Army training. At the individual contract level, the military services' contracting commands maintain contract files, but the services' systems do not interface with one another. According to DOD officials, although DOD can obtain visibility over ASFF training contracts

OTHER AGENCY OVERSIGHT

in the aggregate, the department must work with the contracting commands at the respective military services to gather information specific to training contracts.

U.S. Army Audit Agency

The USAAA completed no audits related to Afghanistan reconstruction this quarter.

U.S. Agency for International Development Office of the Inspector General

USAID OIG completed no audits related to Afghanistan reconstruction this quarter.

ONGOING OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES

As of December 31, 2019, the participating agencies reported 16 ongoing oversight activities related to reconstruction in Afghanistan. These activities are listed in Table 4.2 and described in the following sections by agency.

TABLE 4.2

ONGOING OVERSIGHT ACTIVITIES OF OTHER U.S. AGENCIES, AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019			
Agency	Project Number	Date Initiated	Project Title
DOD OIG	D000RJ-0209.000	9/30/2019	Audit of the Coalition Partner Reimbursement of Logistics Civil Augmentation Program Services in Afghanistan
DOD OIG	D2019-DEV0PD-0192.000	8/26/2019	Evaluation of DOD Processes to Counter Radio Controlled Improvised Explosive Devices
DOD OIG	D2019-D000RJ-0179.000	7/8/2019	Audit of Management of Pharmaceutical Inventories in Support of Overseas Contingency Operations
DOD OIG	D2019-D000RJ-0175.000	6/24/2019	Audit of the Core Inventory Management System Implementation
DOD OIG	D2019-DISPA2-0051.000	2/6/2019	Evaluation of U.S. Central Command Kinetic Targeting Processes and Reporting Procedures
DOD OIG	D2019-D000RH-0082.000	1/22/2019	Audit of the Army Contracting Command-Afghanistan's Policies and Procedures for Contingency Contracting Risks
DOD OIG	D2019-DISPA5-0101.000	1/16/2019	Evaluation of DOD Counterintelligence Workforce Capability Development
DOD OIG	D2019-DISPA5-0015.000	1/7/2019	Evaluation of OFS Screening, Vetting, and Biometric Operations in Afghanistan
State OIG	20AUD044	12/9/2019	Audit of the Food Services Task Order Under the Afghanistan Life Support Services Contract
State OIG	19AUD078	9/3/2019	Audit of the Approach Used to Adjust the Size and Composition of Missions Afghanistan and Iraq
State OIG	19AUD047	6/25/2019	Audit of Global Engagement Center's Execution of its Mandate to Coordinate Federal Government Efforts to Counter Disinformation and Propaganda Designed to Undermine the United States
GAO	103066	10/29/2018	Advise and Assist Mission in Afghanistan
GAO	103076	10/1/2018	Afghanistan Reconstruction Projects—Waste, Fraud, and Abuse
USAAA	OIRO347/OFS0232	8/21/2018	Reach-Back Contracting Support and Expeditionary Contracting Material Weakness
USAID OIG	881F0119	9/30/2019	Audit of USAID/Afghanistan's Risk Management and Project Prioritization
USAID OIG	8F1C0217	5/11/2016	Follow-Up Audit of USAID's Multi-Tiered Monitoring Strategy in Afghanistan

Source: DOD OIG, response to SIGAR data call, 12/23/2019; State OIG, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019; GAO, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019; USAID OIG, response to SIGAR data call, 12/17/2019; USAAA, response to SIGAR data call, 11/21/2019.

U.S. Department of Defense Office of Inspector General

DOD OIG has eight ongoing projects this quarter that relate to reconstruction or security operations in Afghanistan.

Audit of the Coalition Partner Reimbursement of Logistics Civil Augmentation Program Services in Afghanistan

DOD OIG is determining whether DOD properly calculated, requested, and received reimbursement from Coalition partners in Afghanistan for Logistics Support, Services, and Supplies provided under the Logistics Civil Augmentation Program contract.

Evaluation of DOD Processes to Counter Radio Controlled Improvised Explosive Devices

The evaluation objectives are For Official Use Only.

Audit of the Management of Pharmaceutical Inventories in Support of Overseas Contingency Operations

DOD OIG is determining whether the military services properly stored, tracked, and safeguarded pharmaceuticals at their overseas locations supporting overseas contingency operations.

Audit of the Core Inventory Management System Implementation

DOD OIG is determining whether DOD's implementation of the Core Inventory Management System improved weapons and vehicle accountability.

Evaluation of U.S. Central Command Kinetic Targeting Processes and Reporting Procedures

DOD OIG is evaluating CENTCOM's target-development and prosecution processes, as well as post-strike collateral damage and civilian-casualty assessment activities.

Audit of the Army Contracting Command-Afghanistan's Policies and Procedures for Contingency Contracting Risks

DOD OIG is determining whether the Army Contracting Command-Afghanistan's award and administration of contracts mitigate contingency-contracting risks, such as nonperformance and improper payments specific to Afghanistan.

OTHER AGENCY OVERSIGHT

Evaluation of DOD Counterintelligence Workforce Capability Development

The objectives for this evaluation are marked For Official Use Only.

Evaluation of Operation Freedom's Sentinel Screening, Vetting, and Biometric Operations in Afghanistan

DOD OIG is determining whether U.S. Forces-Afghanistan have developed and implemented screening, vetting, and biometric processes for force protection in Afghanistan.

U.S. Department of State Office of Inspector General-Middle East Regional Operations

State OIG has three ongoing projects this quarter related to Afghanistan reconstruction.

Audit of the Food Services Task Order Under the Afghanistan Life Support Services Contract

The audit will examine the food-services task order under the Afghanistan Life Support Services contract.

Audit of the Approach Used to Adjust the Size and Composition of Missions Afghanistan and Iraq

The audit will examine the procedures used by the State Department in adjusting the size and composition of U.S. embassies in Afghanistan and Iraq.

Audit of Global Engagement Center's Execution of its Mandate to Coordinate Federal Government Efforts to Counter Disinformation and Propaganda Designed to Undermine the United States

This is an audit of the Global Engagement Center's execution of its mission to coordinate U.S. government efforts to counter disinformation and propaganda against the United States in a number of countries, including Afghanistan.

Government Accountability Office

GAO has two ongoing projects this quarter related to Afghanistan reconstruction.

Advise and Assist Mission in Afghanistan

In August 2017, the President announced a new South Asia strategy that was accompanied by an increase of U.S. and North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) troops in Afghanistan to support renewed efforts to advise and assist Afghan forces in the NATO Resolute Support Mission. As

part of the increase, the U.S. Army deployed a Security Force Assistance Brigade (SFAB), a new unit created in October 2016 to advise and assist foreign military forces, including the Afghan National Defense and Security Forces (ANDSF). Development of the ANDSF has been a central element of successive U.S. strategies in Afghanistan.

GAO will review the extent to which DOD, in conjunction with NATO, has defined advisor team missions, goals, and objectives, and the extent to which advisors were trained and equipped for their specific missions in Afghanistan. GAO will also review the ability of the Army's SFAB to meet current and future advisor requirements in Afghanistan and elsewhere; what adjustments, if any, are being made to the manning, training and equipping, and deployment of the second and third SFABs; and any other issues the Comptroller General determines appropriate with respect to the advise and assist mission in Afghanistan.

Afghanistan Reconstruction Projects—Waste, Fraud, and Abuse

The U.S. government has funded numerous reconstruction projects in Afghanistan since September 2001. Costs for U.S. military, diplomatic, and reconstruction and relief operations have exceeded \$500 billion, and GAO has issued about 90 reports focused in whole or in part on Afghanistan since that time. GAO received a request to review past work assessing reconstruction efforts in Afghanistan and identify the dollar value of any waste, fraud, or abuse uncovered during the course of those reviews.

GAO will review prior work conducted on reconstruction efforts in Afghanistan that identified waste, fraud, and abuse, and will assess the overall dollar amount of waste, fraud, and abuse uncovered through these efforts.

U.S. Army Audit Agency

This quarter, the USAAA has one ongoing report related to Afghanistan reconstruction.

Reach-Back Contracting Support and Expeditionary Contracting Material Weakness

The USAAA is currently working on preparing a draft report addressing reach-back support related to expeditionary contracting within the U.S. Army's Expedition Contracting Command (ECC).

The objectives of this audit are to determine whether the Army has an effective plan, procedures, and organizational structure in place to directly provide contracting support during contingency/expeditionary operations. No work on this audit was done in Afghanistan, but the results could have an impact because ECC provides reach-back support related to contracting in Afghanistan.

OTHER AGENCY OVERSIGHT

U.S. Agency for International Development Office of Inspector General

This quarter, USAID OIG has two ongoing reports related to Afghanistan reconstruction.

Audit of USAID/Afghanistan's Risk Management and Project Prioritization

The objectives of this audit are to determine to what extent USAID/Afghanistan has a risk-management process in place to identify and mitigate risks in the face of potential staff and program reductions that could impact its development programs; how programs recommended for reduction or elimination were determined; and what impact recommended changes would have on USAID/Afghanistan's current and future programs and related risk management.

Follow-Up Audit of USAID's Multi-Tiered Monitoring Strategy in Afghanistan

The objectives of this audit are to determine the extent to which USAID has used its multi-tiered monitoring strategy in Afghanistan to manage projects and to serve as the basis for informed decision-making. The entrance conference was held August 9, 2017.



اداره سسر مفتحش ویژه بر ای بازسازی افغانستان

اداره افغانستن د پيار غاوني لپاره د خانگري سسر مفتحش اداره

MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE AND IRRIGATION

APPENDICES AND ENDNOTES CONTENTS

Appendix A	164
Appendix B	170
Appendix C	172
Appendix D	177
Appendix E	184
Appendix F	186
Appendix G	188
Endnotes	194

The Official Seal of SIGAR

The official seal of SIGAR represents the coordination of efforts between the United States and Afghanistan to provide accountability and oversight of reconstruction activities. The phrases in Dari (top) and Pashto (bottom) on the seal are translations of SIGAR's name.

APPENDICES AND ENDNOTES



APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

CROSS-REFERENCE OF REPORT TO STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

This appendix cross-references the sections of this report to the quarterly reporting and related requirements under SIGAR’s enabling legislation, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008, Pub. L. No. 110-181, § 1229 (Table A.1), and to the semiannual reporting requirements prescribed for inspectors general more generally under the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. 3) (Table A.2) and the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2018, Pub. L. No. 115-91, §1521. (Table A.3)

TABLE A.1

CROSS-REFERENCE TO SIGAR QUARTERLY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS UNDER PUB. L. NO. 110-181, § 1229			
Public Law Section	SIGAR Enabling Language	SIGAR Action	Report Section
Purpose			
Section 1229(a)(3)	To provide for an independent and objective means of keeping the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense fully and currently informed about problems and deficiencies relating to the administration of such programs and operations and the necessity for and progress on corrective action	Ongoing; quarterly report	Full report
Supervision			
Section 1229(e)(1)	The Inspector General shall report directly to, and be under the general supervision of, the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense	Report to the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense	Full report
Duties			
Section 1229(f)(1)	OVERSIGHT OF AFGHANISTAN RECONSTRUCTION – It shall be the duty of the Inspector General to conduct, supervise, and coordinate audits and investigations of the treatment, handling, and expenditure of amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for the reconstruction of Afghanistan, and of the programs, operations, and contracts carried out utilizing such funds, including subsections (A) through (G) below	Review appropriated/ available funds Review programs, operations, contracts using appropriated/ available funds	Full report
Section 1229(f)(1)(A)	The oversight and accounting of the obligation and expenditure of such funds	Review obligations and expenditures of appropriated/ available funds	SIGAR Oversight Funding
Section 1229(f)(1)(B)	The monitoring and review of reconstruction activities funded by such funds	Review reconstruction activities funded by appropriations and donations	SIGAR Oversight
Section 1229(f)(1)(C)	The monitoring and review of contracts funded by such funds	Review contracts using appropriated and available funds	Note
Section 1229(f)(1)(D)	The monitoring and review of the transfer of such funds and associated information between and among departments, agencies, and entities of the United States, and private and nongovernmental entities	Review internal and external transfers of appropriated/ available funds	Appendix B

Continued on the next page

APPENDICES

TABLE A.1 (CONTINUED)

CROSS-REFERENCE TO SIGAR QUARTERLY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS UNDER PUB. L. NO. 110-181, § 1229			
Public Law Section	SIGAR Enabling Language	SIGAR Action	Report Section
Section 1229(f)(1)(E)	The maintenance of records on the use of such funds to facilitate future audits and investigations of the use of such fund[s]	Maintain audit records	SIGAR Oversight Appendix C Appendix D
Section 1229(f)(1)(F)	The monitoring and review of the effectiveness of United States coordination with the Governments of Afghanistan and other donor countries in the implementation of the Afghanistan Compact and the Afghanistan National Development Strategy	Monitoring and review as described	Audits
Section 1229(f)(1)(G)	The investigation of overpayments such as duplicate payments or duplicate billing and any potential unethical or illegal actions of Federal employees, contractors, or affiliated entities, and the referral of such reports, as necessary, to the Department of Justice to ensure further investigations, prosecutions, recovery of further funds, or other remedies	Conduct and reporting of investigations as described	Investigations
Section 1229(f)(2)	OTHER DUTIES RELATED TO OVERSIGHT – The Inspector General shall establish, maintain, and oversee such systems, procedures, and controls as the Inspector General considers appropriate to discharge the duties under paragraph (1)	Establish, maintain, and oversee systems, procedures, and controls	Full report
Section 1229(f)(3)	DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES UNDER INSPECTOR GENERAL ACT OF 1978 – In addition, ... the Inspector General shall also have the duties and responsibilities of inspectors general under the Inspector General Act of 1978	Duties as specified in Inspector General Act	Full report
Section 1229(f)(4)	COORDINATION OF EFFORTS – The Inspector General shall coordinate with, and receive the cooperation of, each of the following: (A) the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, (B) the Inspector General of the Department of State, and (C) the Inspector General of the United States Agency for International Development	Coordination with the inspectors general of DOD, State, and USAID	Other Agency Oversight
Federal Support and Other Resources			
Section 1229(h)(5)(A)	ASSISTANCE FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES – Upon request of the Inspector General for information or assistance from any department, agency, or other entity of the Federal Government, the head of such entity shall, insofar as is practicable and not in contravention of any existing law, furnish such information or assistance to the Inspector General, or an authorized designee	Expect support as requested	Full report
Section 1229(h)(5)(B)	REPORTING OF REFUSED ASSISTANCE – Whenever information or assistance requested by the Inspector General is, in the judgment of the Inspector General, unreasonably refused or not provided, the Inspector General shall report the circumstances to the Secretary of State or the Secretary of Defense, as appropriate, and to the appropriate congressional committees without delay	Monitor cooperation	N/A

Continued on the next page

APPENDICES

TABLE A.1 (CONTINUED)

CROSS-REFERENCE TO SIGAR QUARTERLY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS UNDER PUB. L. NO. 110-181, § 1229			
Public Law Section	SIGAR Enabling Language	SIGAR Action	Report Section
Reports			
Section 1229(i)(1)	QUARTERLY REPORTS – Not later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal-year quarter, the Inspector General shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report summarizing, for the period of that quarter and, to the extent possible, the period from the end of such quarter to the time of the submission of the report, the activities during such period of the Inspector General and the activities under programs and operations funded with amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for the reconstruction of Afghanistan. Each report shall include, for the period covered by such report, a detailed statement of all obligations, expenditures, and revenues associated with reconstruction and rehabilitation activities in Afghanistan, including the following –	Report – 30 days after the end of each calendar quarter Summarize activities of the Inspector General Detailed statement of all obligations, expenditures, and revenues	Full report Appendix B
Section 1229(i)(1)(A)	Obligations and expenditures of appropriated/donated funds	Obligations and expenditures of appropriated/donated funds	Appendix B
Section 1229(i)(1)(B)	A project-by-project and program-by-program accounting of the costs incurred to date for the reconstruction of Afghanistan, together with the estimate of the Department of Defense, the Department of State, and the United States Agency for International Development, as applicable, of the costs to complete each project and each program	Project-by-project and program-by-program accounting of costs. List unexpended funds for each project or program	Funding Note
Section 1229(i)(1)(C)	Revenues attributable to or consisting of funds provided by foreign nations or international organizations to programs and projects funded by any department or agency of the United States Government, and any obligations or expenditures of such revenues	Revenues, obligations, and expenditures of donor funds	Funding
Section 1229(i)(1)(D)	Revenues attributable to or consisting of foreign assets seized or frozen that contribute to programs and projects funded by any U.S. government department or agency, and any obligations or expenditures of such revenues	Revenues, obligations, and expenditures of funds from seized or frozen assets	Funding
Section 1229(i)(1)(E)	Operating expenses of agencies or entities receiving amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for the reconstruction of Afghanistan	Operating expenses of agencies or any organization receiving appropriated funds	Funding Appendix B
Section 1229(i)(1)(F)	In the case of any contract, grant, agreement, or other funding mechanism described in paragraph (2)*– (i) The amount of the contract or other funding mechanism; (ii) A brief discussion of the scope of the contract or other funding mechanism; (iii) A discussion of how the department or agency of the United States Government involved in the contract, grant, agreement, or other funding mechanism identified and solicited offers from potential contractors to perform the contract, grant, agreement, or other funding mechanism, together with a list of the potential individuals or entities that were issued solicitations for the offers; and (iv) The justification and approval documents on which was based the determination to use procedures other than procedures that provide for full and open competition	Describe contract details	Note

Continued on the next page

APPENDICES

TABLE A.1 (CONTINUED)

CROSS-REFERENCE TO SIGAR QUARTERLY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS UNDER PUB. L. NO. 110-181, § 1229			
Public Law Section	SIGAR Enabling Language	SIGAR Action	Report Section
Section 1229(i)(3)	PUBLIC AVAILABILITY – The Inspector General shall publish on a publicly available Internet website each report under paragraph (1) of this subsection in English and other languages that the Inspector General determines are widely used and understood in Afghanistan	Publish report as directed at www.sigar.mil Dari and Pashto translation in process	Full report
Section 1229(i)(4)	FORM – Each report required under this subsection shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex if the Inspector General considers it necessary	Publish report as directed	Full report
Section 1229(j)(1)	Inspector General shall also submit each report required under subsection (i) to the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense	Submit quarterly report	Full report

Note: Although this data is normally made available on SIGAR's website (www.sigar.mil), the data SIGAR has received is in relatively raw form and is currently being reviewed, analyzed, and organized for future SIGAR use and publication.

* Covered "contracts, grants, agreements, and funding mechanisms" are defined in paragraph (2) of Section 1229(i) of Pub. L. No. 110-181 as being—
"any major contract, grant, agreement, or other funding mechanism that is entered into by any department or agency of the United States Government that involves the use of amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for the reconstruction of Afghanistan with any public or private sector entity for any of the following purposes:
To build or rebuild physical infrastructure of Afghanistan.
To establish or reestablish a political or societal institution of Afghanistan.
To provide products or services to the people of Afghanistan."

TABLE A.2

CROSS-REFERENCE TO SEMIANNUAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS UNDER SECTION 5 OF THE IG ACT OF 1978, AS AMENDED (5 U.S.C. APP. 3) ("IG ACT")			
IG Act Section	IG Act Language	SIGAR Action	Section
Section 5(a)(1)	Description of significant problems, abuses, and deficiencies	Extract pertinent information from SWA/JPG member reports List problems, abuses, and deficiencies from SIGAR audit reports, investigations, and inspections	Other Agency Oversight SIGAR Oversight See Letters of Inquiry at www.sigar.mil
Section 5(a)(2)	Description of recommendations for corrective action...with respect to significant problems, abuses, or deficiencies	Extract pertinent information from SWA/JPG member I reports List recommendations from SIGAR audit reports	Other Agency Oversight SIGAR Oversight See Letters of Inquiry at www.sigar.mil
Section 5(a)(3)	Identification of each significant recommendation described in previous semiannual reports on which corrective action has not been completed	List all instances of incomplete corrective action from previous semiannual reports	Posted in full at www.sigar.mil
Section 5(a)(4)	A summary of matters referred to prosecutive authorities and the prosecutions and convictions which have resulted	Extract pertinent information from SWA/JPG member reports List SIGAR Investigations that have been referred	Other Agency Oversight SIGAR Oversight
Section 5(a)(5)	A summary of each report made to the [Secretary of Defense] under section 6(b)(2) (instances where information requested was refused or not provided)	Extract pertinent information from SWA/JPG member reports List instances in which information was refused SIGAR auditors, investigators, or inspectors	Other Agency Oversight SIGAR Oversight
Section 5(a)(6)	A listing, subdivided according to subject matter, of each audit report, inspection report and evaluation report issued ... showing dollar value of questioned costs and recommendations that funds be put to better use	Extract pertinent information from SWA/JPG member reports List SIGAR reports	Other Agency Oversight SIGAR Oversight

APPENDICES

TABLE A.2 (CONTINUED)

CROSS-REFERENCE TO SEMIANNUAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS UNDER SECTION 5 OF THE IG ACT OF 1978, AS AMENDED (5 U.S.C. APP. 3) (“IG ACT”)			
IG Act Section	IG Act Language	SIGAR Action	Section
Section 5(a)(7)	A summary of each particularly significant report	Extract pertinent information from SWA/JPG member reports Provide a synopsis of the significant SIGAR reports	Other Agency Oversight A full list of significant reports can be found at www.sigar.mil
Section 5(a)(8)	Statistical tables showing the total number of audit reports and the total dollar value of questioned costs	Extract pertinent information from SWA/JPG member reports Develop statistical tables showing dollar value of questioned cost from SIGAR reports	See reports of SWA/JPG members In process
Section 5(a)(9)	Statistical tables showing the total number of audit reports, inspection reports, and evaluation reports and the dollar value of recommendations that funds be put to better use by management	Extract pertinent information from SWA/JPG member reports Develop statistical tables showing dollar value of funds put to better use by management from SIGAR reports	See reports of SWA/JPG members In process
Section 5(a)(10)	A summary of each audit report, inspection report, and evaluation report issued before the commencement of the reporting period for which no management decision has been made by the end of reporting period, an explanation of the reasons such management decision has not been made, and a statement concerning the desired timetable for achieving a management decision	Extract pertinent information from SWA/JPG member reports Provide a synopsis of SIGAR audit reports in which recommendations by SIGAR are still open	See reports of SWA/JPG members Posted in full at www.sigar.mil
Section 5(a)(11)	A description and explanation of the reasons for any significant revised management decision	Extract pertinent information from SWA/JPG member reports Explain SIGAR audit reports in which significant revisions have been made to management decisions	See reports of SWA/JPG members None
Section 5(a)(12)	Information concerning any significant management decision with which the Inspector General is in disagreement	Extract pertinent information from SWA/JPG member reports Explain SIGAR audit reports in which SIGAR disagreed with management decision	See reports of SWA/JPG members No disputed decisions during the reporting period
Section 5(a)(13)	Information described under [Section 804(b)] of the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act of 1996 (instances and reasons when an agency has not met target dates established in a remediation plan)	Extract pertinent information from SWA/JPG member reports Provide information where management has not met targets from a remediation plan	See reports of SWA/JPG members No disputed decisions during the reporting period
Section 5(a)(14)(A)	An Appendix containing the results of any peer review conducted by another Office of Inspector General during the reporting period; or	None conducted during the reporting period	None
Section 5(a)(14)(B)	If no peer review was conducted within that reporting period, a statement identifying the date of the last peer review conducted by another Office of Inspector General	SIGAR has posted in full the results of, and reports from, SIGAR's last peer review by FDIC OIG for the period ending 4/29/2019 SIGAR received a rating of pass	Posted in full at www.sigar.mil

APPENDICES

TABLE A.2 (CONTINUED)

CROSS-REFERENCE TO SEMIANNUAL REPORTING REQUIREMENTS UNDER SECTION 5 OF THE IG ACT OF 1978, AS AMENDED (5 U.S.C. APP. 3) (“IG ACT”)			
IG Act Section	IG Act Language	SIGAR Action	Section
Section 5(a)(15)	A list of any outstanding recommendations from any peer review conducted by another Office of Inspector General that have not been fully implemented, including a statement describing the status of the implementation and why implementation is not complete	All peer review recommendations have been implemented	Recommendations and related materials posted in full at www.sigar.mil
Section 5(a)(16)	Any peer reviews conducted by SIGAR of another IG Office during the reporting period, including a list of any outstanding recommendations made from any previous peer review . . . that remain outstanding or have not been fully implemented	SIGAR is assisting the SBA OIG in conducting an inspection and evaluation peer review of the HUD OIG’s Office of Evaluation. A report is expected to be issued February 2020	In process

TABLE A.3

CROSS-REFERENCE TO SIGAR QUARTERLY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS UNDER PUB. L. NO. 115-91, §1521			
Public Law Section	NDAAs Language	SIGAR Action	Report Section
Section 1521(e)(1)	(1) QUALITY STANDARDS FOR IG PRODUCTS—Except as provided in paragraph (3), each product published or issued by an Inspector General relating to the oversight of programs and activities funded under the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund shall be prepared— (A) in accordance with the Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards/Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS/GAS), as issued and updated by the Government Accountability Office; or (B) if not prepared in accordance with the standards referred to in subparagraph (A), in accordance with the Quality Standards for Inspection and Evaluation issued by the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency (commonly referred to as the “CIGIE Blue Book”)	Prepare quarterly report in accordance with the Quality Standards for Inspection and Evaluation, issued by the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency (CIGIE), commonly referred to as the “CIGIE Blue Book,” for activities funded under the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund	Section 1 Reconstruction Update Funding
Section 1521(e)(2)	(2) SPECIFICATION OF QUALITY STANDARDS FOLLOWED—Each product published or issued by an Inspector General relating to the oversight of programs and activities funded under the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund shall cite within such product the quality standards followed in conducting and reporting the work concerned	Cite within the quarterly report the quality standards followed in conducting and reporting the work concerned. The required quality standards are quality control, planning, data collection and analysis, evidence, records maintenance, reporting, and follow-up	Inside front cover Appendix A

APPENDICES

APPENDIX B

U.S. FUNDS FOR AFGHANISTAN RECONSTRUCTION

Table B.1 lists funds appropriated for Afghanistan reconstruction by agency and fund per year, and Table B.2 lists funds appropriated for counternarcotics initiatives, as of December 31, 2019.

TABLE B.2

COUNTERNARCOTICS (\$ MILLIONS)	
Fund	Cumulative Appropriations Since FY 2002
ASFF	1,311.92
DICDA	3,294.45
ESF	1,447.97
DA	77.72
INCLE	2,356.86
DEA ^a	477.76
Total	\$8,966.69

Table B.2 Note: Numbers have been rounded. Counternarcotics funds cross-cut both the Security and Governance & Development spending categories; these funds are also captured in those categories in Table B.1. Figures represent cumulative amounts committed to counternarcotics initiatives in Afghanistan since 2002. Initiatives include eradication, interdiction, support to Afghanistan's Special Mission Wing (SMW), counternarcotics-related capacity building, and alternative agricultural development efforts. ESF, DA, and INCLE figures show the cumulative amounts committed for counternarcotics initiatives from those funds. SIGAR excluded ASFF funding for the SMW after FY 2013 from this analysis due to the decreasing number of counternarcotics missions conducted by the SMW.

^a DEA receives funding from State's Diplomatic & Consular Programs account in addition to DEA's direct line appropriation listed in Appendix B.

Table B.2 Source: SIGAR analysis of counternarcotics funding, 1/15/2020; State, response to SIGAR data call, 1/10/2020; DOD, response to SIGAR data call, 1/10/2020; USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 10/13/2020; DEA, response to SIGAR data call, 1/8/2020.

Note: Numbers have been rounded. DOD reprogrammed \$1 billion from FY 2011 ASFF, \$1 billion from FY 2012 ASFF, \$178 million from FY 2013 ASFF, and \$604 million from FY 2019 ASFF to fund other DOD requirements. DOD reprogrammed \$230 million into FY 2015 ASFF. ASFF data reflects the following rescissions: \$1 billion from FY 2012 in Pub. L. No. 113-6, \$764.38 million from FY 2014 in Pub. L. No. 113-235, \$400 million from FY 2015 in Pub. L. No. 114-113, \$150 million from FY 2016 in Pub. L. No. 115-31, and \$396 million from FY 2019 in Pub. L. No. 116-93. DOD transferred \$101 million from FY 2011 AIF, \$179.5 million from FY 2013 AIF, and \$55 million from FY 2014 AIF to the ESF to fund infrastructure projects implemented by USAID.

Source: DOD, responses to SIGAR data calls, 1/19/2020, 1/17/2020, 1/10/2020, 10/12/2017, 10/22/2012, 10/14/2009, and 10/1/2009; State, responses to SIGAR data calls, 1/16/2020, 1/10/2020, 1/3/2020, 10/5/2018, 1/10/2018, 10/13/2017, 10/11/2017, 5/4/2016, 10/20/2015, 4/15/2015, 4/15/2014, 6/27/2013, 10/5/2012 and 6/27/2012; Treasury, response to SIGAR data call, 7/10/2017; OMB, responses to SIGAR data calls, 4/16/2015, 7/14/2014, 7/19/2013 and 1/4/2013; USAID, responses to SIGAR data calls, 1/13/2020, 10/8/2018, 10/15/2010, 1/15/2010, and 10/9/2009; DOJ, response to SIGAR data call, 10/7/2019, 6/30/2017 and 7/7/2009; OPIC, response to SIGAR data call, 1/17/2020; USAGM, response to SIGAR data call, 12/17/2019; USDA, response to SIGAR data call, 4/2009; DFAS, "AR(M) 1002 Appropriation Status by FY Program and Subaccounts December 2019," 1/19/2020; OSD Comptroller, 16-22 PA: Omnibus 2016 Prior Approval Request, 6/30/2016; Pub. L. Nos. 116-93, 115-31, 114-113, 113-235, 113-76, 113-6, 112-74, 112-10, 111-212, 111-118.

TABLE B.1

U.S. FUNDS FOR AFGHANISTAN RECONSTRUCTION (\$ MILLIONS)				
U.S. Funding Sources	Agency	Total	FY 2002-08	
Security				
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF)	DOD	\$80,952.15	13,059.53	
Train & Equip (T&E)	DOD	440.00	440.00	
Foreign Military Financing (FMF)	State	1,059.13	1,059.13	
International Military Education and Training (IMET)	State	18.77	6.01	
Voluntary Peacekeeping (PKO)	State	69.33	69.33	
Afghanistan Freedom Support Act (AFSA)	DOD	550.00	550.00	
Drug Interdiction & Counter-Drug Activities (DICDA)	DOD	3,294.45	888.17	
Total - Security		86,383.83	16,072.18	
Governance & Development				
Commander's Emergency Response Program (CERP)	DOD	3,709.00	1,088.33	
Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund (AIF)	DOD	988.50	0.00	
Task Force for Business and Stability Operations (TFBSO)	DOD	822.85	0.00	
Economic Support Fund (ESF)	USAID	20,849.44	5,628.70	
Development Assistance (DA)	USAID	886.50	884.50	
Child Survival & Health (CSH + GHAI)	USAID	554.63	333.86	
Commodity Credit Corp (CCC)	USAID	34.95	19.57	
USAID (Other)	USAID	54.06	27.46	
Non-Proliferation, Antiterrorism, Demining & Related (NADR)	State	842.84	288.41	
International Narcotics Control & Law Enforcement (INCLE)	State	5,333.16	1,781.23	
Human Rights and Democracy Fund (HRDF)	State	12.29	2.01	
U.S. Agency for Global Media (USAGM)	USAGM	282.47	0.00	
Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC)	OPIC	320.39	198.20	
Treasury Technical Assistance (TTA)	Treasury	4.65	3.98	
Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA)	DOJ	268.35	108.56	
Total - Governance & Development		34,964.08	10,364.82	
Humanitarian				
P.L. 480 Title II	USAID	1,095.68	591.38	
International Disaster Assistance (IDA)	USAID	997.92	315.14	
Transition Initiatives (TI)	USAID	37.54	32.58	
Migration & Refugee Assistance (MRA)	State	1,425.36	453.05	
Emergency Refugee & Migration Assistance (ERMA)	State	25.20	25.00	
USDA Programs (Title I, §416(b), FFP, FFE, ET, PRTA)	USDA	288.26	270.47	
Total - Humanitarian		3,869.97	1,687.62	
Civilian Operations				
Oversight		647.13	16.80	
Other		11,109.43	1,301.93	
Total - International Affairs Operations		11,756.56	1,318.73	
Total Funding		\$136,974.44	29,443.34	

APPENDICES

	FY 2009	FY 2010	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020
	5,606.94	9,166.77	10,619.28	9,200.00	4,946.19	3,962.34	3,939.33	3,502.26	4,162.72	4,666.82	3,920.00	4,199.98
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1.40	1.76	1.56	1.18	1.42	1.50	1.05	0.86	0.80	0.80	0.43	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	230.06	392.27	379.83	472.99	255.81	238.96	0.00	138.76	135.61	118.01	10.18	33.81
	5,838.40	9,560.80	11,000.67	9,674.16	5,203.43	4,202.80	3,940.38	3,641.88	4,299.12	4,785.62	3,930.61	4,233.79
	550.67	1,000.00	400.00	400.00	200.00	30.00	10.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	10.00	5.00
	0.00	0.00	299.00	400.00	145.50	144.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	14.44	59.26	239.24	245.76	138.20	122.24	3.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	2,077.48	3,346.00	2,168.51	1,836.76	1,802.65	907.00	831.90	633.27	767.17	500.00	350.00	0.00
	0.40	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.35	0.00	0.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	58.23	92.30	69.91	0.00	0.25	0.01	0.06	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	4.22	4.22	3.09	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.95	1.52	0.00	0.00
	2.81	3.45	6.25	7.10	1.84	0.80	0.82	2.91	0.29	0.00	0.00	0.33
	59.92	70.74	69.30	65.32	52.60	43.20	43.50	37.96	37.00	36.60	38.30	0.00
	493.90	589.00	400.00	357.92	593.81	225.00	250.00	210.00	184.50	160.00	87.80	0.00
	1.18	1.29	0.60	1.98	1.63	0.10	0.99	0.76	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.00
	15.54	27.41	24.35	21.54	21.54	22.11	22.68	23.86	25.91	25.74	25.89	25.90
	6.85	60.25	40.25	3.00	0.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.85	0.00	0.00
	0.47	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	18.88	19.20	18.70	18.70	17.00	18.70	9.05	3.31	11.03	11.11	13.01	1.10
	3,304.99	5,273.41	3,739.19	3,358.44	2,975.56	1,523.17	1,173.67	917.06	1,033.61	742.82	525.01	32.34
	73.01	58.13	112.55	59.20	46.15	65.97	53.73	26.65	4.69	4.22	0.00	0.00
	27.13	29.61	66.23	56.00	21.50	28.13	24.50	39.78	93.84	119.64	152.35	24.09
	0.75	0.84	1.08	0.62	0.32	0.82	0.49	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	76.79	80.93	65.00	99.56	76.07	107.89	129.27	84.27	89.24	77.19	85.40	0.71
	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	17.79	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	195.67	169.51	244.85	215.38	144.04	202.82	207.99	150.74	187.76	201.05	237.75	24.80
	25.20	34.40	37.20	59.00	58.70	62.65	68.60	62.37	55.74	55.67	55.81	55.01
	1,052.76	1,747.00	893.50	1,407.02	1,260.44	838.45	888.60	795.20	781.75	77.52	63.95	1.31
	1,077.96	1,781.40	930.70	1,466.02	1,319.14	901.10	957.20	857.56	837.49	133.19	119.75	56.32
	10,417.02	16,785.11	15,915.42	14,714.00	9,642.17	6,829.88	6,279.23	5,567.24	6,357.98	5,862.68	4,813.11	4,347.26

APPENDICES

APPENDIX C

SIGAR WRITTEN PRODUCTS*

SIGAR Audits

Completed Alert Letters

SIGAR completed one alert letter during this reporting period.

COMPLETED SIGAR ALERT LETTERS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019

Report Identifier	Report Title	Date Issued
SIGAR 20-18-AL	U.S. Counternarcotics Strategy in Afghanistan	1/2020

Completed Performance Audit Reports

SIGAR completed three performance audit reports during this reporting period.

COMPLETED SIGAR PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019

Report Identifier	Report Title	Date Issued
SIGAR 20-06-AR	Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption	11/2019
SIGAR 20-10-AR	Emergency Food Assistance to Afghanistan: Incomplete Reporting and Limited Site Visits Hindered USAID's Oversight of Millions of Dollars of Food Assistance	11/2019
SIGAR 20-22-AR	Afghan Business Taxes: Action Has Been Taken to Address Most Tax Issues, but the Afghan Government Continues to Assess Taxes on Exempt U.S.-Funded Contracts	1/2020

New Performance Audits

SIGAR initiated two new performance audits during this reporting period.

NEW SIGAR PERFORMANCE AUDITS

Project Identifier	Project Title	Date Initiated
SIGAR 138A	DOD Enforcement of Conditionality	11/2019
SIGAR 137A	ANA Trust Fund	12/2019

Ongoing Performance Audits

SIGAR had eight ongoing performance audits during this reporting period.

ONGOING SIGAR PERFORMANCE AUDITS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019

Project Identifier	Project Title	Date Initiated
SIGAR 136A	DOD's End Use Monitoring	9/2019
SIGAR 135A	U.S. Investments in Afghan Energy	9/2019
SIGAR 134A	DOD Womens' Infrastructure Projects	9/2019

* As provided in its authorizing statute, SIGAR may also report on products and events occurring after December 31, 2019, up to the publication date of this report.

APPENDICES

ONGOING SIGAR PERFORMANCE AUDITS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019 (CONTINUED)

Project Identifier	Project Title	Date Initiated
SIGAR 133A	Building a Professional AAF and SMW	5/2019
SIGAR 132A-2	Counternarcotics/Counter Threat Finance (Full Report)	2/2019
SIGAR 132A-1	Counternarcotics/Counter Threat Finance (Letter Response to Drug Caucus)	2/2019
SIGAR 131A	American University of Afghanistan	9/2018
SIGAR 127A	ANA ScanEagle	8/2018

Completed Financial Audit Reports

SIGAR completed nine financial audit reports during this reporting period.

COMPLETED SIGAR FINANCIAL AUDITS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019

Report Identifier	Report Title	Date Issued
SIGAR 20-07-FA	USAID's Engineering Support Program in Afghanistan: Audit of Costs Incurred by Tetra Tech Inc.	11/2019
SIGAR 20-08-FA	Department of State's Programs for Supporting Livelihoods, Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene, and Protection for Afghan Returnees, Internally Displaced Persons, and Vulnerable Host Communities in Afghanistan: Audit of Costs Incurred by the International Rescue Committee Inc.	11/2019
SIGAR 20-09-FA	Department of the Army's UH-60A Enhanced Phase Maintenance Inspection Program in Afghanistan: Audit of Costs Incurred by Science and Engineering Services LLC	11/2019
SIGAR 20-11-FA	Department of the Army's Non-Standard Rotary Wing Aircraft Contractor Logistics Sustainment Afghanistan Project: Audit of Costs Incurred by Leidos Innovations Corporation	11/2019
SIGAR 20-12-FA	USAID's Initiative for Hygiene, Sanitation, and Nutrition in Afghanistan: Audit of Costs Incurred by FHI 360 Global LLC	12/2019
SIGAR 20-13-FA	Department of State's Efforts to Support Activities Related to Removing Landmines and Unexploded Weapons in Afghanistan: Audit of Costs Incurred by ITF Enhancing Human Security	12/2019
SIGAR 20-14-FA	USAID's Assistance to the Legislative Bodies of Afghanistan Project:	12/2019
SIGAR 20-16-FA	USAID's Afghan Women in the Economy Project: Audit of Costs Incurred by DAI Global LLC	1/2020
SIGAR 20-17-FA	USAID's Private Sector-Led Model of Sustainable Social and Economic Development in Badakhshan Province: Audit of Costs Incurred by the Aga Khan Foundation	1/2020

New Financial Audits

SIGAR initiated 14 new financial audits during this reporting period.

NEW SIGAR FINANCIAL AUDITS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019

Project Identifier	Project Title	Date Initiated
SIGAR-F-196	ATC	12/2019
SIGAR-F-195	IDLO	12/2019
SIGAR-F-194	AUAF	12/2019
SIGAR-F-193	IAP Worldwide Services Inc.	12/2019
SIGAR-F-192	IAP Worldwide Services Inc.	12/2019
SIGAR-F-191	Sierra Nevada Corporation	12/2019
SIGAR-F-190	International Rescue Committee	12/2019
SIGAR-F-189	Save the Children	9/2019

APPENDICES

NEW SIGAR FINANCIAL AUDITS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019 (CONTINUED)

Project Identifier	Project Title	Date Initiated
SIGAR-F-188	Associates in Rural Development	12/2019
SIGAR-F-187	Blumont Global Development Inc.	12/2019
SIGAR-F-186	Roots of Peace	12/2019
SIGAR-F-185	Counterpart International Inc.	12/2019
SIGAR-F-184	Development Alternatives Inc.	12/2019
SIGAR-F-183	Tetra Tech ARD	12/2019

Ongoing Financial Audits

SIGAR had 23 financial audits in progress during this reporting period.

ONGOING SIGAR FINANCIAL AUDITS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019

Project Identifier	Project Title	Date Initiated
SIGAR-F-182	Raytheon Technical Services Company LLC	9/2019
SIGAR-F-181	Support Systems Associates Inc.	9/2019
SIGAR-F-180	AAR Supply Chain Inc. (dba AAR Defense Systems & Logistics)	9/2019
SIGAR-F-179	Science and Engineering Services LLC	9/2019
SIGAR-F-178	Redstone Defense Systems	9/2019
SIGAR-F-177	Janus Global Operations	9/2019
SIGAR-F-176	Tigerswan Inc.	9/2019
SIGAR-F-175	University of Washington	9/2019
SIGAR-F-174	ABT Associates Inc. - SHOPS Plus	9/2019
SIGAR-F-173	Futures Group International LLC - Health Sector Resiliency (HSR)	9/2019
SIGAR-F-172	Checchi and Company Consulting Inc. (CCCI)-Assistance for the Development of Afghan Legal Access and Transparency (ADALAT)	9/2019
SIGAR-F-171	Creative Associates International-Afghan Children Read (ACR)	9/2019
SIGAR-F-170	Ideal Innovations Incorporated-Afghanistan Automated Biometric Identification System (AABIS)	8/2019
SIGAR-F-169	CH2M HILL Inc.-Cooperative Biological Engagement Program (CBEP)	8/2019
SIGAR-F-168	Alutiq Professional Training LLC-Antiterrorism Assistance Program (ATA)	8/2019
SIGAR-F-167	The Colombo Plan-Drug Demand Reduction Project	8/2019
SIGAR-F-166	Mercy Corps-Introducing New Vocational Educational Skills Training (INVEST 3)	8/2019
SIGAR-F-165	HALO Trust-Weapons Removal and Mine Clearing	8/2019
SIGAR-F-164	MDC-Demining Projects	8/2019
SIGAR-F-162	New York University-Assessment of Learning Outcomes and Social Effects in Community-Based Education	8/2019
SIGAR-F-161	KNCV Tuberculosis Foundation-Challenge Tuberculosis	8/2019
SIGAR-F-160	Chemonics International Inc.-Regional Agriculture Development Program-South (RADP-South)	8/2019
SIGAR-F-159	Da Afghanistan Breshna Sherkat (DABS)-Power Transmission Expansion and Connectivity (PTEC)	8/2019

SIGAR Inspections Completed Inspection Reports

SIGAR completed two inspection reports during this reporting period.

COMPLETED SIGAR INSPECTION REPORTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019		
Product Identifier	Report Title	Date Issued
SIGAR 20-15-IP	Afghan National Police Women's Compound at the Herat Regional Training Center: Construction Deficiencies Exist, and the \$3.1 Million Compound Has No Electricity and Has Never Been Used	12/2019
SIGAR 20-21-IP	Kajaki Dam Irrigation Tunnel: The \$27.3 Million Tunnel Is Not Operating Properly Due to Construction Deficiencies and a Maintenance Issue	1/2020

Ongoing Inspections

SIGAR had nine ongoing inspections during this reporting period.

ONGOING SIGAR INSPECTIONS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019		
Project Identifier	Project Title	Date Initiated
SIGAR-I-064	Inspection of the MOI HQ Entry Control Points, Parking, and Lighting	11/2019
SIGAR-I-063	Inspection of the ANA MOD HQ Infrastructure & Security Improvements	11/2019
SIGAR-I-062	Inspection of the NEI Kunduz Expansion Project	11/2019
SIGAR-I-061	Inspection of the Kandahar 10 MW Solar Power Plant	7/2019
SIGAR-I-060	Inspection of the Pol-I Charkhi Prison Wastewater Treatment	6/2019
SIGAR-I-059	Inspection of the Ministry of Commerce and Industries Building-Kunduz	11/2018
SIGAR-I-058	Inspection of the ANA NEI in Pul-e Khumri	10/2018
SIGAR-I-057	Inspection of the ANA TAAC Air JAF I Demo/New Structure	10/2018
SIGAR-I-056	Inspection of the Women's Compound at ANP RTC Herat	10/2018

Ongoing Evaluations

SIGAR had three ongoing evaluations during this reporting period.

ONGOING SIGAR EVALUATIONS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019		
Project Identifier	Project Title	Date Initiated
SIGAR-E-003	Capital Assets	10/2019
SIGAR-E-002	Fuel Follow-Up	10/2019
SIGAR-E-001	DOD Recommendation Follow-up	10/2019

APPENDICES

SIGAR Special Projects Completed Special Projects Reports

SIGAR completed two special projects reports and one inquiry letter during this reporting period.

COMPLETED SIGAR SPECIAL PROJECTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019

Project Identifier	Project Title	Date Issued
SIGAR 20-05-SP	Afghan National Maintenance Strategy - Ground Vehicle Support: DOD Has Taken Actions to Reduce Spare Parts Overhead Costs	10/2019
SIGAR 20-20-SP	Afghanistan's Justice Sector Case Management System: Seized or Forfeited Assets Were Not Tracked or Safeguarded and Nationwide Implementation is not Complete	1/2020
SIGAR 20-23-SP	Inquiry Letter into the Ministry of Finance's Decision to Prohibit Investigations or Monitoring of Revenue Producing Units	1/2020

SIGAR Lessons Learned Program Ongoing Lessons Learned Reports

SIGAR has four ongoing lessons-learned projects this reporting period.

ONGOING SIGAR LESSONS-LEARNED PROJECTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019

Project Identifier	Project Title	Date Initiated
SIGAR LL-14	Empowering Afghan Women and Girls	10/2019
SIGAR LL-13	Police and Corrections	9/2019
SIGAR LL-11	U.S. Support for Elections	9/2018
SIGAR LL-10	Contracting	8/2018

Other SIGAR Written Products

This reporting period, Special Inspector General for Afghanistan Reconstruction, John F. Sopko, testified before Congress once.

NEW SIGAR TESTIMONY AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019

Testimony Identifier	Testimony Title	Testimony Submitted
SIGAR 24-TY	U.S. Lessons Learned in Afghanistan	1/2020

APPENDIX D


SIGAR INVESTIGATIONS AND HOTLINE

SIGAR Investigations

This quarter, SIGAR opened nine new investigations and closed 22, bringing the total number of ongoing investigations to 145. Of the closed investigations, most were closed due to criminal conviction and unfounded allegations, as shown in Figure D.1. Of the new investigations, most were related to procurement and contract fraud, corruption/bribery, and theft, as shown in Figure D.2.

FIGURE D.1


SIGAR'S CLOSED INVESTIGATIONS, OCTOBER 1-DECEMBER 31, 2019



Source: SIGAR Investigations Directorate, 1/3/2020.

FIGURE D.2

SIGAR NEW INVESTIGATIONS, OCTOBER 1-DECEMBER 31, 2019



Source: SIGAR Investigations Directorate, 1/3/2020.


APPENDICES

SIGAR Hotline

The SIGAR Hotline (866-329-8893 in the USA, 0700107300 via cell phone in Afghanistan) received 41 complaints this quarter, as shown in Figure D.3. In addition to working on new complaints, the Investigations Directorate continued its work this quarter on complaints received prior to October 1, 2019. This quarter, the directorate processed 98 complaints, most of which are under review or were closed, as shown in Figure D.4.

FIGURE D.3


SOURCE OF SIGAR HOTLINE COMPLAINTS, OCTOBER 1–DECEMBER 31, 2019



Source: SIGAR Investigations Directorate, 1/3/2020.

FIGURE D.4

STATUS OF SIGAR HOTLINE COMPLAINTS: OCTOBER 1–DECEMBER 31, 2019



Source: SIGAR Investigations Directorate, 1/3/2020.

SIGAR SUSPENSIONS AND DEBARMENTS

Table D.1 is a comprehensive list of finalized suspensions, debarments, and special entity designations relating to SIGAR’s work in Afghanistan as of December 31, 2019. *SIGAR lists its suspensions, debarments and special entity designations for historical purposes only.* For the current status of any individual or entity listed herein as previously suspended, debarred or listed as a special entity designation, please consult the System for Award Management, www.sam.gov/SAM/.

Entries appearing in both the suspension and debarment sections are based upon their placement in suspended status following criminal indictment or determination of non-responsibility by agency suspension and debarment official. Final debarment was imposed following criminal conviction in U.S. Federal District Court and/or final determination by agency suspension and debarment official regarding term of debarment.

APPENDICES

TABLE D.1

SPECIAL ENTITY DESIGNATIONS, SUSPENSIONS, AND DEBARMENTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019		
Special Entity Designations		
Arvin Kam Construction Company	Noh-E Safi Mining Company	Saadat, Wakil
Arvin Kam Group LLC, d.b.a. "Arvin Kam Group Security," d.b.a. "Arvin Kam Group Foundation," d.b.a. "Arvin Global Logistics Services Company"	Noor Rahman Company	Triangle Technologies
Ayub, Mohammad	Noor Rahman Construction Company	Wasim, Abdul Wakil
Fruzi, Haji Khalil	Nur Rahman Group, d.b.a. "NUCCL Construction Company," d.b.a. "RUCCL Rahman Umar Construction Company," d.b.a. "Rahman Trading and General Logistics Company LLC	Zaland, Yousef
Muhammad, Haji Amir	Rahman, Nur, a.k.a. "Noor Rahman, a.k.a. "Noor Rahman Safa"	Zurmat Construction Company
Haji Dhost Mohammad Zurmat Construction Company	Rhaman, Mohammad	Zurmat Foundation
Jan, Nurullah		Zurmat General Trading
Khan, Haji Mohammad Almas		Zurmat Group of Companies, d.b.a. "Zurmat LLC"
		Zurmat Material Testing Laboratory
Suspensions		
Al-Watan Construction Company	Autry, Cleo Brian	Farouki, Abul Huda
Basirat Construction Firm	Chamberlain, William Todd	Farouki, Mazen
Naqibullah, Nadeem	Cook, Jeffrey Arthur	Maarouf, Salah
Rahman, Obaidur	Harper, Deric Tyron	ANHAM FZCO
Robinson, Franz Martin	Walls, Barry Lee, Jr.	ANHAM USA
Aaria Middle East	International Contracting and Development	Green, George E.
Aaria Middle East Company LLC	Sobh, Adeeb Nagib, a.k.a. "Ali Sobh"	Tran, Anthony Don
Aftech International	Stallion Construction and Engineering Group	Vergez, Norbert Eugene
Aftech International Pvt. Ltd.	Wazne Group Inc., d.b.a. "Wazne Wholesale"	Bunch, Donald P.
Albahar Logistics	Wazne, Ayman, a.k.a. "Ayman Ibrahim Wazne"	Kline, David A.
American Aaria Company LLC	Green, George E.	Farouki, Abul Huda
American Aaria LLC	Tran, Anthony Don	Farouki, Mazen
Sharpway Logistics	Vergez, Norbert Eugene	Maarouf, Salah
United States California Logistics Company	Bunch, Donald P.	ANHAM FZCO
Brothers, Richard S.	Kline, David A.	ANHAM USA
Rivera-Medina, Franklin Delano		
Debarments		
Farooqi, Hashmatullah	McCabe, Elton Maurice	Atal, Waheed
Hamid Lais Construction Company	Mihalcz, John	Daud, Abdullilah
Hamid Lais Group	Qasimi, Mohammed Indress	Dehati, Abdul Majid
Lodin, Rohullah Farooqi	Radhi, Mohammad Khalid	Fazli, Qais
Bennett & Fouch Associates LLC	Safi, Fazal Ahmed	Hamdard, Mohammad Yousuf
Brandon, Gary	Shin Gul Shaheen, a.k.a. "Sheen Gul Shaheen"	Kunari, Haji Pir Mohammad
K5 Global	Espinoza-Loor, Pedro Alfredo	Mushfiq, Muhammad Jaffar
Ahmad, Noor	Campbell, Neil Patrick*	Mutallib, Abdul
Noor Ahmad Yousufzai Construction Company	Navarro, Wesley	Nasrat, Sami
Ayeni, Sheryl Adenike	Hazrati, Arash	National General Construction Company
Cannon, Justin	Midfield International	Passerly, Ahmaad Saleem
Constantino, April Anne	Moore, Robert G.	Rabi, Fazal
Constantino, Dee	Noori, Noor Alam, a.k.a. "Noor Alam"	Rahman, Atta
Constantino, Ramil Palmes	Northern Reconstruction Organization	Rahman, Fazal
Crilly, Braam	Shamal Pamir Building and Road Construction Company	Roshandil, Mohammad Ajmal
Drotleff, Christopher	Wade, Desi D.	Saber, Mohammed
Fil-Tech Engineering and Construction Company	Blue Planet Logistics Services	Safi, Azizur Rahman
Handa, Sdilharth	Mahmodi, Padres	Safi, Matiullah
Jabak, Imad	Mahmodi, Shikab	Sahak, Sher Khan
Jamally, Rohullah	Saber, Mohammed	Shaheed, Murad
Khalid, Mohammad	Watson, Brian Erik	Shirzad, Daulet Khan
Khan, Daro	Abbasi, Shahpoor	Uddin, Mehrib
Mariano, April Anne Perez	Amiri, Waheedullah	Watson, Brian Erik

* Indicate that the individual or entity was subject to two final agency actions by an agency suspension and debarment official, resulting in a suspension followed by final debarment following the resolution of a criminal indictment or determination of non-responsibility by agency suspension and debarment official.

APPENDICES

TABLE D.1 (CONTINUED)

SPECIAL ENTITY DESIGNATIONS, SUSPENSIONS, AND DEBARMENTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019 (CONTINUED)

Debarments (continued)

Wooten, Philip Steven*	Safiullah, a.k.a. "Mr. Safiullah"	Hightower, Jonathan
Espinoza, Mauricio*	Sarfarez, a.k.a. "Mr. Sarfarez"	Khan, Noor Zali, a.k.a. "Wali Kahn Noor"
Alam, Ahmed Farzad*	Wazir, Khan	Saheed, a.k.a. "Mr. Saheed," a.k.a. "Sahil," a.k.a. "Ghazi-Rahman"
Greenlight General Trading*	Akbar, Ali	Weaver, Christopher
Aaria Middle East Company LLC*	Crystal Construction Company, d.b.a. "Samitullah Road Construction Company"	Al Kaheel Oasis Services
Aaria Middle East Company Ltd. - Herat*	Samitullah (Individual uses only one name)	Al Kaheel Technical Service
Aaria M.E. General Trading LLC*	Ashna, Mohammad Ibrahim, a.k.a. "Ibrahim"	CLC Construction Company
Aaria Middle East*	Gurvinder, Singh	CLC Consulting LLC
Barakzai, Nangialai*	Jahan, Shah	Complete Manpower Solutions
Formid Supply and Services*	Shahim, Zakirullah a.k.a. "Zakrullah Shahim", a.k.a. "Zikrullah Shahim"	Mohammed, Masiuddin, a.k.a. "Masi Mohammed"
Aaria Supply Services and Consultancy*	Alyas, Maiwand Ansunullah a.k.a. "Engineer Maiwand Alyas"	Rhoden, Bradley L., a.k.a. "Brad L. Rhoden"
Kabul Hackle Logistics Company*	BMCSC	Rhoden, Lorraine Serena
Yusef, Najeebullah*	Maiwand Haqmal Construction and Supply Company	Royal Super Jet General Trading LLC
Aaria Group*	New Riders Construction Company, d.b.a. "Riders Construction Company," d.b.a. "New Riders Construction and Services Company"	Super Jet Construction Company
Aaria Group Construction Company*	Riders Constructions, Services, Logistics and Transportation Company	Super Jet Fuel Services
Aaria Supplies Company LTD*	Riders Group of Companies	Super Jet Group
Rahimi, Mohammad Edris*	Domineck, Lavette Kaye*	Super Jet Tours LLC, d.b.a. "Super Jet Travel and Holidays LLC"
All Points International Distributors Inc.*	Markwith, James*	Super Solutions LLC
Hercules Global Logistics*	Martinez, Rene	Abdullah, Bilal
Schroeder, Robert*	Maroof, Abdul	Farmer, Robert Scott
Helmand Twinkle Construction Company	Qara, Yousef	Mudiyanselage, Oliver
Waziri, Heward Omar	Royal Palace Construction Company	Kelly, Albert III
Zadran, Mohammad	Bradshaw, Christopher Chase	Ethridge, James
Afghan Mercury Construction Company, d.b.a. "Afghan Mercury Construction & Logistics Co."	Zuhra Productions	Fernridge Strategic Partners
Mirzali Naseeb Construction Company	Zuhra, Niazai	AISC LLC*
Montes, Diyana	Boulware, Candice a.k.a. "Candice Joy Dawkins"	American International Security Corporation*
Naseeb, Mirzali	Dawkins, John	David A. Young Construction & Renovation Inc.*
Martino, Roberto F.	Mesopotamia Group LLC	Force Direct Solutions LLC*
Logjotatos, Peter R.	Nordloh, Geoffrey	Harris, Christopher*
Glass, Calvin	Kieffer, Jerry	Hernando County Holdings LLC*
Singleton, Jacy P.	Johnson, Angela	Hide-A-Wreck LLC*
Robinson, Franz Martin	CNH Development Company LLC	Panthers LLC*
Smith, Nancy	Johnson, Keith	Paper Mill Village Inc.*
Sultani, Abdul Anas a.k.a. "Abdul Anas"	Military Logistic Support LLC	Shroud Line LLC*
Faqiri, Shir	Eisner, John	Spada, Carol*
Hosmat, Haji	Taurus Holdings LLC	Welventure LLC*
Jim Black Construction Company	Brophy, Kenneth Michael*	World Wide Trainers LLC*
Arya Ariana Aryayee Logistics, d.b.a. "AAA Logistics," d.b.a. "Somo Logistics"	Abdul Haq Foundation	Young, David Andrew*
Garst, Donald	Adajar, Adonis	Woodruff and Company
Mukhtar, Abdul a.k.a. "Abdul Kubar"	Calhoun, Josh W.	Borcata, Raul A.*
Noori Mahgir Construction Company	Clark Logistic Services Company, d.b.a. "Clark Construction Company"	Close, Jarred Lee*
Noori, Sherin Agha	Farkas, Janos	Logistical Operations Worldwide*
Long, Tonya*	Flordeliz, Alex F.	Taylor, Zachery Dustin*
Isranuddin, Burhanuddin	Knight, Michael T. II	Travis, James Edward*
Matun, Navidullah, a.k.a. "Javid Ahmad"	Lozado, Gary	Khairfullah, Gul Agha
Matun, Wahidullah	Mijares, Armando N. Jr.	Khaili Rahimi Construction Company
Navid Basir Construction Company	Mullakhiel, Wadir Abdullahmatin	Momand, Jahanzeb, a.k.a. "Engineer Jahanzeb Momand"
Navid Basir JV Gaggar Baba Construction Company	Rainbow Construction Company	Yar-Mohammad, Hazrat Nabi
NBCC & GBCC JV	Sardar, Hassan, a.k.a. "Hassan Sardar Inqilab"	Walizada, Abdul Masoud, a.k.a. "Masood Walizada"
Noori, Navid	Shah, Mohammad Nadir, a.k.a. "Nader Shah"	Alzai, Zarghona
Asmatullah, Mahmood, a.k.a. "Mahmood"	Tito, Regor	Aman, Abdul
Khan, Gul	Brown, Charles Phillip	Anwari, Laila
Khan, Solomon Sherdad, a.k.a. "Solomon"	Sheren, Fasela, a.k.a. "Sheren Fasela"	Anwari, Mezhgan
Mursalin, Ikramullah, a.k.a. "Ikramullah"	Anderson, Jesse Montel	Anwari, Rafi
Musafer, Naseem, a.k.a. "Naseem"	Charboneau, Stephanie, a.k.a. "Stephanie Shankel"	Arghandiwal, Zahra, a.k.a. "Sarah Arghandiwal"
Ali, Esrar		Azizi, Farwad, a.k.a. "Farwad Mohammad Azizi"
Gul, Ghanzi		Bashizada, Razia
Luqman Engineering Construction Company, d.b.a. "Luqman Engineering"		Coates, Kenneth
		Gibani, Marika
		Haidari, Mahboob

APPENDICES

TABLE D.1 (CONTINUED)

SPECIAL ENTITY DESIGNATIONS, SUSPENSIONS, AND DEBARMENTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019 (CONTINUED)

Debarments (continued)		
Latifi, Abdul	Intermaax, FZE	Wardak, Khalid
McCammon, Christina	Intermaax Inc.	Rahmat Siddiqi Transportation Company
Mohibzada, Ahmadullah, a.k.a. "Ahmadullah Mohebzada"	Karkar, Shah Wali	Siddiqi, Rahmat
Neghat, Mustafa	Sandman Security Services	Siddiqi, Sayed Attallah
Qurashi, Abdul	Siddiqi, Atta	Umbrella Insurance Limited Company
Raouf, Ashmatullah	Specialty Bunkering	Taylor, Michael
Shah, David	Spidle, Chris Calvin	Gardazi, Syed
Touba, Kajim	Vulcan Amps Inc.	Smarasinghage, Sagara
Zahir, Khalid	Worldwide Cargomasters	Security Assistance Group LLC
Aryubi, Mohammad Raza Samim	Aziz, Haji Abdul, a.k.a. "Abdul Aziz Shah Jan," a.k.a. "Aziz"	Edmondson, Jeffrey B.*
Atlas Sahil Construction Company	Castillo, Alfredo, Jr.	Montague, Geoffrey K.*
Bab Al Jazeera LLC	Abbasi, Asim	Ciampa, Christopher*
Emar-E-Sarey Construction Company	Muturi, Samuel	Lugo, Emanuel*
Muhammad, Pianda	Mwakio, Shannel	Bailly, Louis Matthew*
Sambros International, d.b.a. "Sambros International LTD," d.b.a. "Sambros-UK JV"	Ahmad, Jaweed	Kumar, Krishan
Sambros JV Emar-E-Sarey Construction Company, d.b.a. "Sambros JV ESCC"	Ahmad, Masood	Marshal Afghan American Construction Company
Antes, Bradley A.	A & J Total Landscapes	Marshal, Sayed Abbas Shah
Lakeshore Engineering & Construction Afghanistan Inc., d.b.a. "Lakeshore General Contractors Inc."	Aryana Green Light Support Services	Masraq Engineering and Construction Company
Lakeshore Engineering Services Inc.	Mohammad, Sardar, a.k.a. "Sardar Mohammad Barakzai"	Miakhil, Azizullah
Lakeshore Engineering Services/Toltest JV LLC	Pittman, James C., a.k.a. "Carl Pittman"	Raj, Janak
Lakeshore Toltest - Rentenbach JV LLC	Poaipuni, Clayton	Singh, Roop
Lakeshore Toltest Corporation, d.b.a. "Lakeshore Group," d.b.a. "LTC Newco d.b.a. "LTC CORP Michigan," d.b.a. "Lakeshore Toltest KK"	Wiley, Patrick	Stratton, William G
Lakeshore Toltest Guam LLC	Crystal Island Construction Company	Umeer Star Construction Company
Lakeshore Toltest JV LLC	Bertolini, Robert L.*	Zahir, Mohammad Ayub
Lakeshore Toltest RRCC JV LLC	Kahn, Haroon Shams, a.k.a. "Haroon Shams"*	Peace Thru Business*
Lakeshore/Walsh JV LLC	Shams Constructions Limited*	Pudenz, Adam Jeff Julias*
LakeshoreToltest METAG JV LLC	Shams General Services and Logistics Unlimited*	Green, Robert Warren*
LTC & Metawater JV LLC	Shams Group International, d.b.a. "Shams Group International FZE"*	Mayberry, Teresa*
LTC Holdings Inc.	Shams London Academy*	Addas, James*
LTC Italia SRL	Shams Production*	Advanced Ability for U-PVC*
LTC Tower General Contractors LLC	Shams Welfare Foundation*	Al Bait Al Amer*
LTCORP Commercial LLC	Swim, Alexander*	Al Iraq Al Waed*
LTCORP E&C Inc.	Norris, James Edward	Al Quraishi Bureau*
LTCORP Government Services-OH Inc.	Afghan Columbia Constructon Company	Al Zakoura Company*
LTCORP Government Services Inc.	Ahmadi, Mohammad Omid	Al-Amir Group LLC*
LTCORP Government Services-MI Inc.	Dashti, Jamsheed	Al-Noor Contracting Company*
LTCORP O&G LLC	Hamdard, Eraj	Al-Noor Industrial Technologies Company*
LTCORP Renewables LLC	Hamidi, Mahrokh	California for Project Company*
LTCORP Inc.	Raising Wall Construction Company	Civilian Technologies Limited Company*
LTCORP/Kaya Djibouti LLC	Artemis Global Inc., d.b.a. "Artemis Global Logistics and Solutions," d.b.a. "Artemis Global Trucking LLC"	Industrial Techniques Engineering Electromechanically Company*
LTCORP/Kaya East Africa LLC	O'Brien, James Michael, a.k.a. "James Michael Wienert"	Pena, Ramiro*
LTCORP/Kaya Romania LLC	Tamerlane Global Services Inc., d.b.a. "Tamerlane Global LLC," d.b.a. "Tamerlane LLC," d.b.a. "Tamerlane Technologies LLC"	Pulsars Company*
LTCORP/Kaya Rwanda LLC	Sherzai, Akbar Ahmed*	San Francisco for Housing Company
LTCORP Technology LLC	Jean-Noel, Dimitry	Sura Al Mustakbal*
Toltest Inc., d.b.a. "Wolverine Testing and Engineering," d.b.a. "Toledo Testing Laboratory," d.b.a. "LTC," d.b.a. "LTC Corp," d.b.a. "LTC Corp Ohio," d.b.a. "LTC Ohio"	Hampton, Seneca Darnell*	Top Techno Concrete Batch*
Toltest/Desbuild Germany JV LLC	Dennis, Jimmy W.	
Veterans Construction/Lakeshore JV LLC	Timor, Karim	
Afghan Royal First Logistics, d.b.a. "Afghan Royal"		
American Barriers		
Arakozia Afghan Advertising		
Dubai Armored Cars		
Enayatullah, son of Hafizullah		
Farhas, Ahmad		
Inland Holdings Inc.		

APPENDICES

TABLE D.1 (CONTINUED)

SPECIAL ENTITY DESIGNATIONS, SUSPENSIONS, AND DEBARMENTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019 (CONTINUED)		
Debarments (continued)		
Albright, Timothy H.*	Khalil, Son of Mohammad Ajan	Wali Eshaq Zada Logistics Company; d.b.a. "Wali Ashqa Zada Logistics Company"; d.b.a. "Nasert Nawazi Transportation Company"
Insurance Group of Afghanistan	Khan, Mirullah	Ware, Marvin*
Ratib, Ahmad, a.k.a. "Nazari"	Khan, Mukamal	Belgin, Andrew
Jamil, Omar K.	Khoshal, Son of Sayed Hasan	Afghan Bamdad Construction Company, d.b.a. "Afghan Bamdad Development Construction Company"
Rawat, Ashita	Malang, Son of Qand	Areeb of East Company for Trade & Farzam Construction Company JV
Qadery, Abdul Khalil	Masom, Son of Asad Gul	Areeb of East for Engineering and General Trading Company Limited, d.b.a. "Areeb of East LLC"
Casellas, Luis Ramon*	Mateen, Abdul	Areeb-BDCC JV
Saber, Mohammad a.k.a. "Saber"; a.k.a. "Sabir"	Mohammad, Asghar	Areebel Engineering and Logisitics - Farzam
Zahir, Shafiullah Mohammad a.k.a. "Shafiullah," a.k.a. "Shafie"	Mohammad, Baqi	Areebel Engineering and Logistics
Achiever's International Ministries Inc., d.b.a. "Center for Achievement and Development LLC"	Mohammad, Khial	Areeb-Rixon Construction Company LLC, d.b.a. "Areeb-REC JV"
Bickersteth, Diana	Mohammad, Sayed	Carver, Elizabeth N.
Bonview Consulting Group Inc.	Mujahid, Son of Abdul Qadir	Carver, Paul W.
Fagbenro, Oyetayo Ayoola, a.k.a. "Tayo Ayoola Fagbenro"	Nangiali, Son of Alem Jan	RAB JV
Global Vision Consulting LLC	Nawid, Son of Mashoq	Ullah, Izat; a.k.a. "Ezatullah"; a.k.a. "Izatullah, son of Shamsudeen"
HUDA Development Organization	Noorullah, Son of Noor Mohammad	Saboor, Baryalai Abdul; a.k.a. "Barry Gafuri"
Strategic Impact Consulting, d.b.a. "Strategic Impact KarKon Afghanistan Material Testing Laboratory"	Qayoum, Abdul	Stratex Logistic and Support, d.b.a. "Stratex Logistics"
Davies, Simon	Roz, Gul	Jahanzeb, Mohammad Nasir
Gannon, Robert, W.	Shafiq, Mohammad	Nasrat, Zaulhaq, a.k.a. "Zia Nasrat"
Gillam, Robert	Shah, Ahmad	Blevins, Kenneth Preston*
Mondial Defence Systems Ltd.	Shah, Mohammad	Banks, Michael*
Mondial Defense Systems USA LLC	Shah, Rahim	Afghan Armor Vehicle Rental Company
Mondial Logistics	Sharif, Mohammad	Hamdard, Javid
Khan, Adam	Waheedullah, Son of Sardar Mohammad	McAlpine, Nebraska
Khan, Amir, a.k.a. "Amir Khan Sahel"	Wahid, Abdul	Meli Afghanistan Group
Sharq Afghan Logistics Company, d.b.a. "East Afghan Logistics Company"	Wais, Gul	Badgett, Michael J.*
Hafizullah, Sayed; a.k.a. "Sadat Sayed Hafizullah"; a.k.a. "Sayed Hafizullah Delsooz"	Wali, Khair	Miller, Mark E.
Sadat Zohori Construction and Road Building Company; d.b.a. "Sadat Zohori Cons Co."	Wali, Sayed	Anderson, William Paul
Abdullah, Son of Lal Gul	Wali, Taj	Kazemi, Sayed Mustafa, a.k.a. "Said Mustafa Kazemi"
Ahmad, Aziz	Yaseen, Mohammad	Al Mostahan Construction Company
Ahmad, Zubir	Yaseen, Son of Mohammad Aajan	Nazary, Nasir Ahmad
Aimal, Son of Masom	Zakir, Mohammad	Nazanin, a.k.a. "Ms. Nazanin"
Ajmal, Son of Mohammad Anwar	Zamir, Son of Kabir	Ahmadzai, Sajid
Fareed, Son of Shir	Rogers, Sean	Sajid, Amin Gul
Fayaz Afghan Logistics Services	Slade, Justin	Elham, Yaser, a.k.a. "Najibullah Saadullah"*
Fayaz, Afghan, a.k.a. "Fayaz Alimi," a.k.a. "Fayaz, Son of Mohammad"	Morgan, Sheldon J.*	Everest Faizy Logistics Services*
Gul, Khuja	Dixon, Regionald	Faizy Elham Brothers Ltd.*
Habibullah, Son of Ainuddin	Emmons, Larry	Faizy, Rohullah*
Hamidullah, Son of Abdul Rashid	Epps, Willis*	Hekmat Shadman General Trading LLC*
Haq, Fazal	Etihad Hamidi Group; d.b.a. "Etihad Hamidi Trading, Transportation, Logistics and Construction Company"	Hekmat Shadman Ltd., d.b.a. "Hikmat Shadman Ltd."*
Jahangir, Son of Abdul Qadir	Etihad Hamidi Logistics Company; d.b.a. "Etihad Hamidi Transportation, Logistic Company Corporation"	Hikmat Shadman Construction and Supply Company*
Kaka, Son of Ismail	Hamidi, Abdul Basit; a.k.a. Basit Hamidi	
	Kakar, Rohani; a.k.a. "Daro Khan Rohani"	
	Mohammad, Abdullah Nazar	
	Nasir, Mohammad	

APPENDICES

TABLE D.1 (CONTINUED)

SPECIAL ENTITY DESIGNATIONS, SUSPENSIONS, AND DEBARMENTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2019 (CONTINUED)

Debarments (continued)

Hikmat Himmat Logistics Services Company*
Hikmat Shadman Logistics Services Company, d.b.a. "Hikmat Shadman Commerce Construction and Supply Company," d.b.a. "Hikmat Shadman Commerce Construction Services"*
Saif Hikmat Construction Logistic Services and Supply Co.*
Shadman, Hikmatullah, a.k.a. "Hikmat Shadman," a.k.a. "Haji Hikmatullah Shadman," a.k.a. "Hikmatullah Saadulah"*
Omonobi-Newton, Henry
Hele, Paul
Highland Al Hujaz Co. Ltd.
Supreme Ideas - Highland Al Hujaz Ltd. Joint Venture, d.b.a. SI-HLH-JV
BYA International Inc. d.b.a. BYA Inc.
Harper, Deric Tyrone*
Walls, Barry Lee, Jr.*
Cook, Jeffrey Arthur*
McCray, Christopher
Jones, Antonio

APPENDICES

APPENDIX E

SIGAR DATA CALL QUESTIONS THAT RECEIVED CLASSIFIED OR UNCLASSIFIED BUT NOT PUBLICLY RELEASABLE RESPONSES

Every quarter, SIGAR sends U.S. implementing agencies in Afghanistan a list of questions about their programs. This quarter, United States Forces-Afghanistan (USFOR-A) classified, or designated unclassified, but not publicly releasable, its responses to the bolded portions of seven questions from SIGAR's data call (below). As authorized by its enabling statute, SIGAR will publish a classified annex containing the classified and publicly unreleasable data.

SECURITY	
Question ID	Question
Jan-Sec-01	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please provide the following classified information on ANA strength as of the latest available date (month-end): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> the most recent ANA APPS month-end report with "as of" dates on each. monthly attrition rates for the last three months for the ANA by Corps, Division, SOF, and AAF with "as of" dates provided. an estimate, if known, on when attrition will begin to be calculated from APPS data. Please provide the following unclassified information on ANA strength as of the latest available date (month-end): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> the topline strength of the ANA (with "as of" date provided). a description of general ANA attrition trends over the last quarter.
Jan-Sec-04	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> On the ANDSF's performance: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please provide a recent assessment of the ANDSF elements below the ministerial level. The assessment can be general or anecdotal, but please cover key performance areas such as reporting, training, planning, operational readiness, and leadership. Please provide the latest, classified "RS ANDSF Operational Overview" PowerPoint slides (given to us via SIPR last quarter). Please provide as status update for the ANDSF Top 10 Challenges/Opportunities Assessment.
Jan-Sec-08	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please provide the following classified information on ANP strength as of the latest available date (month-end): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> the most recent ANP APPS month-end report with "as of" dates on each. monthly attrition rates for the last three months for the entire ANP and by ANP component with "as of" dates provided. an estimate, if known, on when attrition will begin to be calculated from APPS data. Please provide the following unclassified information on ANA strength as of the latest available date (month-end): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> the topline strength of the ANP (with "as of" date provided). a description of general ANP attrition trends over the last quarter.
Jan-Sec-23	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Please provide information on insider attacks against Coalition Forces from September 1 through the latest available date (month end): <ol style="list-style-type: none"> the number of insider attacks against U.S. and Coalition military personnel the number of U.S. and Coalition military personnel wounded or killed from insider attacks the number of insider attacks against ANDSF the number of ANDSF personnel wounded or killed as a result of insider attacks Please provide the classified CIDNE Excel file export of all ANDSF casualties from September 1, 2019 through the latest available date (month end). It is not necessary to filter the CIDNE export, but, at a minimum, these data should include the unit (lowest level available), location (highest fidelity possible), and date for all casualties. Per AAG's response to DOD OIG's 19.2 OPS-General-85 question in April 2019, please provide us a response to the following: "In an unclassified, publicly releasable format, describe how ANDSF casualty rates during the quarter compare to casualty rates during the same quarter one year ago. Differentiate between casualties that occurred during offensive operations and those that occurred during defensive operations."

Continued on the next page

APPENDICES

Jan-Sec-26	<p>1. Regarding USG support to the Special Mission Wing (SMW):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Please provide a recent, comprehensive update of the SMW as of the latest possible date.b. Please identify each type of aircraft in the SMW inventory and the number of each. If aircraft became usable during this reporting period, please indicate when and the reason for each.c. Please provide the number of aircraft purchased but not yet fielded and what the anticipated dates are for fielding.d. Please complete the attached ANDSF spreadsheet/SMW tab, or provide the applicable data. (Sec-26 tab Data Call Attachment Spreadsheet)e. What percentage of the SMW sorties are in support of counternarcotics? Of counterterrorism? Or counterterrorism (CN & CT)?f. How many aircrew members does the SMW currently have, by crew position and airframe? Please break out their level of mission qualification (e.g. Certified Mission Ready (night-vision qualified), the daytime equivalent, etc.): <ul style="list-style-type: none">1) Mi-17 Pilots and Pilot Trainers2) Mi-17 Flight Engineers3) Mi-17 Crew Chiefs4) PC-12 Pilots5) PC-12 Mission System Operators <ul style="list-style-type: none">g. Please provide an update on the operational readiness rate of the SMW and its achievement benchmarks this quarter, if one is available.h. How many and what type of aircraft maintainers are currently assigned / authorized? Are these SMW personnel or contractors? If contractors, are they Afghan or international contractors?i. Provide the cost of aircraft maintenance being paid with ASFF or money from other countries.
Jan-Sec-61	<p>1. Provide a spreadsheet documenting all concluded ANDSF CONOPs for offensive operations conducted from September 1, 2019, through the latest available date (month-end date). Each concluded operation should be its own row. For our purposes, an operation involves (1) at least one ANA kandak or (2) a combination of units from at least two Afghan security entities (MOI, MOD, and/or NDS). For each operation, we request the following information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. the district in which the operation primarily occurred (District name)b. the province in which the operation primarily occurred (Province name)c. the start date of the operation (YYYY-MM-DD)d. the end date of the operation (YYYY-MM-DD)e. whether AAF A-29s or AC-208 provided direct support during the operation (Yes/No)f. whether AAF MD-530s, UH-60, or Mi-17 provided direct support during the operation (Yes/No)g. whether ANASOC MSFVs provided direct support during the operation (Yes/No)h. whether the operation involved ANA units (Yes/No)i. whether the operation involved MOI units (Yes/No)j. whether the operation involved NDS units (Yes/No)k. whether the operation involved ANASOC units (Yes/No)l. whether the operation was enabled by U.S. or Coalition air support (Yes/No)m. whether the operation was enabled by U.S. or Coalition ground support (Yes/No)n. whether any U.S. or Coalition military aircraft provided medical evacuation support (Yes/No)
Jan-Sec-70	<p>1. Please provide the following information about the ASSF, as published in the unclassified 1225 reports:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. The number of ground operations ASSF conducted monthly from October 1, 2019, (data start date from last quarter's vetting response), to the latest available date (month end).b. For the operations listed in subquestion a, the breakdown of the monthly ASSF operations that SOJTF-A components advised, provided Coalition enablers, and those which the ASSF executed independently.c. A narrative assessment providing an update on ANASOC, GCPSU, and SMW misuse by MOD and MOI.d. Please provide the amount of fines CSTC-A enforced and waived against MOD and MOI for ASSF misuse from the date of last quarter's response, to the latest available date (month end).e. Please provide the current Concepts of Employment for ASSF forces (SMW, ANASOC, and GCPSU).f. A breakdown of this quarter's (October 1 through the latest available date) total ASSF operations by province.

APPENDIX F

METHODOLOGICAL NOTES

Low Election Turnout Across the Board

The 2019 preliminary presidential election data was compiled by Colin Cookman, a program officer with the United States Institute of Peace. He consulted a number of Independent Election Commission of Afghanistan (IEC) data sources to construct a polling-station/candidate-level dataset showing preliminary results and polling-station-level voter registration numbers.¹

Consistent with other SIGAR district-level analysis, SIGAR utilized the 407 province/district list and map layer used by Resolute Support. To have compatible province/district units of analysis, the 372 Cookman/IEC province/district combinations were linked to the 407 Resolute Support province/district combinations. In some cases, province/districts reflected in the Cookman/IEC dataset were not used in subsequent data analysis, as there was no match with the 407 Resolute Support province/district combinations. Because of this, 24,196 votes (representing 1.33% of total recorded votes) were lost to subsequent analysis.² SIGAR assesses the impact as minimal.

For those districts in the Resolute Support province/district list that do not appear in the Cookman/IEC province/district list, SIGAR assumed that no polling centers opened in the district. For subsequent turnout analysis, SIGAR assigned the voter turnout and registration a value of “0.”

The UN Food and Agricultural Organization’s (FAO) 2010 *Land Cover Database of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan* was used to determine the total district-level urban and irrigated agricultural area. To produce the amount of district urbanization, SIGAR used ArcGIS Pro 2.2, all layers were projected to the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) 42N (a system for assigning coordinates to locations), and the total estimated urban area of the district was divided by total estimated size of the district.

To produce the population-density estimate, SIGAR first used ArcGIS Pro 2.2 software, and all layers were projected to UTM 42N to estimate the total urban and irrigated agricultural area of the district. This produced the estimated “settled” area of the district in square meters. For district-level population estimates, SIGAR used the population estimates Resolute Support provided with their October 2017 district-stability assessment.³ Finally, SIGAR divided the estimated district population by the estimated area of settled square kilometers to produce the district-level population per square kilometer estimates.

1 USIP, “Colin Cookman: Program Officer, Asia Center,” 2020; GitHub, “Results for Afghanistan 2019 Presidential Elections,” 12/25/2019.

2 SIGAR analysis of IEC preliminary results data compiled by Colin Cookman, downloaded from https://github.com/colincookman/afghanistan_presidential_election_2019 on 12/25/2019.

3 For the October 2017 district control assessment, Resolute Support provided rounded population estimates which were used for this analysis. In subsequent district control assessments, Resolute Support specified that their estimates were derived from the 2016 LandScan product. As a check, SIGAR generated its own district-level population estimates using the 2017 LandScan product and the 407 Resolute Support district boundaries. The results were a nearly perfect correlation, with a correlation coefficient of 0.999. Because of this, we felt confident in using the population estimates reported by Resolute Support in October 2017.

APPENDICES

FIGURE E.2

TURNOUT VERSUS POPULATION DENSITY





FIGURE E.3

TURNOUT VERSUS DISTRICT URBANIZATION



Source: SIGAR analysis of IEC preliminary results data compiled by Colin Cookman, downloaded from https://github.com/colincookman/afghanistan_presidential_election_2019 on 12/25/2019 and USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 2/15/2018.

Compared to rural districts, urban districts have more concentrated populations, more transportation infrastructure, and presumably greater access to polling centers and more technically savvy poll workers for the biometric voter-verification process. These factors would seem to lead to higher voter turnouts in line with voter registrations. Contrary to expectations, however, highly urbanized and population dense districts did not lead the pack in turnout (as a percent of voter registration). For example, see Figure E.2, which compares turnout (as a percent of registered voters) to the amount of urban area in a district and Figure E.3, which compares population density of settled district area to turnout. The highest turnout (as a percent of registered voters) was recorded in less urbanized and less densely settled areas, clustered near the left side of the horizontal axis. The most urbanized and densely populated outlier districts generally saw turnout between the low 20% to low 30% range (above the average district turnout of 19.4%). While these districts saw—on average—higher turnout the more urban and densely populated the district, the slope of the trend line is not as dramatic as one may expect given the stark differences between rural and urban areas in Afghanistan.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX G

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ACRONYM OR ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
AAF	Afghan Air Force
ABP	Afghan Border Police
ACEP	Afghan Civic Engagement Program
ACJC	Anti-Corruption Justice Center
ACLED	Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project
ADALAT	Assistance for Development of Afghan Legal Access and Transparency
AFMIS	Afghan Financial Management Information System
AFN	afghani (currency)
AGO	Attorney General's Office (Afghan)
AIF	Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund
AITF	Afghanistan Infrastructure Trust Fund
AJCP	Afghanistan Jobs Creation Program
ALP	Afghan Local Police
AMANAT	Afghanistan's Measure for Accountability and Transparency
ANA	Afghan National Army
ANAREC	Afghan National Army Recruiting Command
ANASOC	ANA Special Operations Corps
ANDSF	Afghan National Defense and Security Forces
ANP	Afghan National Police
AO	abandoned ordnance
APPS	Afghan Personnel and Pay System
AREU	Afghanistan Research and Evaluation Unit
AROC	Afghan Resources Oversight Council
ARTF	Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund
ASFF	Afghanistan Security Forces Fund
ASSF	Afghan Special Security Forces
AUP	Afghan Uniformed Police
BADILL	Boost Alternative Development Intervention through Licit Livelihoods
BAG	Budget Activity Group
CBARD	Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development Project
CBARD-E	Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development- East

Continued on the next page

APPENDICES

ACRONYM OR ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
CBARD-W	Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development- West
CCAP	Citizen's Charter Afghanistan Project
CCP	Central Contraceptive Procurement
CDCS	Country Development Cooperation Strategy
CENTCOM	U. S. Central Command
CEPPS	Consortium for Elections and Political Process Strengthening
CERP	Commander's Emergency Response Program
CHAMP	Commercial Horticulture and Agricultural Marketing Program
CID	U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command
CIGIE	Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency
CMS	Case Management System
CN	Counternarcotics
CNPA	Counter Narcotics Police of Afghanistan
COIN	counterinsurgency
COMAC	Conflict Mitigation Assistance for Civilians
COR	contracting officer's representative
CPD	Central Prisons Directorate
CPDS	Continuing Professional Development Support
CSO	civil-society organization
CSSP	Corrections System Support Program
CSTC-A	Combined Security Transition Command-Afghanistan
CTA	Central Transfer Account
CTA	Counter-narcotics Central Transfer Account
CTF	Counterterrorism Financing
CWD	Conventional Weapons Destruction
DAB	Da Afghanistan Bank
DABS	Da Afghanistan Breshna Sherkat
DAI	Development Alternatives Inc. (DAI Global Inc.)
DCA	Development Credit Authority
DCAA	Defense credit audit authority
DCAR	Delegated Cooperation Agreement
DCIS	Defense Criminal Investigative Service
DEA	Drug Enforcement Administration (U.S.)
DEWS Plus	Disease Early Warning System Plus
DFAC	dining facility
DFID	Department for International Development

Continued on the next page

APPENDICES

ACRONYM OR ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
DHS	Department of Homeland Security
DICDA	Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities (U.S.)
DIG	Deputy Inspector General
DLA	Defense Logistics Agency
DOD	Department of Defense (U.S.)
DOD OIG	Department of Defense Office of Inspector General
DOJ	Department of Justice (U.S.)
ECC	Electoral Complaints Commission
ECF	Extended Credit Facility
EEIA	effective enemy initiated attacks
EIA	Enemy-Initiated Attacks
ERW	explosive remnants of war
ESF	Economic Support Fund
EU	European Union
EXBS	Export Control and Border Security
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization (UN)
FAP	Financial and Activity Plan
FBI	Federal Bureau of Investigation
FEWS NET	Famine Early Warning Systems Network
FFP	Food for Peace
FY	fiscal year
GAO	Government Accountability Office (U.S.)
GCPSU	General Command of Police Special Units
GDP	gross domestic product
GEC	Girls' Education Challenge Program
GIROA	Government of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
GLE	Governor-Led Eradication
GRAIN	Grain Research and Innovation
HAZMAT	hazardous materials
HEMAYAT	Helping Mothers and Children Thrive
HIS	Homeland Security investigations
HQ	headquarters
HSR	Health Sector Resiliency
ICS	Integrated Country Strategy
IDA	International Disaster Assistance
IDP	Internally Displaced Persons

Continued on the next page

APPENDICES

ACRONYM OR ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
IEC	Independent Election Commission (Afghan)
IED	improvised explosive device
IG	inspector general
IHSAN	Initiative for Hygiene, Sanitation, and Nutrition
IIU	Intelligence and Investigation Unit (Afghan)
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IMSMA	Information Management System for Mine Action
INCLE	International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement (U.S)
INL	Bureau of International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs (U.S.)
IOM	International Organization for Migration
IP DPG	Incentive Program Development Policy Grant
IS-K	Islamic State-Khorasan
ISLA	Initiative to Strengthen Local Administrations Program
IWA	Integrity Watch Afghanistan
JSSP	Justice Sector Support Program (State)
JWIP	judicial wire intercept program
KCEC	Kabul Carpet Export Center
kg	kilogram
KIA	killed in action
LLP	Lessons Learned Program
LOA	Letters of authorization
LOTFA	Law and Order Trust Fund for Afghanistan
MAIL	Ministry of Agriculture, Irrigation and Livestock (Afghan)
MAPA	Mine Action Programme for Afghanistan
MCN	Ministry of Counter-Narcotics (Afghan)
MCTF	Major Crimes Task Force
MEC	Monitoring and Evaluation Committee (Afghan)
MEDEVAC	medical evacuation
MOCI	Ministry of Commerce and Industry (Afghan)
MOD	Ministry of Defense (Afghan)
MOE	Minister of Education (Afghan)
MOEc	Ministry of Economy (Afghan)
MOF	Ministry of Finance (Afghan)
MOHE	Ministry of Higher Education (Afghan)
MOI	Ministry of Interior (Afghan)
MOJ	Ministry of Justice (Afghan)

Continued on the next page

APPENDICES

ACRONYM OR ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
MOMP	Ministry of Mines and Petroleum (Afghan)
MOPH	Ministry of Public Health (Afghan)
MOU	memorandum of understanding
MRA	Migration and Refugee Assistance
NADR	Non-Proliferation, Antiterrorism, Demining, and Related Programs
NATF	NATO ANA Trust Fund
NATO	North Atlantic Treaty Organization
NCO	Noncommissioned officers
NDAA	National Defense Authorization Act
NDS	National Directorate of Security (Afghan)
NEPS	Northeast Power System
NGO	nongovernmental organization
NIU	National Interdiction Unit (Afghan)
NPA	nonprosecution agreement
NPA	National Procurement Authority
NSIA	National Statistics and Information Authority (Afghan)
NSOCC-A	NATO Special Operations Component Command-Afghanistan
NSPA	NATO Support and Procurement Agency
OCHA	Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs
OCO	Overseas Contingency Operations
OEG	Office of Economic Growth (USAID)
OFDA	Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance
OFS	Operation Freedom's Sentinel
OIG	Office of the Inspector General
PAI	Personnel Asset Inventory
PDP	Provincial Development Plans
PM/WRA	Bureau of Political-Military Affairs' Office of Weapons Removal and Abatement (State)
PRM	Bureau of Population, Refugees and Migration (U.S. State)
PTEC	Power Transmission Expansion and Connectivity
RA	Reprogramming Action
RADP	Regional Agriculture Development Program
RC	Recurrent Cost
RS	Resolute Support
SAO	supreme audit officer
SEPS	Southeast Power System
SFC	Sergeant first class
SHAHAR	Strong Hubs for Afghan Hope and Resilience

Continued on the next page

APPENDICES

ACRONYM OR ABBREVIATION	DEFINITION
SIGACT	significant act (violence against coalition troops)
SIU	Sensitive Investigative Unit (Afghan)
SME	subject-matter expert
SMW	Special Mission Wing (Afghan)
SOF	Special Operations Forces
SPM	Support to Payroll Management
SRAR	Special Representative for Afghanistan Reconciliation
State OIG	Department of State Office of the Inspector General
SWIM	Strengthening Watershed and Irrigation Management
TAA	train, advise, and assist
TAAC	train, advise, and assist command
TAF	The Asia Foundation
TIU	Technical Investigative Unit
UN	United Nations
UN WFP	United Nations World Food Programme
UNAMA	United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNHCR	United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
UNICEF	United Nations Children's Fund
UNMAS	United Nations Mine Action Service
UNODC	United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime
USAAA	U.S. Army Audit Agency
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USAID	U.S. Agency for International Development
USAID OIG	USAID Office of the Inspector General
USD	U.S. dollar
USFOR-A	U.S. Forces-Afghanistan
USGS	United States Geological Survey
USIP	United States Institute of Peace
UXO	unexploded ordnance
WASH	water, sanitation and hygiene
WHO	World Health Organization
WIA	Wounded in Action
HMMWV	high mobility multipurpose wheeled vehicle (commonly known as a humvee)

ENDNOTES

1. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption, SIGAR 20-06 Audit Report, 11/2019, p. 25.
2. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption, SIGAR 20-06 Audit Report, 11/2019, p. 2.
3. State, Embassy Kabul, "Remarks by Ambassador Bass Marking International Anti-Corruption Day," af.usembassy.gov/remarks-by-ambassador-bass-marking-international-anti-corruption-day, 12/15/2019.
4. Office of the Speaker of the House, U.S. House of Representatives, "Pelosi, Bipartisan Congressional Delegation Conclude Visit to Afghanistan," speaker.gov/newsroom/102019-0, 10/20/2019.
5. The Asia Foundation, A Survey of the Afghan People: Afghanistan in 2019, 12/2019, pp. 15, 242–243.
6. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption, SIGAR 20-06 Audit Report, 11/2019, p. 2.
7. U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations, Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Bill, 2020, Senate Report 116-126, 9/26/2019, p. 31.
8. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption, SIGAR 20-06 Audit Report, 11/2019, p. 6.
9. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption, SIGAR 20-06 Audit Report, 11/2019, p. 34.
10. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption, SIGAR 20-06 Audit Report, 11/2019, pp. 6–8.
11. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption, SIGAR 20-06 Audit Report, 11/2019, p. 17.
12. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption, SIGAR 20-06 Audit Report, 11/2019, p. 18.
13. CSTC-A, "FY 2019 Mid-Year Review," 6/14/2019, quoted in DOD OIG, Operation Freedom's Sentinel: Lead Inspector General Report to the United States Congress, July 1, 2019–September 30, 2019, publicly released 11/20/2019, table 3, p. 22.
14. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption, SIGAR 20-06 Audit Report, 11/2019, p. 28.
15. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Has Begun to Implement an Anti-Corruption Strategy, but Significant Problems Must Be Addressed, SIGAR 18-51-AR, 5/31/2018.
16. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption, SIGAR 20-06 Audit Report, 11/2019, p. 3.
17. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption, SIGAR 20-06 Audit Report, 11/2019, p. 12.
18. World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, p. 3.
19. See the Status of Funds data in Section 3 of this Quarterly Report for details.
20. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Justice Sector Case Management System: Seized or Forfeited Assets Were Not Tracked and Nationwide Implementation is Not Complete, SIGAR 20-20-SP Special Project Report, 1/2020, pp. 2–3.
21. SIGAR, Afghan Business Taxes: Action Has Been Taken to Address Most Tax Issues, but the Afghan Government Continues to Assess Taxes on Exempt U.S.-Funded Contracts, SIGAR 20-22 Audit Report, 1/2020, pp. 6, 9.
22. World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, p. 9.
23. World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, ii.
24. World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, i.
25. LTG James E. Rainey, commander, CSTC–A, email to IG John Sopko, 10/21/2019; COL Kenneth W. Letcher, chief of staff, CSTC–A, emails to SIGAR, 1/8/2020 and 1/13/2020.
26. Foreign Policy, "Afghanistan's Forests Are Turning a Profit for the Islamic State," foreignpolicy.com/2019/07/15/afghanistans-forests-are-turning-a-profit-for-the-islamic-state, 7/15/2019.
27. ToloNews, "MPs Report Large-Scale Corruption at Customs," tolonews.com/afghanistan/mps-report-large-scale-corruption-customs, 10/26/2019.
28. John F. Sopko, SIGAR, letter to Acting Minister M.H. Qayoumi, 11/22/2019.
29. Acting Minister of Finance M.H. Qayoumi, letter to John F. Sopko, 12/23/2019.
30. SIGAR meeting record of meeting between Acting Minister of Finance Mohammad Humayon Qayoumi and Inspector General John Sopko, Kabul, 12/24/2019, p. 1.
31. The Asia Foundation, A Survey of the Afghan People: Afghanistan in 2019, 12/2019, pp. 15, 242–243.
32. Transparency International, "CPI 2019: Asia Pacific," transparency.org/news/feature/cpi_2019_asia_pacific, accessed 1/23/2020.
33. Transparency International, "Asia Pacific: little to no progress on anti-corruption," transparency.org/news/feature/asia_pacific_makes_little_to_no_progress_on_anti_corruption, 1/29/2019.
34. Transparency International, "Corruption Perceptions Index 2005," transparency.org/research/cpi/cpi_2005/0, accessed 1/6/2020.
35. Transparency International, Corruptions Perceptions Index 2019, 1/2020, p. 3.

ENDNOTES

36. Asian Development Bank, “Afghanistan: Progress and Remaining Challenges,” ADF 13 Replenishment Meeting, Manila, 11/2019, p. 6.
37. Office of the Speaker of the House, U.S. House of Representatives, “Pelosi, Bipartisan Congressional Delegation Conclude Visit to Afghanistan,” speaker.gov/newsroom/102019-0, 10/20/2019.
38. Voice of America, “US Envoy Slams Afghan Government’s Anti-Corruption Resolve,” voanews.com/south-central-asia/us-envoy-slams-afghan-governments-anti-corruption-resolve, 8/15/2019.
39. State, Embassy Kabul, “Remarks by Ambassador Bass Marking International AntiCorruption Day,” af.usembassy.gov/remarks-by-ambassador-bass-marking-international-anti-corruption-day, 12/15/2019.
40. House Foreign Affairs Committee Holds Hearing on Lessons Learned in Afghanistan, CQ Congressional Transcript, 1/15/2020.
41. DFID, “International aid support to corrupt countries,” dfidnews.blog.gov.uk/2018/03/14/international-aid-support-to-corrupt-countries, 3/14/2018.
42. Department of International Trade, UK Government, “Overseas Business Risk—Afghanistan,” gov.uk/government/publications/overseas-business-risk-afghanistan/overseas-business-risk-afghanistan, 3/4/2019.
43. SIGAR, meeting record from a European capital, 10/18/2019.
44. Federal Republic of Germany, BMZ, “Germany’s contribution to anti-corruption work,” bmz.de/en/issues/korruption/deutscher-beitrag/index.html, accessed 11/8/2019.
45. SIGAR, Testimony Before the House Committee on Foreign Affairs, Statement of John F. Sopko, SIGAR-20-19-TY, 1/15/2020, pp. 10–11.
46. SIGAR, 2019 High-Risk List, SIGAR-19-25-HRL, 1/2019, p. 27.
47. UNAMA, “Geneva Conference on Afghanistan,” unama.unmissions.org/geneva-conference-afghanistan, version of 12/11/2018.
48. DOD, Cost of War Monthly Report, Data as of September 30, 2019, provided in response to SIGAR data call, 1/9/2020.
49. Pub. L. No. 111-32, 6/24/2009.
50. DOD, response to SIGAR vetting, 7/20/2009.
51. Pub. L. No. 112-74, Section 9009, 12/23/2011; Deputy Secretary of Defense, “Afghanistan Resources Oversight Council (AROC) memorandum,” 8/3/2011; Pub. L. No. 116-93, 12/20/2019.
52. DOD, Reprogramming Action FY 19-02 RA, Support for DHS Counter-Drug Activity Reprogramming Action, 5/9/2019, and Pub. L. No. 116-93, 12/20/2019.
53. DFAS, “AR(M) 1002 Appropriation Status by FY Program and Subaccounts December 2019,” 1/19/2020; DFAS, “AR(M) 1002 Appropriation Status by FY Program and Subaccounts September 2019,” 10/18/2019.
54. Pub. L. No. 116-93, 12/20/2019.
55. DFAS, “AR(M) 1002 Appropriation Status by FY Program and Subaccounts December 2019,” 1/19/2020; “AR(M) 1002 Appropriation Status by FY Program and Subaccounts September 2019,” 10/18/2019.
56. DOD, Fiscal Year 2019, Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF), Line Item Detail, two versions received, 1/15/2020 and 7/16/2019; Pub. L. No. 116-93, 12/20/2019.
57. DOD, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020; and AR(M) 1002 Appropriation Status by FY Program and Subaccounts November 2019, accessed at www.dfas.mil/dodbudgetaccountreports on 12/19/2019.
58. Pub. L. No. 116-93, 12/20/2019.
59. DOD, response to SIGAR data call, 10/17/2019.
60. DOD, response to SIGAR data call 1/18/2019.
61. DOD, response to SIGAR data call, 4/9/2019 and 10/9/2019.
62. DOD, response to SIGAR data call 1/17/2020 and 10/17/2019.
63. USAID, U.S. Foreign Assistance Reference Guide, 1/2005, p.6.
64. USAID, responses to SIGAR data call 1/13/2020; State, response to SIGAR data call 1/3/2020.
65. USAID, response to SIGAR data call 1/22/2019.
66. USAID, responses to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020 and 1/14/2020.
67. USAID, response to SIGAR data call 1/13/2020 and 10/16/2019.
68. USAID, Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance, profile last updated on July 18, 2017, on www.usaid.gov website.
69. USAID, Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance, “Afghanistan-Complex Emergency, Fact Sheet #4, FY 2017,” posted on www.usaid.gov.
70. USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020 and 10/16/2019.
71. USAID/OFDA, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020.
72. State, response to SIGAR data call, 10/13/2009.
73. State, response to SIGAR data call, 1/3/2020, 1/10/2020, and 10/10/2019.
74. Department of State, Congressional Budget Justification, Foreign Operations, Appendix 2, FY 2019, Released February 12, 2018, pp. 44–52; and State, response to SIGAR data call, 4/17/2019.
75. State, response to SIGAR data call, 1/16/2020 and 10/16/2019.
76. Department of State, Congressional Budget Justification, Foreign Operations, Appendix 2, FY 2019, Released February 12, 2018, p. 423.
77. State, response to SIGAR data call 3/29/2013.
78. State, response to SIGAR data call 3/29/2013.
79. State, response to SIGAR data calls, 1/3/2020 and 10/5/2018.
80. World Bank, ARTF: Administrator’s Report on Financial Status as of October 22, 2019 (end of 10th month of FY 1398) at www.artf.af, accessed 1/9/2020, p. 4.
81. World Bank, Quarterly Country Update: Afghanistan, 4/2011, p. 16.
82. World Bank, ARTF: Administrator’s Report on Financial Status as of October 22, 2019 (end of 10th month of FY 1398) at www.artf.af, accessed 1/9/2020, p. 2.
83. World Bank, ARTF: Administrator’s Report on Financial Status as of October 22, 2019 (end of 10th month of FY 1398), p. 12.
84. UN OCHA, Financial Tracking Service at <https://fts.unocha.org>, accessed 12/31/2019.
85. EC, “Afghanistan: State of Play, January 2011,” 3/31/2011, p. 7.
86. UNDP, “Law and Order Trust Fund for Afghanistan, Terms of Reference, 22 November 2018,” and “Trust Fund Factsheet, Law and Order Trust Fund for Afghanistan,” at <http://mptf.undp.org/factsheet/fund/LTF00>, accessed 1/9/2020.
87. UNDP, LOTFA Receipts 2002–2019 and LOTFA MPTF Receipts 2002–2019, updated 1/16/2020, in response to SIGAR data call 1/16/2020.
88. DOD, response to SIGAR data call, 10/12/2018.
89. NATO, Afghan National Army (ANA) Trust Fund, Status of Contributions Made as of 25 November 2019, at www.nato.int, accessed 1/7/2020.

ENDNOTES

90. DOD, response to SIGAR data call, 1/18/2019.
91. DOD, "Department of Defense Press Briefing by Secretary Esper and General Milley in the Pentagon Briefing Room," 12/20/2019.
92. New York Times, "Trump Visits Afghanistan and Says He Reopened Talks with Taliban," 11/28/2019.
93. Reuters, "Trump says Taliban Must Curb Violence for Meaningful Afghanistan Talks," 1/22/2020.
94. ToloNews, "Reduction of Violence Not Practical: Ghani's Spokesman," 1/12/2020; Voice of America, "Afghan Government Demands Full Cease-Fire, Taliban Commit to Reduction in Violence," 1/22/2020.
95. The Guardian, "Afghanistan's Taliban Ruling Council Ready for Ceasefire with US," 12/29/2019.
96. RS, response to SIGAR data call, 1/7/2020; SIGAR, analysis of RS-provided data, 1/2020.
97. NSOCC-A, response to SIGAR data vetting, 1/10/2020 and 1/17/2020; DOD, Enhancing Security and Stability in Afghanistan, 7/2019, p. 5; SIGAR, analysis of NSOCC-A-provided data, 1/2020.
98. New York Times, "Taliban Attack U.S. Base in Afghanistan as Negotiators Talk Peace," 12/11/2019; Military Times, "U.S. Service Member Killed in Afghanistan," 12/23/2019; Defense Casualty Analysis System (DCAS), "U.S. Military Casualties - Operation Freedom's Sentinel (OFS) Casualty Summary by Month and Service," 1/17/2020; SIGAR, analysis of DCAS data, 1/2020.
99. DOD, "DOD Identifies Army Casualties," 1/12/2020.
100. Voice of America, "Taliban: Intra-Afghan Talks Only After Troop Withdrawal Deal Signed With US," 12/13/2019; DOD, "Secretary Esper Holds an In-Flight Media Availability," 12/16/2019; DOD, "Secretary of Defense Esper Media Engagement En Route to Afghanistan," 10/19/2019; Axios, "Trump May Reduce Troops in Afghanistan without Taliban Deal," 1/12/2020.
101. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019 and 9/18/2019; CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 12/2019.
102. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
103. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/10/2019.
104. See Appendix B of this report and DFAS, "AR(M) 1002 Appropriation Status by FY Program and Subaccounts December 2019," 1/2020; Pub.L. 116-93, 12/20/2019.
105. See Appendix B of this report and DFAS, "AR(M) 1002 Appropriation Status by FY Program and Subaccounts December 2019," 1/2020.
106. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 8/27/2016; OSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/15/2018, 1/12/2019, and 10/10/2019; SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 4/30/2018, p. 75; OUSD-P, email to SIGAR, 1/13/2017.
107. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 8/27/2016; OUSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/15/2018; SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 4/30/2018, p. 75.
108. RS, response to SIGAR data call, 1/7/2020; USFOR-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/17/2020; SIGAR, analysis of RS-provided data, 1/2020.
109. RS, response to SIGAR data call, 1/7/2020; SIGAR, analysis of RS-provided data, 1/2020.
110. RS, response to SIGAR data call, 1/7/2020; SIGAR, analysis of RS-provided data, 1/2020.
111. RS, response to SIGAR data call, 1/7/2020; SIGAR, analysis of RS-provided data, 1/2020.
112. RS, response to SIGAR data call, 1/7/2020; SIGAR, analysis of RS-provided data, 1/2020.
113. RS, response to SIGAR data call, 1/7/2020; SIGAR, analysis of RS-provided data, 1/2020.
114. RS, response to SIGAR data call, 1/7/2020; SIGAR, analysis of RS-provided data, 1/2020.
115. DOD, "Brett Baier Interviews Secretary Esper at Reagan National Defense Forum," 12/7/2019; DOD, "Remarks by Secretary Esper in a Joint Press Conference With Senior Afghan Officials and Resolute Support Mission Commander," 10/21/2019.
116. DOD, "Secretary Esper Holds an In-Flight Media Availability," 12/16/2019; DOD, "Secretary of Defense Esper Media Engagement En Route to Afghanistan," 10/19/2019.
117. NATO, "Resolute Support Mission (RSM): Key Facts and Figures," 11/2019, accessed online, 12/2019; OUSD-P, response to SIGAR data call, 12/20/2018.
118. Defense Casualty Analysis System, "U.S. Military Casualties - Operation Freedom's Sentinel (OFS) Casualty Summary by Month and Service," 1/17/2020; SIGAR, analysis of DCAS data, 1/2020.
119. DOD, "DOD Identifies Army Casualties," 1/12/2020.
120. Defense Casualty Analysis System, "U.S. Military Casualties - Operation Freedom's Sentinel (OFS) Casualty Summary by Month and Service," 1/17/2020; SIGAR, analysis of DCAS data, 1/2020.
121. RS, response to SIGAR data call, 1/7/2020; SIGAR, analysis of RS-provided data, 1/2020.
122. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019 and 9/18/2019; CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 7/15/2019; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 12/2019; OUSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/18/2020.
123. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019 and 9/18/2019; CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 12/2019.
124. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
125. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/10/2019.
126. OUSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/15/2019.
127. OUSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020; SIGAR, analysis of OUSD-P-provided data, 1/2020.
128. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019 and 12/18/2018; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 12/2019; SIGAR, meeting record with CSTC-A, 12/9/2019.
129. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019 and 12/20/2018; CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/10/2019; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 12/2019.
130. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/17/2020; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 1/2020.
131. USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 11/26/2016; USFOR-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 7/15/2016 and 1/12/2019; OUSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 4/14/2018; CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 9/18/2019.
132. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019 and 9/18/2018; CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 7/12/2019.

ENDNOTES

133. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019; CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
134. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 9/18/2018.
135. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.
136. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019 and 9/18/2018; CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
137. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
138. OUSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
139. CSTC-A, response to DOD OIG data call, 1/7/2020.
140. CSTC-A, response to DOD OIG data call, 1/7/2020.
141. OUSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
142. OUSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/17/2020; DOD, *Enhancing Security and Stability in Afghanistan*, 7/2019, p. 34.
143. RS, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/17/2020; RS response to SIGAR data call, 9/18/2019 and 6/19/2019; SIGAR, analysis of RS-provided data, 1/2020.
144. OUSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
145. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/17/2020.
146. DOD, *Enhancing Security and Stability in Afghanistan*, 6/2019, pp. 1, 2, 5.
147. DOD, *Enhancing Security and Stability in Afghanistan*, 6/2019, pp. 2, 5; NSOCC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020 and 1/17/2020; SIGAR, analysis of NSOCC-A-provided data, 1/2020.
148. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/17/2020.
149. OUSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
150. See Appendix B of this report.
151. See Appendix B of this report.
152. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/17/2020.
153. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/20/2018; CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/17/2020.; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 1/2020.
154. See Appendix B of this report.
155. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.
156. SIGAR, meeting record with CSTC-A, 12/9/2019.
157. OUSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
158. See Appendix B of this report.
159. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019 and response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
160. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.
161. See Appendix B of this report.
162. DOD OIG, *Audit of the DoD Requirements for the National Maintenance Strategy-Ground Vehicle Support Contract*, DODIG-2020-026, 12/17/2019.
163. DOD OIG, *Operation Freedom's Sentinel, Lead Inspector General's Report to the United States Congress*, 11/15/2019, p. 26; DOD, *Enhancing Security and Stability in Afghanistan*, 6/2019, p. 53.
164. RS, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019 and response to SIGAR vetting, 1/17/2020.
165. DOD, *Enhancing Security and Stability in Afghanistan*, 6/2019, p. 53.
166. RS, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019 and response to SIGAR vetting, 1/17/2020; DOD OIG, *Operation Freedom's Sentinel, Lead Inspector General's Report to the United States Congress*, 11/15/2019, p. 26.
167. See Appendix B of this report; CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 12/2019.
168. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 12/2019..
169. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 9/18/2019.
170. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 12/2019.
171. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 12/2019.
172. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
173. TAAC-Air, response to SIGAR data call, 1/7/2020; SIGAR, analysis of TAAC-Air-provided data, 1/2020.
174. TAAC-Air, response to DODOIG data call, 1/7/2020; SIGAR, analysis of TAAC-Air-provided data, 1/2020.
175. TAAC-Air, response to DODOIG data call, 1/7/2020 and response to SIGAR vetting, 1/17/2020; SIGAR, analysis of TAAC-Air-provided data, 1/2020.
176. TAAC-Air, response to DOD OIG data call, 1/7/2020 and response to SIGAR vetting, 1/17/2020.
177. TAAC-Air, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/17/2020.
178. See Appendix B of this report.
179. See Appendix B of this report.
180. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020 and 1/17/2020; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 1/2020.
181. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020 and 1/17/2020; NSOCC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 1/2020.
182. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 8/27/2016; OUSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/15/2018; SIGAR, *Quarterly Report to the United States Congress*, 4/30/2018, p. 75.
183. See Appendix B of this report.
184. SIGAR, meeting record with CSTC-A, 12/9/2019.
185. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.
186. See Appendix B of this report.
187. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019 and 9/18/2019; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 12/2019; CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
188. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019 and 9/18/2019; SIGAR, analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 12/2019.
189. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
190. CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.
191. See Appendix B of this report.
192. *Fondation Suisse de Déminage* website, "Afghanistan," <https://fsd.ch/en/project/afghanistan/>, accessed 4/1/2019.
193. *E-Mine/UN Mine Action* website, "Portfolio on Mine Action," *Afghanistan Country Portfolio*, 2018, <https://mineaction.org/en/portfolio-of-mine-action-projects>, accessed 7/1/2019.
194. *The New York Times*, Mujib Mashal, "Left-Behind Explosives Taking Deadlier Toll on Afghan Children, U.N. Says," 2/6/2017, <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/02/06/world/asia/afghanistan-war-civilian-casualties-un-report.html>, accessed 10/18/2019.
195. State, PM/WRA, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
196. PM/WRA, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
197. PM/WRA, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
198. State, PM/WRA, response to SIGAR data call, 9/23/2019; NIST, *The United States and the Metric System: A Capsule in History*, 1997, p. 8, <https://www.nist.gov/sites/default/files/documents/pml/wmd/metric/1136a.pdf>, accessed 10/6/2018.

ENDNOTES

199. E-Mine/UN Mine Action website, “Portfolio on Mine Action,” Afghanistan Country Portfolio, 2018; State, PM/WRA, response to SIGAR vetting, 4/11/2019.
200. PM/WRA, response to SIGAR vetting, 4/11/2019.
201. USAID, Conflict Mitigation Assistance for Civilians (COMAC), Implementation Plan—Year 3, FY2020: October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2019, 10/9/2019, pp. 1–2; USAID, OAPA–17–JULY–AFG–0037, Initial Environmental Examination, 7/5/2017.
202. USAID, Conflict Mitigation Assistance for Civilians (COMAC), Implementation Plan—Year 3, FY2020: October 1, 2019 to September 30, 2019, 10/9/2019, pp. 1.
203. USAID, Conflict Mitigation Assistance for Civilians (COMAC), Annual Report, Year 2 (October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019), 11/13/2019, pp. 5, 7–8, 26.
204. USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
205. White House, Remarks by President Trump to Troops at Bagram Airfield, 11/28/2019; White House, Remarks by President Trump and President Ghani of Afghanistan After Bilateral Meeting, 11/28/2019.
206. Alice G. Wells, “The Trump Administration’s Afghanistan Policy,” testimony before the House Committee on Foreign Affairs, 9/19/2019; Trump, Donald. (@realDonaldTrump), “Unbeknownst to almost everyone, the major Taliban leaders and, separately, the President of Afghanistan, were going to secretly meet with me at Camp David on Sunday. They were coming to the United States tonight. Unfortunately, in order to build false leverage, they admitted to.” 9/7/2019, <https://twitter.com/realDonaldTrump/status/1170469619154530305>.
207. White House, Remarks by President Trump and President Ghani of Afghanistan After Bilateral Meeting, 11/28/2019; Reuters, “Trump says Taliban must curb violence for meaningful Afghanistan talks,” 1/22/2020.
208. SIGAR analysis of IEC preliminary results data compiled by Colin Cookman, downloaded from https://github.com/colin-cookman/afghanistan_presidential_election_2019 on 12/25/2019.
209. Reuters, “Afghanistan’s Ghani claims narrow win in preliminary presidential vote results,” 12/22/2019; USAID, ODG, response to SIGAR vetting 1/10/2020.
210. See Appendix B.
211. The Asia Foundation, A Survey of the Afghan People: Afghanistan in 2019, 12/2/2019, pp. 15, 35.
212. Not-sampled districts are those districts that registered no respondents for that survey year. Consistent with other SIGAR district-level analysis, SIGAR utilized the 407 province/district list and map layer used by the Resolute Support mission in its previous assessments of district control. (Resolute Support discontinued district control assessments in October 2018.) To have compatible province/district units of analysis, SIGAR linked the 436 Asia Foundation province/district combinations to the 407 Resolute Support province/district combinations. In some cases (such as the Asia Foundation splitting Kabul City into 10 different districts), there was no practical loss in coverage. However, in other cases, province/districts reflected in the Asia Foundation dataset were not used in subsequent data analysis. Population information is lacking for these lost districts, but SIGAR assesses the impact as minimal. For district-level population estimates, SIGAR used the rounded population estimates Resolute Support provided with their October 2017 district-stability assessment. SIGAR analysis of The Asia Foundation’s 2019 Afghan Survey Data (downloaded 12/19/2019) and USFOR-A, response to SIGAR data call, 2/15/2018.
213. White House, Remarks by President Trump to Troops at Bagram Airfield, 11/28/2019; White House, Remarks by President Trump and President Ghani of Afghanistan After Bilateral Meeting, 11/28/2019; State, SCA, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/11/2019.
214. State, Statement by Secretary Pompeo on Celebrating the Release of American and Australian Hostages, 11/27/2019; State, SCA, response to SIGAR data call, 12/31/2019; State, SCA response to SIGAR vetting, 1/22/2020.
215. Radio Free Europe, “U.S., Taliban Confirm Peace Talks Resumed In Qatar,” 12/8/2019.
216. AP, “Afghan bomber hits medical facility near US base, 2 killed,” 12/11/2019; Khalilzad, Zalmay. (@US4AfghanPeace “(1/2) When I met the Talibs today, I expressed outrage about yesterday’s attack on Bagram, which recklessly killed two and wounded dozens of civilians. #Taliban must show they are willing & able to respond to Afghan desire for peace.” 9/7/2019, <https://twitter.com/US4AfghanPeace/status/1205272370614812675>; Khalilzad, Zalmay. (@US4AfghanPeace “(2/2) We’re taking a brief pause for them to consult their leadership on this essential topic.” 9/7/2019, <https://twitter.com/US4AfghanPeace/status/1205272372594565122>.
217. State, SCA. Response to SIGAR data call, 12/31/2019.
218. TOLONews, “Outgoing US Ambassador John R. Bass speaks of Afghan peace, elections, corruption. [English],” 1/1/2020.
219. TOLONews, “Afghan Govt Insists on Pre-Talks Ceasefire,” 1/13/2020.
220. TOLONews, “Reduction of Violence Not Practical: Ghani’s Spokesman,” 1/13/2020.
221. Khalilzad, Zalmay. (@US4AfghanPeace “Met w/ @USAmbKabul Bass and @ResoluteSupport General Miller. Together we consulted Pres @ashrafghani, CE @DrabdullahCE, ex-Pres @KarzaiH, women activists, and other political leaders. We discussed efforts to achieve reduced violence and pave the way to intra-Afghan negotiations,” 12/18/2019, <https://twitter.com/US4AfghanPeace/status/1207382449694617603>; Reuters, “Trump says Taliban must curb violence for meaningful Afghanistan talks,” 1/22/2020.
222. Reuters, “Afghanistan’s Ghani claims narrow win in preliminary presidential vote results,” 12/22/2019.
223. IEC, “Presidential Election 28 sep 2019,” 5/21/2019.
224. SIGAR analysis of IEC preliminary results data compiled by Colin Cookman, downloaded from https://github.com/colin-cookman/afghanistan_presidential_election_2019 on 12/25/2019.
225. Reuters, “Afghanistan’s Ghani claims narrow win in preliminary presidential vote results,” 12/22/2019; USAID, ODG, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
226. Bass, John. @USAmbKabul, “2/3 It’s important for all #Afghans to remember: these results are preliminary. Many steps remain before final election results are certified, to ensure the Afghan people have confidence in the results.” 12/22/2019, <https://twitter.com/USAmbKabul/status/1208664419657535493>.
227. ToloNews, “IECC Begins Sorting Electoral Complaints: Official,” 12/27/2019.

ENDNOTES

228. ToloNews, “Nearly 10,000 Election Complaints Rejected: IECC,” 1/14/2020.
229. TOLONews, “Outgoing US Ambassador John R. Bass speaks of Afghan peace, elections, corruption. [English],” 1/1/2020.
230. UN, The situation in Afghanistan and its implications for international peace and security, report of the Secretary-General, 12/10/2019, p. 2.
231. SIGAR analysis of IEC preliminary results data compiled by Colin Cookman, downloaded from https://github.com/colin-cookman/afghanistan_presidential_election_2019 on 12/25/2019.
232. SIGAR analysis of IEC preliminary results data compiled by Colin Cookman, downloaded from https://github.com/colin-cookman/afghanistan_presidential_election_2019 on 12/25/2019.
233. SIGAR analysis of IEC preliminary results data compiled by Colin Cookman, downloaded from https://github.com/colin-cookman/afghanistan_presidential_election_2019 on 12/25/2019.
234. USAID, ODG, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
235. Afghanistan Analysts Network, Afghanistan’s 2019 Elections (26): A Q&A about the ongoing election Stalemate, 12/8/2019; USAID, ODG, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
236. Afghanistan Analysts Network, Afghanistan’s 2019 Elections (26): A Q&A about the ongoing election Stalemate, 12/8/2019.
237. TOLONews, “Abdullah Asks Protestors to Allow Recount in Seven Provinces,” 12/13/2019.
238. UN, The situation in Afghanistan and its implications for international peace and security, report of the Secretary-General, 12/10/2019, p. 3.
239. USAID, ODG, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
240. UN, The situation in Afghanistan and its implications for international peace and security, report of the Secretary-General, 9/3/2019, pp. 2, 14.
241. USAID, AID-306-I0-15-00006: Modification 08, 4/29/2018.
242. USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020.
243. USAID, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/11/2018; NDI, Consortium for Elections and Political Process Strengthening, 2017; USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020.
244. CEPPS Quarterly Report: Afghanistan - July 1, 2019 - September 30, 2019, n.d., p. 5.
245. State, SCA, response to SIGAR data call, 12/23/2019.
246. For background information on the Afghanistan Compact, see pages 120–121 of SIGAR’s April 30, 2018, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress.
247. SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 4/30/2019, p. 114.
248. NATO, “Brussels Summit Declaration,” 7/11/2018.
249. Council of the EU, “Brussels Conference on Afghanistan: main results,” 10/5/2016, p. 1.
250. “Geneva Conference on Afghanistan: Joint Communiqué,” 11/28/2018, pp. 1, 5–6.
251. UN, The situation in Afghanistan and its implications for international peace and security, report of the Secretary-General, 12/10/2019, p. 10.
252. Ministry of Finance, “The Pathway to Self-Reliance: Reform Progress and Priorities for Growth and Development,” 11/2018, p. 13.
253. “Geneva Conference on Afghanistan: Joint Communiqué,” 11/28/2018, p. 6.
254. U.S. Government (USG)-Government of Afghanistan (GOA) Civilian Assistance Review Final Summary Report, 9/8/2019, p. 12.
255. U.S. Government (USG)-Government of Afghanistan (GOA) Civilian Assistance Review Final Summary Report, 9/8/2019, p. 5.
256. SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 7/30/2019, pp. 112–113.
257. USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020.
258. USAID, OPPD, response to SIGAR data call, 12/30/2013.
259. USAID, OPPD, response to SIGAR data call, 6/30/2014.
260. USAID, “Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund (ARTF),” 8/26/2013.
261. USAID, “U.S. government contributed \$105 million to Asian Development Bank Infrastructure Fund for Afghanistan,” 3/18/2014.
262. World Bank, “Administrator’s Report on Financial Status,” 9/22/2019, p. 4.
263. SIGAR, Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund: The World Bank Needs to Improve How it Monitors Implementation, Shares Information, and Determines the Impact of Donor Contributions, SIGAR 18-42-AR, 4/19/2018.
264. USAID, OPPD, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
265. World Bank, Response Matrix for Strategy Group Comments on Fiduciary Control Framework Overview, 9/2019, pp. 4, 12.
266. World Bank, ACRMAP Quarterly Update: ARTF Brief, 10/2019, p. 2.
267. World Bank, ACRMAP Quarterly Update: ARTF Brief, 10/2019, pp. 5–6.
268. World Bank, “Recurrent Cost Window,” 2019.
269. World Bank, “Administrator’s Report on Financial Status,” 9/22/2019, p. 2; World Bank, “Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund: Strategy and Finances,” 2019.
270. State, “Statement on Accountability and Anti-Corruption in Afghanistan,” 9/19/2019; USAID, OPPD, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/10/2019; USAID, OPPD, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
271. For the full list of 2019 incentive benchmarks, see pages 114–115 of SIGAR’s July 2019 Quarterly Report to the United States Congress. World Bank, 2019 Incentive Program Development Policy Operation (P168446): Program Document, 5/23/2019, p. 7; USAID, OPPD, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/10/2019.
272. World Bank, ARTF Incentive Program Working Group Meeting: Minutes, 11/21/2019, p. 1; World Bank, “CURRENT MONITORING MATRIX AS OF 20 NOVEMBER,” 11/20/2019.
273. USAID, OPPD, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
274. World Bank, ANNEX - Support for Teacher Training under EQUIP II and EQRA: A Comparison, 10/5/2019, p. 1; World Bank, Response Matrix for Strategy Group Comments on Fiduciary Control Framework Overview, 9/2019, p. 13.
275. World Bank, Afghanistan - Second Education Quality Improvement Program, 8/31/2019.
276. World Bank, ANNEX - Support for Teacher Training under EQUIP II and EQRA: A Comparison, 10/5/2019, p. 2.
277. World Bank, ANNEX - Support for Teacher Training under EQUIP II and EQRA: A Comparison, 10/5/2019, pp. 2, 4.
278. World Bank, ANNEX - Support for Teacher Training under EQUIP II and EQRA: A Comparison, 10/5/2019, p. 1.

ENDNOTES

279. World Bank, ANNEX - Support for Teacher Training under EQUIP II and EQRA: A Comparison, 10/5/2019, p. 1.
280. World Bank, Response Matrix for Strategy Group Comments on Fiduciary Control Framework Overview, 9/2019, p. 13.
281. World Bank, ANNEX - Support for Teacher Training under EQUIP II and EQRA: A Comparison, 10/5/2019, p. 1.
282. SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 10/30/2019, p. 47.
283. DOD, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/12/2017; DOD, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/11/2018.
284. DOD, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/12/2017; DOD, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/11/2018.
285. DOD, CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data calls, 7/1/2014.
286. DOD, CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 4/4/2014.
287. CSTC-A, "CSTC-A Funding Authorization Letter for the Ministry of Defense (MoD)," 1/3/2019, p. 1; DOD, "CSTC-A Funding Authorization Letter for the Ministry of Interior (MoI)," 1/11/2019, p. 1.
288. DOD, CSTC-A, RM, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.
289. DOD, CSTC-A, RM, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.
290. DOD, CSTC-A, RM, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.
291. SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 7/30/2019, p. 61.
292. DOD, CSTC-A, RM, response to SIGAR data call, 9/18/2019.
293. CSTC-A director of staff, SIGAR interview, 12/9/2019; DOD, CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
294. SIGAR analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 12/19/2019.
295. SIGAR analysis of CSTC-A-provided data, 12/19/2019.
296. CSTC-A, "Afghan Fiscal Year (FY) 1399 Fiscal Guidance," 5/23/2019.
297. According to CSTC-A, imposing such penalties would be counterproductive. DOD, CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019; SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 10/30/2019, p. 116; CSTC-A, "Afghan Fiscal Year (FY) 1399 Fiscal Guidance," 5/23/2019.
298. SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 7/30/2015, p. 3.
299. DOD, CSTC-A, response to SIGAR audit request for information, 12/24/2019.
300. DOD, CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.
301. DOD, CSTC-A, response to SIGAR audit request for information, 12/24/2019.
302. DOD, CSTC-A, response to SIGAR audit request for information, 12/24/2019.
303. DOD, CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
304. SIGAR, notification of an audit of Combined Security Transition Command-Afghanistan's (CSTC-A) use of conditionality, 10/25/2019.
305. Counterpart International, Afghan Civic Engagement Program (ACEP): Quarterly Report – PY6 | January – March 2019, 4/30/2019, p. 5.
306. Counterpart International, Afghan Civic Engagement Program (ACEP): Annual Report – PY6 | October 2018 - September 2019, 10/30/2019, p. 6.
307. Counterpart International, Afghan Civic Engagement Program (ACEP): Bi-weekly Update, 11/18/2019, p. 2.
308. USAID, ODG, response to SIGAR vetting, 7/10/2018; USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020.
309. Tetra Tech ARD, Initiative to Strengthen Local Administrations in Afghanistan (ISLA) Project) Monthly Report, February 2015, 3/15/2015, ii; USAID, ODG, response to SIGAR data call, 12/22/2016; USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2019.
310. Tetra Tech, USAID Initiative to Strengthen Local Administrations: Monthly Report, 4/30/2019, v, p. 7.
311. Multiple PDP-proposed projects reported by USAID/ISLA may share a single six-digit national budget project code. Further, a single national budget project code may cover multiple provinces. The Afghanistan Financial Management Information System (AFMIS) does not include expenditure data below the national budget project code. In order to generate an estimate of PDP-proposed project expenditures for Afghan fiscal year 1398, SIGAR matched the province and national budget project codes to USAID's list of PDP-proposed projects reflected in the national budget. This estimate may include non-PDP-proposed project expenditures. SIGAR analysis of MOF-provided AFMIS data exported 1/18/2020; SIGAR analysis of USAID-provided "1398 PDPvsNBP Analysis.xlsx" provided 12/18/2019.
312. Development Alternatives Inc., Strong Hubs for Afghan Hope and Resilience (SHAHAR): Monthly Report February 2015, 3/15/2015, p. 4; USAID, ODG, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/12/2017; USAID, "Modification 07/REQM-306-1 7-000434," 11/28/2017, p. 1; DAI, Strong Hubs for Afghan Hope and Resilience (SHAHAR) Monthly Report # 38, 2/15/2018, p. 6; USAID, ODG, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2019; USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020.
313. USAID, ODG, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
314. SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 1/30/2019, p. 124.
315. World Bank, Post-Settlement Economic Initiatives to Support Peace and Inclusive Growth in Afghanistan, 3/26/2019, pp. 10, 28; Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, Afghanistan: Day After Peace Program-Towards an Inclusive, Sustainable & Equitable Peace, 7/2019, pp. 8–10.
316. Government of Afghanistan, "Citizens' Charter: Key Indicators," 12/30/2019; Government of Afghanistan, "Citizens' Charter: Coverage," 12/30/2019.
317. State, "Statement on Accountability and Anti-Corruption in Afghanistan," 9/19/2019.
318. USAID, SIGAR communications, 9/26/2019.
319. Management Systems International, Afghanistan's Measure for Accountability and Transparency (AMANAT): Quarterly Performance Report: FY 2018, Quarter 3, 7/31/2018, pp. 1–2.
320. USAID, "Fact Sheet: Afghanistan's Measure for Accountability and Transparency (AMANAT)," 3/11/2019.
321. Management Systems International, Afghanistan's Measure for Accountability and Transparency (AMANAT): Annual Report – Year 2, 10/30/2019, p. 10.
322. World Bank, Response Matrix for Strategy Group Comments on Fiduciary Control Framework Overview, 9/2019, pp. 10–11.
323. World Bank, Strategy Group Brief: Fiduciary Control Framework for World Bank-Financed Projects, 9/16/2019, p. 14.
324. USAID, Contract AID-OAA-I-13-0034/AID-306-TO-16-00007, 4/16/2016, pp. 1, 8; USAID, Contract AID-OAA-I-13-0034/AID-306-TO-16-00007: Modification 01, 7/31/2016, p. 3; USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/13/2020.

ENDNOTES

325. SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 1/30/2017, p. 138.
326. Checchi and Company Consulting Inc., Assistance for the Development of Afghan Legal Access and Transparency (ADALAT) Annual Work Plan April 2019 – March 2020, 4/8/2019, pp. 14–15.
327. SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 4/30/2019, pp. 124–125; State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
328. SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 1/30/2018, p. 142.
329. Afghanistan Justice Sector Support Program, Bi-Weekly Report # 6 (Option Year Two), 11/31/2019, p. 4.
330. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption, SIGAR 20-06-AR, 11/1/2019, p. 24.
331. State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 3/23/2018 and 12/18/2019.
332. IDLO, Quarterly Reporting for US/INL Funded Projects, 7/31/2019, p. 2.
333. IDLO, Quarterly Reporting for US/INL Funded Projects, 9/30/2019, p. 2.
334. PAE, CSSP Baseline Needs Assessment, 6/16/2019, p. 8.
335. U.S. Embassy in Afghanistan, "Remarks by Ambassador Bass Marking International Anti-Corruption Day," 12/15/2019.
336. TOLONews, "Ghani Gives Anti-Corruption Speech, Orders Arrest," 12/15/2019; SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 4/30/2019, p. 130; SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 4/30/2018, p. 141.
337. SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 4/30/2019, pp. 126–127.
338. UN, The situation in Afghanistan and its implications for international peace and security, report of the Secretary-General, 12/10/2019, p. 11.
339. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Anti-Corruption Efforts: The Afghan Government Made Progress in Meeting its Anti-Corruption Strategy Benchmarks, but Serious Challenges Remain to Fighting Corruption, SIGAR 20-06-AR, 11/1/2019, p. 19.
340. TOLONews, "Ex-Election Commissioners Each Get 2 Years, 6 Months in Prison," 1/15/2020.
341. High Council for Rule of Law and Anti-corruption, Joint Action Plan for Improving Detection and Prosecution of Corruption Cases, 11/2019, p. 3.
342. High Council for Rule of Law and Anti-corruption, Joint Action Plan for Improving Detection and Prosecution of Corruption Cases, 11/2019, p. 38.
343. DOD, CSTC-A, ROL and TAO, response to SIGAR data call, 9/18/2019.
344. DOD, CSTC-A, ROL and TAO, response to SIGAR data call, 9/18/2019.
345. DOD, CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.
346. DOD, CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.
347. DOD, CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.
348. DOD, CSTC-A, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
349. DOD, CSTC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/19/2019.
350. "Ministry of Defense CID Report from the 06.Nov. 2019 until 13.Nov 2019," 11/13/2019.
351. GIROA, Presidential Decree Number 139, 1/14/2019; SIGAR, Interview with MOI Official from Deputy Directorate of Counter Narcotics, 9/1/2019.
352. State INL, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
353. State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019; SIGAR, Interview with MOI Official from Deputy Directorate of Counter Narcotics, 9/1/2019; State INL, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
354. State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
355. State, INL, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
356. SIGAR, Interview with MOI Official from Deputy Directorate of Counter Narcotics, 9/1/2019.
357. UNODC, Afghanistan Opium Survey 2018: Challenges to Sustainable Development, Peace and Security, 7/2019, p. 2.
358. UNODC, Afghanistan Opium Survey 2018: Challenges to Sustainable Development, Peace and Security, 7/2019, p. 3.
359. State, INL, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
360. SIGAR, Interview with MOI Official from Deputy Directorate of Counter Narcotics, 9/1/2019; DOD, Enhancing Security and Stability in Afghanistan, 06/2019, p. 77.
361. State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 3/20/2019.
362. DEA, "DEA, State Department honor DEA-trained Afghanistan officers killed in terrorist attacks," December 11, 2019.
363. State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 3/20/2019; DOD, Enhancing Security and Stability in Afghanistan, 06/2019, pp. 77–78.
364. State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 3/20/2019.
365. DEA, response to SIGAR vetting, 4/11/2018.
366. State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 3/20/2019; DEA, response to SIGAR vetting, 4/11/2018.
367. DOD, Enhancing Security and Stability in Afghanistan, 6/2019, pp. 76–78.
368. DOD, Enhancing Security and Stability in Afghanistan, 6/2019, pp. 67–68.
369. DOD, Enhancing Security and Stability in Afghanistan, 6/2019, p. 70; NSOCC-A, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
370. State, INL, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/12/2017.
371. OSD-P, DOD(CN), response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
372. UNODC, "Afghanistan Opium Survey 2018: Challenges to sustainable development, peace and security," 7/2019, p. 3; OSD-P, DOD(CN), response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019; SIGAR analysis of DOD data, 12/2019.
373. OSD-P, DOD(CN), response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
374. State, INL, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
375. State, INL, Governor Led Eradication Amended Implementing Instructions for the Letter of Agreement on Police, Criminal Justice, and Counternarcotics Support Programs of March 9, 2006 between the Government of the United States of America and the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, 4/23/2014; GAO, Afghanistan Drug Control: Despite Improved Efforts, Deteriorating Security Threatens Success of U.S. Goals, GAO 07-78, 11/2006, pp. 16, 19.
376. State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019; State, INL, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
377. State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 9/18/2019.
378. State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
379. State, INL, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/11/2019.
380. State, INL, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.

ENDNOTES

381. State, PRM, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
382. SIGAR analysis of UNHCR, “Number of Afghan refugees returning to Afghanistan (01 January - 28 December 2019),” 1/2/2020.
383. State, PRM, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
384. IOM, “Return of Undocumented Afghans Weekly Situation Report,” 12/7/2019, p. 1.
385. State, PRM, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
386. SIGAR analysis of UN, OCHA, “Summary of conflict induced displacements (1 Jan to 18 Dec 2019),” 1/5/2020; SIGAR analysis of UN, OCHA, “Summary of conflict induced displacements (1 Jan to 31 Dec 2018),” 1/28/2019.
387. USAID, “Remarks by Administrator Rajiv Shah at the U.S. Institute of Peace Regarding Afghanistan Promote,” 7/18/2013.
388. USAID, “Promote,” 12/17/2018.
389. USAID, OG, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
390. USAID, OG, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019; FY 2019 Quarter 3: April – June 2019, 7/30/2019, pp. 19–20.
391. Tetra Tech, USAID Promote Women’s Leadership Development Project: Task Order No. AID-306-I-TO-14-00031 - Completion Report, 9/2019, p. 1.
392. Tetra Tech, USAID Promote Women’s Leadership Development Project: Task Order No. AID-306-I-TO-14-00031 - Completion Report, 9/2019, v, xii; USAID, OG, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
393. Tetra Tech, USAID Promote Women’s Leadership Development Project: Task Order No. AID-306-I-TO-14-00031 - Completion Report, 9/2019, p. 1.
394. Tetra Tech, USAID Promote Women’s Leadership Development Project: Task Order No. AID-306-I-TO-14-00031 - Completion Report, 9/2019, pp. 29–30.
395. Development Alternatives Inc., Women in the Economy: Monthly Report AID-306-TO-15-00062, 9/17/2019, p. 15.
396. Development Alternatives Inc., Women in the Economy: Annual Report AID-306-TO-15-00062, 10/30/2019, pp. 39–40; The Asia Foundation, A Survey of the Afghan People: Afghanistan in 2019, 12/2/2019, p. 324.
397. World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, ii.
398. World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, i, pp. 12, 18–19.
399. World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, p. 7.
400. World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, p. 26.
401. Khaama Press, “President orders contract termination of Afghan major gold, copper mines, contractor considers it politically biased,” 12/1/2019.
402. Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Balkhab Project, 10/5/2018, pp. 72–73; Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Badakhshan Project, 10/5/2018, pp. 71–72.
403. Khaama Press, “President orders contract termination of Afghan major gold, copper mines, contractor considers it politically biased,” 12/1/2019; Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Balkhab Project, 10/5/2018, i, p. 21; Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Badakhshan Project, 10/5/2018, i, p. 21; Investopedia, “Performance Bond,” updated 8/23/2019, <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/p/performancebond.asp>, accessed 12/3/2019.
404. SIGAR, interview with Bradley Barnett, 1/15/2020; Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Balkhab Project, 10/5/2018, p. 109; Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Badakhshan Project, 10/5/2018, p. 109.
405. State, SCA, response to SIGAR data call, 12/20/2018; Stars and Stripes, “Insecurity, fraud allegations mar US company’s quest to mine for gold in Afghanistan,” 10/4/2019.
406. Integrated Food Security Phase Classification, IPC Acute Food Insecurity Analysis August 2019 – March 2020, 11/2019, p. 1; USAID, OHA, response to SIGAR data call, 12/23/2018.
407. USAID, OHA, response to SIGAR data call, 12/23/2018; FEWS NET, “Integrated Phase Classification,” n.d., accessed 12/21/2018.
408. European Commission, Afghanistan: EU reinforces humanitarian support with €40 million as crisis worsens, Press Release IP/19/6314, 11/21/2019.
409. New York Times, “U.S. Envoy Says Afghans Coerced Retraction of Rape Allegations,” 11/26/2019; The Guardian, “Afghanistan paedophile ring may be responsible for abuse of over 500 boys,” 11/13/2019.
410. The Guardian, “Afghanistan paedophile ring may be responsible for abuse of over 500 boys,” 11/13/2019.
411. New York Times, “U.S. Envoy Says Afghans Coerced Retraction of Rape Allegations,” 11/26/2019; Ambassador John R. Bass, Twitter Post, 11/26/2019, <https://twitter.com/USAmbKabul/status/1199351068670517248>, accessed on 11/27/2019; The Guardian, “Afghanistan paedophile ring may be responsible for abuse of over 500 boys,” 11/13/2019.
412. Ambassador John R. Bass, Twitter Post, 11/26/2019, <https://twitter.com/USAmbKabul/status/1199351068670517248>, accessed on 11/27/2019.
413. Reuters, “After U.S. pressure, Afghanistan frees activists who exposed sex abuse,” 11/27/2019.
414. SIGAR, Child Sexual Assault in Afghanistan: Implementation of the Leahy Laws and Reports of Assault by Afghan Security Forces, SIGAR-17-47-IP, 6/2017, cleared and amended for open publication 1/18/2018, p. 1; New York Times, “U.S. Envoy Says Afghans Coerced Retraction of Rape Allegations,” 11/26/2019.
415. SIGAR, Child Sexual Assault in Afghanistan Implementation of the Leahy Laws and Reports of Assault by the Afghan Security Forces, SIGAR-17-47-IP, 6/2017, cleared for public release 1/2018.
416. SIGAR, Private Sector Development and Economic Growth: Lessons from the U.S. Afghanistan Experience, 4/2018, p. 6; State, Integrated Country Strategy: Afghanistan, 9/27/2018, pp. 2–3.
417. State, Integrated Country Strategy: Afghanistan, 9/27/2018, p. 4.
418. State, Integrated Country Strategy: Afghanistan, 9/27/2018, p. 4.
419. USAID, Country Development Cooperation Strategy, 3/31/2019, p. 26.
420. IMF, “IMF Staff Completes 2019 Article IV and Sixth ECF Review Mission to Afghanistan,” 10/11/2019; World Bank, Afghanistan Development Update: Building Confidence Amid Uncertainty, 7/2019, i, p. 17; World Bank, “GDP growth (annual %),” updated 10/28/2019, <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/>

ENDNOTES

- NY.GDP.MKTP.KD.ZG?locations=AF, accessed 11/21/2019; New York Times, “Afghan President Leads in Disputed Vote as Opposition Protests,” 12/22/2019.
421. World Bank, Afghanistan Development Update: Building Confidence Amid Uncertainty, 7/2019, p. 6; NSIA, Afghanistan Living Conditions Survey 2016–17, 8/2018, xxix; The Asia Foundation, A Survey of the Afghan People: Afghanistan in 2019, 11/19/2019, p. 144.
422. See Appendix B for a breakdown of U.S. reconstruction funding since 2002.
423. The term “public expenditures” includes both on-and off-budget spending. While 75% is a starkly high figure, the size of the Afghan government would likely contract if donor grants dropped significantly, meaning that total public expenditures would also likely decline. As the Bank noted in a December 2019 analysis of Afghanistan’s future grant needs, the country’s total public expenditure, which is equivalent to 58% of GDP, is much higher than average for a low-income country. Nonetheless, the construction of Afghanistan’s current state apparatus, as designed and funded by donors, may not be sustainable, as the next endnote details. World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, i, p. 3.
424. For example, base on-budget civilian expenditure needs are expected to increase over current levels by approximately \$500 million per year by 2024 due to population growth and rising infrastructure operations and maintenance costs, according to a World Bank analysis published in December 2019. With respect to security-sector assistance, as SIGAR identified in its lessons-learned report on reconstructing the ANDSF, the U.S. provided advanced Western weapons and management systems to a largely illiterate and uneducated force without appropriate training and institutional infrastructure. SIGAR found that this approach created long-term dependencies, required increased U.S. fiscal support, and extended sustainability timelines. State, Integrated Country Strategy: Afghanistan, 9/27/2018, p. 7; SIGAR, 2019 High-Risk List, 3/27/2019, pp. 4, 9; World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, p. 8; SIGAR, Reconstructing the Afghan National Defense and Security Forces: Lessons from the U.S. Experience in Afghanistan, SIGAR-17-62-LL, 9/2017, p. 3.
425. SIGAR, 2019 High-Risk List, 3/27/2019, pp. 4, 9.
426. SIGAR, Private Sector Development and Economic Growth: Lessons from the U.S. Afghanistan Experience, 4/2018, p. 7.
427. World Bank, Afghanistan Development Update, 7/2018, i; World Bank, “GDP growth (annual %),” updated 10/28/2019, <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.KD.ZG?locations=AF>, accessed 11/21/2019.
428. World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, p. 9.
429. According to the World Bank’s latest estimates, GDP grew by 2.9% in 2019 as reduced drought and higher precipitation drove improvements in the agriculture sector. Despite this return to 2017 estimated GDP growth, the World Bank believes poverty worsened in 2019. World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, p. 9; IMF, “IMF Staff Completes 2019 Article IV and Sixth ECF Review Mission to Afghanistan,” 10/11/2019; World Bank, Afghanistan Development Update: Navigating a Sea of Uncertainty, 1/2020, p. 2.
430. IMF, Staff Report for the 2019 Article IV Consultation and the Sixth Review under the Extended Credit Facility Arrangement, 12/2019, p. 4.
431. World Bank, “South Asia: Overview,” last updated 4/5/2019, <https://www.worldbank.org/en/region/sar/overview>, accessed 6/27/2019.
432. IMF, “IMF Staff Completes 2019 Article IV and Sixth ECF Review Mission to Afghanistan,” 10/11/2019.
433. RS, response to SIGAR data call, 11/27/2015, 1/29/2016, 5/28/2016, 8/28/2016, 11/15/2016, 2/20/2017, 5/15/2017, 8/28/2017, 10/15/2017, 3/22/2018, 6/22/2018, and 9/19/2018; RS, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/16/2018; New York Times, “Afghan Vote Crawls Toward Crisis, With No Results After 2 Months,” 11/22/2019; World Bank, Post-Settlement Economic Initiatives to Support Peace and Inclusive Growth in Afghanistan, Version 2.5, 3/26/2019, ii. (The date noted is the latest version of the Bank’s plan; it was released on August 22, 2019); New York Times, “Afghan President Leads in Disputed Vote as Opposition Protests,” 12/22/2019.
434. IMF, Staff Report for the 2019 Article IV Consultation and the Sixth Review under the Extended Credit Facility Arrangement, 12/2019, p. 1.
435. USAID, Country Development Cooperation Strategy, 3/31/2019, p. 9; SIGAR analysis of quarterly NSIA trade data, accessed 12/10/2019, 9/22/2019, 6/21/2019, and 3/23/2019.
436. USAID, OEG, response to SIGAR data call, 12/21/2017.
437. SIGAR analysis of quarterly NSIA trade data, accessed 12/10/2019, 9/22/2019, 6/21/2019, and 3/23/2019.
438. In clarifying its statement about outcomes falling within its “manageable strategic interest,” USAID said, “Other socio-economic drivers such as peace and reconciliation, population growth, urbanization and increased incomes could increase imports for consumer goods, durables, business and construction equipment enough to match or outpace exports.” USAID, OEG, response to SIGAR vetting 1/9/2020.
439. USAID, OEG, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/9/2020.
440. USAID, Country Development Cooperation Strategy, 3/31/2019, p. 9; World Bank, Afghanistan Development Update: Building Confidence Amid Uncertainty, 7/2019, p. 18.
441. World Bank, Afghanistan Development Update: Building Confidence Amid Uncertainty, 7/2019, p. 18. USAID, OEG, response to SIGAR data call, 12/21/2018.
442. SIGAR analysis of quarterly NSIA trade data, accessed 12/10/2019.
443. USAID, OEG, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/9/2020.
444. USAID, “Afghanistan: Economic Growth,” updated 9/20/2019, <https://www.usaid.gov/afghanistan/economic-growth>, accessed 1/10/2020.
445. USAID did not use official figures (which showed that exports were \$875.2 million in 2018) to generate its licit export estimate (\$1 billion) for the agency’s February 2018 factsheet on the Economic Growth sector in Afghanistan and therefore may have overstated the figure. Both figures are far larger than 2016 exports (\$596 million). USAID, OEG, “Economic Growth,”

ENDNOTES

- Factsheet, 2/18/2019; SIGAR analysis of quarterly NSIA trade data, accessed 3/23/2019.
446. SIGAR analysis of quarterly NSIA trade data, accessed 12/10/2019, 9/22/2019, 6/21/2019, and 3/23/2019.
447. SIGAR analysis of quarterly NSIA trade data, accessed 12/10/2019, 9/22/2019, 6/21/2019, and 3/23/2019.
448. SIGAR analysis of quarterly NSIA trade data, accessed 12/10/2019, 9/22/2019, 6/21/2019, and 3/23/2019.
449. IMF, Islamic Republic Of Afghanistan: Selected Issues, 12/2017, pp. 12–13.
450. IMF, Third Review Under The Extended Credit Facility Arrangement And Request For Modification Of Performance Criteria, 5/9/2018, p. 23.
451. IMF, Third Review Under The Extended Credit Facility Arrangement And Request For Modification Of Performance Criteria, 5/9/2018, p. 23; IMF, Fourth Review Under the Extended Credit Facility Arrangement, Request for Modification Of Performance Criteria, and Request For Extension and Rephrasing of the Arrangement, 11/20/2018, p. 23; IMF, Fifth Review under the Extended Credit Facility Arrangement and Request for Modification of Performance Criteria, 5/15/2019, p. 24.
452. UNODC, Afghanistan opium survey 2018: Challenges to sustainable development, peace and security, 7/2019, p. 24; World Bank, Afghanistan Development Update January 2020: Navigating a Sea of Uncertainty, 1/2020, p. 32.
453. SIGAR analysis of MOF-provided AFMIS data exported 1/6/2020 and 10/8/2019; SIGAR analysis of USAID-provided AFMIS data exported 1/12/2019.
454. SIGAR, 2019 High-Risk List, 3/27/2019, pp. 30–31, 61; SIGAR conclusion based on analysis of available data sources. For example, see World Bank, Afghanistan Development Update, 8/2018, p.15, where staff projections show increasing reliance in coming years on foreign grants as a percentage of domestic revenue; SIGAR, Private Sector Development and Economic Growth: Lessons from the U.S. Afghanistan Experience, 4/2018, ix.
455. IMF, Staff Report for the 2019 Article IV Consultation and the Sixth Review under the Extended Credit Facility Arrangement, 12/2019, pp. 9–10.
456. TOLONews, “Document: MoF Asks Govt-Run Bank to Pay Taxes in Advance,” 12/24/2019.
457. TOLONews, “Document: MoF Asks Govt-Run Bank to Pay Taxes in Advance,” 12/24/2019.
458. TOLONews, “Document: MoF Asks Govt-Run Bank to Pay Taxes in Advance,” 12/24/2019.
459. World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, ii, pp. 8, 16.
460. World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, ii, p. 16.
461. The Bank assumes a \$1.6 billion reduction in security expenditures due to improvements in security and the “realization of potential savings.” World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, pp. 7, 21.
462. SIGAR analysis of MOF-provided AFMIS data exported 1/6/2020 and 10/8/2019; SIGAR analysis of USAID-provided AFMIS data exported 1/12/2019; Government of Afghanistan, MOF, “Chart of Accounts 1398 v1.2.”
463. SIGAR analysis of MOF-provided AFMIS data exported 1/6/2020 and 10/8/2019; SIGAR analysis of USAID-provided AFMIS data exported 1/12/2019; Government of Afghanistan, MOF, “Chart of Accounts 1398 v1.2.”
464. SIGAR analysis of MOF-provided AFMIS data exported 1/6/2020 and 10/8/2019; SIGAR analysis of USAID-provided AFMIS data exported 1/12/2019.
465. Government of Afghanistan, MOF, “Chart of Accounts 1398 v1.2.”
466. SIGAR analysis of MOF-provided AFMIS data exported 1/6/2020 and 10/8/2019; SIGAR analysis of USAID-provided AFMIS data exported 1/12/2019.
467. USAID, OEG, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/10/2019.
468. It appears that another transfer from the central bank (valued at AFN 15 billion, or \$189.9 million) to the MOF occurred in month 12. Neither the IMF nor the MOF consider foreign exchange profit to be a “sustainable” source of revenue. For a more extensive discussion of these transfers see SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 10/30/2019, pp. 138, 140. Aggregate revenues technically grew by 8.3% over the first 11 months of FY 1398 including an odd AFN 6.1 billion (\$76.9 million) deduction of revenues that occurred in FY 1397. However, SIGAR chose to treat this deduction (which, as SIGAR reported in January 2019, corresponded to domestic debt obligations incurred by the MOF during the resolution of the Kabul Bank crisis) as an effective expenditure. This treatment increased aggregate revenues for FY 1397 and therefore lowered the growth rate through month 11 of FY 1398. SIGAR analysis of MOF-provided AFMIS data exported 1/6/2020 and 10/8/2019; SIGAR analysis of USAID-provided AFMIS data exported 1/12/2019; SIGAR, communications with MOF officials, 8/21/2017; SIGAR, communications with IMF officials, 9/7/2017; SIGAR, Quarterly Report to the United States Congress, 1/30/2019, p. 146.
469. SIGAR analysis of MOF-provided AFMIS data exported 1/6/2020 and 10/7/2019; SIGAR analysis of USAID-provided AFMIS data exported 1/12/2019.
470. USAID, Country Development Cooperation Strategy, 3/31/2019, p. 9.
471. USAID, OEG, response to SIGAR data call, 3/21/2019; SIGAR, interview with USAID/OEG official, 11/8/2018.
472. World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, p. 9; USAID, Country Development Cooperation Strategy, 3/31/2019, p. 2.
473. IMF, “IMF Staff Completes 2019 Article IV and Sixth ECF Review Mission to Afghanistan,” 10/11/2019; New York Times, “Afghan Vote Crawls Toward Crisis, With No Results After 2 Months,” 11/22/2019; New York Times, “Afghan President Leads in Disputed Vote as Opposition Protests,” 12/22/2019.
474. NSIA, Afghanistan Statistical Yearbook 2018–19, 7/2019, posted to the NSIA website 11/2019, pp. 139, 143; NSIA, Afghanistan Statistical Yearbook 2017–2018, p. 110.

ENDNOTES

475. NSIA, Afghanistan Statistical Yearbook 2018–19, 7/2019, posted to the NSIA website 11/2019, p. 139.
476. World Bank, Financing Peace: Fiscal Challenges and Implications for a Post-Settlement Afghanistan, 12/5/2019, pp. 3, 14.
477. IMF, Fifth Review under the Extended Credit Facility Arrangement and Request for Modification of Performance Criteria, 5/15/2019, p. 50.
478. SIGAR, Afghanistan's Oil, Gas, and Minerals Industries: \$488 Million in U.S. Efforts Show Limited Progress Overall, and Challenges Prevent Further Investment and Growth, SIGAR 16-11-AR, 1/11/2016, i;
479. USAID, Participating Agency Program Agreement Between the United States Agency For International Development and the United States Geological Survey (USGS) ("Participating Agency"), 12/28/2017, i, p. 1.
480. USAID, Participating Agency Program Agreement Between the United States Agency for International Development and the Commercial Law Development Program (CLDP) of the United States Department of Commerce ("Participating Agency"), 2/4/2018, pp. 1, 19; Commerce, CLDP, response to SIGAR vetting, 7/23/2018.
481. USIP, Illegal Mining in Afghanistan: A Driver of Conflict, 7/2017, p. 1.
482. Checchi and Company Consulting Inc., Final Performance Evaluation of Mining Investment and Development for Afghan Sustainability, 2012–2017, 8/2017, pp. 8, 31.
483. Resignation Letter of Nargis Nehan, 10/26/2019; Reportedly.net, "Acting Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resigns," 10/24/2019.
484. Resignation Letter of Nargis Nehan, 10/26/2019; TOLONews, "MPs Approve 11 Ministers but Reject Female Candidate," 12/4/2017; TOLONews, "Nehan Rejected due to Discrimination, Say Women," 12/5/2017.
485. Reportedly.net, "Acting Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resigns," 10/24/2019; Biography of Enayatullah Momand Acting Minister Ministry of Mines and Petroleum, no date, <https://momp.gov.af/biography-enayatullah-momand-acting-minister-ministry-mines-and-petroleum>, accessed 12/11/2019.
486. Reportedly.net, "Acting Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resigns," 10/24/2019; Biography of Enayatullah Momand Acting Minister Ministry of Mines and Petroleum, n.d., <https://momp.gov.af/biography-enayatullah-momand-acting-minister-ministry-mines-and-petroleum>, accessed 12/11/2019.
487. Global Witness and IWA, "Parliament's rejection of acting Minister for Mines prolongs the theft of Afghanistan's natural resources," 12/9/2017; SIGAR analysis.
488. Khaama Press, "President orders contract termination of Afghan major gold, copper mines, contractor considers it politically biased," 12/1/2019.
489. Khaama Press, "President orders contract termination of Afghan major gold, copper mines, contractor considers it politically biased," 12/1/2019; Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Balkhab Project, 10/5/2018, i, p. 21; Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Badakhshan Project, 10/5/2018, i, p. 21.
490. Investopedia, "Performance Bond," updated 8/23/2019, <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/p/performancebond.asp>, accessed 12/3/2019.
491. SIGAR, interview with Bradley Barnett, 1/15/2020; Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Balkhab Project, 10/5/2018, p. 109; Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Badakhshan Project, 10/5/2018, p. 109.
492. Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Balkhab Project, 10/5/2018, pp. 72–73; Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Badakhshan Project, 10/5/2018, pp. 71–72.
493. State, SCA, response to SIGAR data call, 12/20/2018; Stars and Stripes, "Insecurity, fraud allegations mar US company's quest to mine for gold in Afghanistan," 10/4/2019.
494. Reuters, "Afghanistan signs major mining deals in development push," 10/7/2018; Government of Afghanistan, Embassy of Afghanistan, Washington, DC, "Embassy Hosts Mining Signing Contract Ceremony," 10/5/2018.
495. These figures have not been recalculated and changes to commodity prices, projected project costs, and the Afghan mining law since that time likely would have shifted the numbers, perhaps significantly. Canaccord Genuity, Inc., and SRK Consulting, Inc., Afghanistan Mineral Tender Process Potential Project Valuations and Government Revenues, 11/2012, p. 9; State, SCA, response to SIGAR data call, 12/20/2018.
496. New York Times, "Afghanistan Signs Major Mining Deals Despite Legal Concerns," 10/6/2018.
497. Global Witness, "New Afghan mining contracts 'appear to breach law', say CSOs," Press Release, 10/4/2018.
498. Global Witness, "New Afghan mining contracts 'appear to breach law', say CSOs," Press Release, 10/4/2018.
499. State, SCA, response to SIGAR data call, 12/20/2018; Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Badakhshan Project, 10/5/2018, p. 109; Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Balkhab Project, 10/5/2018, p. 109; SIGAR analysis.
500. Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Balkhab Project, 10/5/2018, p. 109; Government of Afghanistan, MOMP, Mining Contract relating to Badakhshan Project, 10/5/2018, p. 109; SIGAR analysis.
501. SIGAR, interview with Bradley Barnett, 1/15/2020.
502. Impact Carpets Associates, LLC., Annual Performance Report, Reporting Period: Fiscal Year 2019 USAID/Afghanistan Afghan Jobs Creation Program (AJCP) Grant Establishing Kabul Carpet Export Center (KCEC), 10/31/2019, pp. 13–14; FHI 360, The Goldozi Project Annual Performance Report FY 2019, 10/31/2019, pp. 27–28; USAID, "Request for Concept Papers - Afghanistan Jobs Creation Program," 6/22/2018.
503. USAID, "Request for Concept Papers - Afghanistan Jobs Creation Program," 6/24/2017.
504. USAID, "Request for Concept Papers - Afghanistan Jobs Creation Program," 6/24/2017.
505. USAID, OAPA, Fixed Amount Award No. 72030618FA00006, under Annual Program Statement (APS) Number APS 306-17-000003 and RFA-306-17-0000012 (Establishing Kabul Carpet Export Center (KCEC)), 6/6/2018, pp. 38–39.
506. Impact Carpets Associates, LLC., Annual Performance Report, Reporting Period: Fiscal Year 2019 USAID/Afghanistan Afghan Jobs Creation Program (AJCP) Grant Establishing Kabul Carpet Export Center (KCEC), 10/31/2019, pp. 13–14.

ENDNOTES

507. USAID, OAPA, Fixed Amount Award No. 72030618FA00006, under Annual Program Statement (APS) Number APS 306-17-000003 and RFA-306-17-0000012 (Establishing Kabul Carpet Export Center (KCEC)), 6/6/2018, p. 42; Impact Carpets Associates, LLC., Annual Performance Report, Reporting Period: Fiscal Year 2019 USAID/Afghanistan Afghan Jobs Creation Program (AJCP) Grant Establishing Kabul Carpet Export Center (KCEC), 10/31/2019, p. 14.
508. USAID, OAPA, Cooperative Agreement No.: 720306 I 8CA00006, The Goldozi Project, under Annual Program Statement (APS) # APS-306-306-1 7-000003, 4/5/2018, pp. 1, 12.
509. FHI 360, The Goldozi Project Annual Performance Report FY 2019, 10/31/2019, p. 27.
510. FHI 360, The Goldozi Project Annual Performance Report FY 2019, 10/31/2019, pp. 2, 30.
511. FHI 360, The Goldozi Project Annual Performance Report FY 2019, 10/31/2019, p. 30.
512. USAID, OAPA, Cooperative Agreement No.: 720306 I 8CA00007, Livelihood Advancement for Marginalized Populations (LAMP), under Annual Program Statement (APS) # APS- 306-306-17-000003, 5/26/2018, p. 1.
513. USAID, OAPA, Cooperative Agreement No.: 720306 I 8CA00007, Livelihood Advancement for Marginalized Populations (LAMP), under Annual Program Statement (APS) # APS- 306-306-17-000003, 5/26/2018, p. 20.
514. CARE International, Livelihood Advancement for Marginalized Population - Lamp Quarterly Report - Quarterly Report No. 04–July 1 to September 30, 2019, 10/31/2019, p. 22.
515. CARE International, Livelihood Advancement for Marginalized Population - Lamp Quarterly Report - Quarterly Report No. 04–July 1 to September 30, 2019, 10/31/2019, p. 5.
516. USAID, OAPA, Cooperative Agreement No.: 720306 I 8CA00007, Livelihood Advancement for Marginalized Populations (LAMP), under Annual Program Statement (APS) # APS- 306-306-17-000003, 5/26/2018, p. 1; CARE International, Livelihood Advancement for Marginalized Population - Lamp Quarterly Report - Quarterly Report No. 04–July 1 to September 30, 2019, 10/31/2019, p. 22.
517. CARE International, Livelihood Advancement for Marginalized Population - Lamp Quarterly Report - Quarterly Report No. 04–July 1 to September 30, 2019, 10/31/2019, p. 26.
518. CARE International, Livelihood Advancement for Marginalized Population - Lamp Quarterly Report - Quarterly Report No. 04–July 1 to September 30, 2019, 10/31/2019, p. 26.
519. NSIA, Afghanistan Statistical Yearbook 2018-19, 7/2019, posted to the NSIA website 11/2019, p. 143; World Bank, Jobs from Agriculture in Afghanistan, 2/2018, p. 9.
520. World Bank, Afghanistan Development Update: Building Confidence Amid Uncertainty, 7/2019, p. 31;
521. SIGAR, Private Sector Development and Economic Growth: Lessons from the U.S. Afghanistan Experience, SIGAR 18-38-LL, 4/2018, p. 7.
522. World Bank, Afghanistan Development Update: Building Confidence Amid Uncertainty, 7/2019, i, p. 31.
523. AREU, The Sun Cannot be Hidden by Two Fingers: Illicit Drugs and the Discussions on a Political Settlement in Afghanistan, 5/2019, p. 3.
524. SIGAR analysis of USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/11/2020; SIGAR analysis of World Bank, ARTF, Administrator’s Report on Financial Status as of October 22, 2019, 1/3/2020.
525. Integrated Food Security Phase Classification, IPC Acute Food Insecurity Analysis August 2019 – March 2020, 11/2019, p. 1; USAID, OHA, response to SIGAR data call, 12/23/2018.
526. FEWS NET, “Integrated Phase Classification,” n.d., accessed 12/21/2018.
527. Integrated Food Security Phase Classification, IPC Acute Food Insecurity Analysis August 2019 – March 2020, 11/2019, p. 1.
528. European Commission, Afghanistan: EU reinforces humanitarian support with €40 million as crisis worsens, Press Release IP/19/6314, 11/21/2019.
529. USAID, OHA, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/9/2020.
530. USAID, FFP, Food Assistance Fact Sheet Afghanistan, updated 9/30/2019, p. 1.
531. Roots of Peace, Commercial Horticulture and Agricultural Marketing Program (CHAMP) Quarterly Report July–September 2019, 12/1/2019, p. 3.
532. Only one of the nine performance indicators summarized in CHAMP’s latest quarterly report mentions alternative development. USAID said that, following a 2014 amendment to the project, “the scope ... has been modified to exports to higher-paying markets through supply chain improvement, export market development, and gender integration.” USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/11/2020; Roots of Peace, Commercial Horticulture and Agricultural Marketing Program (CHAMP) Quarterly Report July–September 2019, 12/1/2019, pp. 3, 23–25; USAID, Country Development Cooperation Strategy, 3/31/2019, p. 9; USAID, OAG, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/9/2020.
533. Roots of Peace, Commercial Horticulture and Agricultural Marketing Program (CHAMP) Quarterly Report July–September 2019, 12/1/2019, i.
534. Roots of Peace, Commercial Horticulture and Agricultural Marketing Program (CHAMP) Quarterly Report July–September 2019, 12/1/2019, pp. 23–25.
535. Roots of Peace, Commercial Horticulture and Agricultural Marketing Program (CHAMP) Quarterly Report July–September 2019, 12/1/2019, p. 23.
536. Roots of Peace, Commercial Horticulture and Agricultural Marketing Program (CHAMP) Quarterly Report July–September 2019, 12/1/2019, pp. 23–24.
537. Roots of Peace, Commercial Horticulture and Agricultural Marketing Program (CHAMP) Quarterly Report July–September 2019, 12/1/2019, pp. 14, 17–18, 24, 52; State, SCA, response to SIGAR data call, 12/20/2018; USAID, OEG, response to SIGAR data call, 3/2/2019.
538. Roots of Peace, Commercial Horticulture and Agricultural Marketing Program (CHAMP) Quarterly Report July–September 2019, 12/1/2019, pp. 14, 24; SIGAR analysis.
539. USAID told SIGAR, “a total of 6% out of (31.7 million) commodities were transported via Afghanistan’s air corridor and commercial flights/passengers that are booked and paid directly by exporters” during the fourth quarter of FY 2019. This seems like a very small proportion given CHAMP’s claim, in its recent quarterly report, that it is “leading discussions with [the Afghanistan Chamber of Commerce and Investment] on the status of airlines in the National Air Corridor program” and,

ENDNOTES

- more generally, USAID's emphasis on increased exports via air. SIGAR will further investigate the connection between USAID's agriculture projects and Afghanistan's network of regional air corridors in future quarterly reports. USAID, OAG, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/9/2020; Roots of Peace, Commercial Horticulture and Agricultural Marketing Program (CHAMP) Quarterly Report July–September 2019, 12/1/2019, p. 17; USAID, Country Development Cooperation Strategy, 3/31/2019, p. 31.
540. SIGAR, interview with USAID/OAG official, 11/27/2018.
541. USAID, OEG, response to SIGAR data call, 3/21/2019.
542. USAID, OAG, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/9/2020.
543. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development–West (CBARD–West), 11/3/2019, p. 2.
544. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development–West (CBARD–West), 11/3/2019, p. 1.
545. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development–West (CBARD–West), 11/3/2019, pp. 1, 4.
546. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development–West (CBARD–West), 11/3/2019, p. 2.
547. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development–West (CBARD–West), 11/3/2019, p. 2.
548. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development–West (CBARD–West), 11/3/2019, p. 2.
549. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development–West (CBARD–West), 11/3/2019, p. 2.
550. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development–West (CBARD–West), 11/3/2019, p. 2.
551. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development–West (CBARD–West), 11/3/2019, p. 2.
552. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Community-Based Agriculture and Rural Development–West (CBARD–West), 11/3/2019, p. 2.
553. State/INL reported that, as of January 10, 2020, UNODC was still in the process of finalizing CBARD's midterm evaluation. State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 9/18/2019; State, INL, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
554. SIGAR, Counternarcotics: Lessons from the U.S. Experience in Afghanistan, SIGAR 18–52–LL, 6/2018, p. 126.
555. USAID, Afghanistan Energy Sector Technical Assessment Final Report, 2/28/2018, p. 1; USAID, "Afghanistan: Our Work," n.d., <https://www.usaid.gov/afghanistan/our-work>, accessed 7/8/2018.
556. SIGAR analysis of USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/11/2020; SIGAR analysis of World Bank, ARTF, Administrator's Report on Financial Status as of October 22, 2019, 1/3/2020.
557. State, SCA, response to SIGAR data call, 9/19/2019; USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019; USAID, Status of USAID-funded Power Projects, 7/24/2018.
558. USAID, Fact Sheet, "Power Transmission Expansion and Connectivity Project," 8/14/2017; USFOR-A, JENG, "Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund Program FY 11 through FY 14," 12/10/2019.
559. USAID, Fact Sheet, "Power Transmission Expansion and Connectivity Project," 8/14/2017; USAID, OI, response to SIGAR vetting, 4/11/2019.
560. Department of Defense and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011, Pub. L. No. 112-10, 125 Stat. 38 (2011); U.S. Government Interagency Counterinsurgency Initiative, U.S. Government Counterinsurgency Guide, January 2009; SIGAR, Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund: Agencies Have Not Assessed Whether Six Projects That Began in Fiscal Year 2011, Worth about \$400 Million, Achieved Counterinsurgency Objectives and Can Be Sustained, SIGAR 18-10-AR, 10/2017, i, p. 1.
561. USAID, Country Development Cooperation Strategy, 3/31/2019, p. 34; SIGAR, Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund: Agencies Have Not Assessed Whether Six Projects That Began in Fiscal Year 2011, Worth about \$400 Million, Achieved Counterinsurgency Objectives and Can Be Sustained, SIGAR 18-10-AR, 10/2017, i.
562. SIGAR, Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund: Agencies Have Not Assessed Whether Six Projects That Began in Fiscal Year 2011, Worth about \$400 Million, Achieved Counterinsurgency Objectives and Can Be Sustained, SIGAR 18-10-AR, 10/2017, i.
563. In response to a draft of this report, DOD said that the current rationale justifying U.S. efforts to increase access to electricity "[did] not represent a change" because "the link between affordable reliable power, economic growth, and better service delivery was always part of the rationale for these efforts." However, one key difference today is an apparent reluctance to directly connect U.S. efforts in Afghanistan's power sector to security outcomes, perhaps because the evidence for such linkage is relatively thin. Although monies appropriated for the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund (AIF) were explicitly intended to support the U.S. counterinsurgency strategy—which aimed to defeat the Taliban insurgency not only through kinetic action, but also through the implementation of economic-development projects—USAID's current strategy, under which AIF-funded projects are still being implemented, says that increased access to electricity "drives economic growth, increases competitiveness of value chains, and results in better delivery of education and health services." The strategy does not mention "counterinsurgency." DOD, OSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/11/2020; SIGAR, Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund: Agencies Have Not Assessed Whether Six Projects That Began in Fiscal Year 2011, Worth about \$400 Million, Achieved Counterinsurgency Objectives and Can Be Sustained, SIGAR 18-10-AR, 10/2017, i; USAID, Country Development Cooperation Strategy, 3/31/2019, p. 34; Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014, Pub. L. No. 113-76; USFOR-A, JENG, "Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund Program FY 11 through FY 14," 12/10/2019.
564. USAID, Country Development Cooperation Strategy, 3/31/2019, p. 14;
565. USAID, Country Development Cooperation Strategy, 3/31/2019, pp. 10, 28, 34.
566. SIGAR, Private Sector Development and Economic Growth: Lessons from the U.S. Afghanistan Experience, 4/2018, p. 6; SIGAR, Stabilization: Lessons from the U.S. Experience in Afghanistan, SIGAR-18-48-LL, 5/2018, p. 99.

ENDNOTES

567. Completion percentages represent the proportion of projects constructed (rather than the proportion of contracts invoiced), as reported by Tetra Tech, with whom USAID contracts for quality assurance support. DOD reported that its power-infrastructure projects were “substantially complete” with “closeout in progress.” Work required by several minor contract modifications remains ongoing. It appears that all work will be completed by February 2020. USAID, OI, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019; DOD, USNSE-A COS, response to SIGAR data call, 12/9/2019; USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/11/2020.
568. USAID, OI, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019, 9/19/2018.
569. USAID, OI, response to SIGAR data call, 6/20/2019; SIGAR, Afghanistan’s Energy Sector: USAID and DOD Did Not Consistently Collect and Report Performance Data on Projects Related to Kajaki Dam, and Concerns Exist Regarding Sustainability, SIGAR 19-37-AR, 5/2019, p. 6; USAID, Afghanistan Energy Sector Technical Assessment: Final Report, 2/28/2018, p. 6.
570. SIGAR, USAID’s Power Transmission Expansion and Connectivity Project: The Project is Behind Schedule, and Questions Remain about the Afghan Government’s Ability to Use and Maintain the New Power Infrastructure, 9/2019, p. 34.
571. In response to a draft of this report, DOD argued that this statement was “an oversimplification.” DOD added, “Regardless, SEPS evolved into a series of projects, some of which are complete, including parts of the original contract that seemed to be referenced here.” DOD’s objection is understandable only as a technicality. As SIGAR stated in its May 2019 audit of SEPS infrastructure, DOD awarded a contract for the SEPS Phase II – Maiwand to Durai Junction project to State Corps Ltd. in September 2012. The contract required State Corps Ltd. to construct substations in Maiwand and Pashmul, and rebuild transmission lines from Durai Junction to Breshna Kot, through Maiwand and Pashmul by November 2013—or, more than six years ago. DOD terminated the contract for cause in December 2013. DOD then transferred a portion of the project—which became known as SEPS Completion II—to USAID. In other words, per the original DOD contract, which was subsequently terminated, infrastructure included in SEPS Completion II was supposed to have been completed more than six years ago. DOD, OSD-P, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/11/2020; SIGAR, Afghanistan’s Energy Sector: USAID and DOD Did Not Consistently Collect and Report Performance Data on Projects Related to Kajaki Dam, and Concerns Exist Regarding Sustainability, 5/2019, pp. 26, 31–32.
572. USAID, “25 MW Herat Wind Farm,” Factsheet, 12/5/2019.
573. USAID, OI, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019; USAID, OI, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/13/2020.
574. USAID, “Afghanistan: Education,” Factsheet, 2/20/2019; Afghanistan in Review: Oversight of U.S. Spending in Afghanistan, Before the Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs Subcommittee on Federal Spending Oversight and Emergency Management, 115th Cong., p. 3 (May 9, 2018) (statement of Laurel E. Miller, The RAND Corporation).
575. UNICEF, Global Initiative on Out-Of-School Children: Afghanistan Country Study, 6/2018, p. 1.
576. USAID, “Response to the Inquiry Letter on Afghanistan Education Data Reliability, (SIGAR Inquiry Letter-15-62-SP),” 6/30/2015, p. 6.
577. UN, The Situation in Afghanistan and its Implications for International Peace and Security, report of the Secretary-General, 9/3/2019, pp. 8, 15–16; UN, The Situation in Afghanistan and its Implications for International Peace and Security, report of the Secretary-General, 2/28/2018, p. 8; HRW, “I Won’t Be a Doctor, and One Day You’ll Be Sick”: Girls’ Access to Education in Afghanistan, 10/2017, pp. 45, 76; MEC, Ministry-wide Vulnerability to Corruption Assessment of the Ministry of Education, 10/2017, p. 3.
578. USAID, Country Development Cooperation Strategy, 3/31/2019, p. 42.
579. USAID, Country Development Cooperation Strategy, 3/31/2019, p. 9.
580. NSIA, Afghanistan Living Conditions Survey 2016–17, 8/2018, p. 151.
581. USAID, Country Development Cooperation Strategy, 3/31/2019, p. 10.
582. Overseas Development Institute, Life under the Taliban shadow government, 6/2018, pp. 12–13; Foreign Policy, “The Taliban’s Fight for Hearts and Minds,” 9/12/2018.
583. SIGAR analysis of USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/11/2020; SIGAR analysis of World Bank, ARTF, Administrator’s Report on Financial Status as of October 22, 2019, 1/3/2020.
584. New York Times, “U.S. Envoy Says Afghans Coerced Retraction of Rape Allegations,” 11/26/2019; The Guardian, “Afghanistan paedophile ring may be responsible for abuse of over 500 boys,” 11/13/2019.
585. The Guardian, “Afghanistan paedophile ring may be responsible for abuse of over 500 boys,” 11/13/2019.
586. The Guardian, “Afghanistan paedophile ring may be responsible for abuse of over 500 boys,” 11/13/2019.
587. The Guardian, “Afghanistan paedophile ring may be responsible for abuse of over 500 boys,” 11/13/2019. New York Times, “3 Afghan Schools, 165 Accounts of Students Being Raped,” 11/25/2019.
588. The Guardian, “Afghanistan paedophile ring may be responsible for abuse of over 500 boys,” 11/13/2019; New York Times, “3 Afghan Schools, 165 Accounts of Students Being Raped,” 11/25/2019.
589. New York Times, “3 Afghan Schools, 165 Accounts of Students Being Raped,” 11/25/2019.
590. The Guardian, “Whistleblowers on school paedophile ring in Afghanistan arrested,” 11/25/2019; New York Times, “3 Afghan Schools, 165 Accounts of Students Being Raped,” 11/25/2019.
591. New York Times, “U.S. Envoy Says Afghans Coerced Retraction of Rape Allegations,” 11/26/2019; Ambassador John R. Bass, Twitter Post, 11/26/2019, <https://twitter.com/USAmbKabul/status/1199351068670517248>, accessed on 11/27/2019.
592. Ambassador John R. Bass, Twitter Post, 11/26/2019, <https://twitter.com/USAmbKabul/status/1199351068670517248>, accessed on 11/27/2019; Reuters, “After U.S. pressure, Afghanistan frees activists who exposed sex abuse,” 11/27/2019.
593. President Ashraf Ghani, Twitter Post, 11/26/2019, <https://twitter.com/ashrafghani/status/1199340760551673858>, accessed 11/27/2019.

ENDNOTES

594. SIGAR, Child Sexual Assault in Afghanistan: Implementation of the Leahy Laws and Reports of Assault by Afghan Security Forces, 6/2017, cleared and amended for open publication 1/18/2018, p. 1; New York Times, “U.S. Envoy Says Afghans Coerced Retraction of Rape Allegations,” 11/26/2019.
595. For more, see page 161 of SIGAR’s October 2018 Quarterly Report to the United States Congress. SIGAR, Afghanistan’s Health Care Sector: USAID’s Use of Unreliable Data Presents Challenges in Assessing Program Performance and the Extent of Progress, SIGAR 17-22-AR, 1/2017, i, p. 7.
596. Again, while it is difficult to verify the magnitude of improvement, the consensus is that health outcomes such as the under-five mortality rate have improved significantly since the U.S.-led intervention. World Bank, International Development Association Project Appraisal Document on a Proposed Grant in the Amount of SDR 96.1 Million (US\$ 140 Million Equivalent) and Proposed Grant from the Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund in the Amount of US\$425 Million and a Proposed Grant from the Global Financing Facility in the Amount of US\$35 Million to the Islamic Republic Of Afghanistan for a Afghanistan Sehatmandi Project, 3/12/2018, p. 8; CIA, The World Factbook, “Afghanistan,” www.cia.gov, version of 12/30/2015, accessed via the waybackmachine at <https://web.archive.org/web/20160123233709/https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/fields/2091.html#af>, 1/1/2020.
597. CIA, The World Factbook, “Afghanistan,” www.cia.gov, version of 3/29/2019.
598. USAID, OHN, response to SIGAR data call, 12/21/2017; World Bank, International Development Association Project Appraisal Document on a Proposed Grant in the Amount of SDR 96.1 Million (US\$ 140 Million Equivalent) and Proposed Grant from the Afghanistan Reconstruction Trust Fund in the Amount of US\$425 Million and a Proposed Grant from the Global Financing Facility in the Amount of US\$35 Million to the Islamic Republic Of Afghanistan for a Afghanistan Sehatmandi Project, 3/12/2018, p. 8.
599. USAID, OAPA, Grant Agreement 306-AA-18, 9/6/2018, p. 11.
600. Overseas Development Institute, Life under the Taliban shadow government, 6/2018, pp. 5, 26.
601. SIGAR analysis of USAID, response to SIGAR data call, 1/11/2020; SIGAR analysis of World Bank, ARTF, Administrator’s Report on Financial Status as of October 22, 2019, 1/3/2019.
602. USAID, “HEMAYAT: Helping Mothers And Children Thrive,” Factsheet, 7/2017, p. 1; Jhpiego, HEMAYAT Helping Mothers and Children Thrive Annual Report, Project Year 5 October 2018–September 2019, 12/9/2019, p. 120.
603. USAID, “HEMAYAT: Helping Mothers And Children Thrive,” Factsheet, 7/2017, p. 1.
604. USAID, “HEMAYAT: Helping Mothers And Children Thrive,” Factsheet, 7/2017, p. 2.
605. Jhpiego, HEMAYAT Helping Mothers and Children Thrive Annual Report, Project Year 5 October 2018–September 2019, 12/9/2019, p. 120.
606. Jhpiego, HEMAYAT Helping Mothers and Children Thrive Annual Report, Project Year 5 October 2018–September 2019, 12/9/2019, p. 120.
607. Jhpiego, HEMAYAT Helping Mothers and Children Thrive Annual Report, Project Year 5 October 2018–September 2019, 12/9/2019, p. 120.
608. Jhpiego, HEMAYAT Helping Mothers and Children Thrive Annual Report, Project Year 5 October 2018–September 2019, 12/9/2019, p. 120.
609. The data source for both the “Modern contraceptive prevalence rate” and “Percent of births receiving at least 4 [antenatal care] visits during pregnancy” indicators is the Afghanistan Demographic and Health Survey (DHS). In the performance indicator table presented in its latest annual report, Jhpiego indicated that no DHS survey was planned during the lifetime of the project. Jhpiego, HEMAYAT Helping Mothers and Children Thrive Annual Report, Project Year 5 October 2018–September 2019, 12/9/2019, p. 120.
610. Includes wild poliovirus cases only. Global Polio Eradication Initiative, “Where We Work–Afghanistan,” n.d., <http://polioeradication.org/where-we-work/afghanistan/>, accessed 1/15/2020.
611. Global Polio Eradication Initiative, “Where We Work–Afghanistan,” n.d., <http://polioeradication.org/where-we-work/afghanistan/>, accessed 12/16/2019; UN, The Situation in Afghanistan and its Implications for International Peace and Security, report of the Secretary-General, 2/28/2018, p. 11.
612. Pajhwok Afghan News, “Baghlan: 1st polio case detected in 8 years,” 12/12/2019; WHO, “Polio Snapshot – Afghanistan,” 10/2019.
613. On September 19, 2019, after nearly two decades during which polio was believed to have been eliminated in the Philippines, the Philippine Department of Health announced an outbreak in the country. However, that the Philippines is experiencing a polio epidemic does not mean that polio is endemic in the country, according to USAID. Nigeria has also teetered on the edge of eradication. In October 2017, USAID informed SIGAR that Nigeria had been removed from the list of countries where WPV was endemic. However, the Global Polio Eradication Initiative, a public-private partnership led by national governments with five partners, including the WHO, continues to list Nigeria as a country with ongoing WPV transmission. WHO, “WHO, UNICEF and partners support Philippine Department of Health’s polio outbreak response,” 9/19/2019; Global Polio Eradication Initiative, “Where We Work–Afghanistan,” n.d., <http://polioeradication.org/where-we-work/afghanistan/>, accessed 12/16/2019; USAID, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/10/2017; Global Polio Eradication Initiative, “Who We Are,” no date, <http://polioeradication.org/who-we-are/>, accessed 6/24/2019; USAID, OHN, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/10/2019.
614. Guardian, “Pakistan and Afghanistan Join Forces to Wipe Out Polio,” 4/5/2016; USAID, OHN, response to SIGAR vetting, 10/10/2017 and 4/11/2017.
615. Overseas Development Institute, Life under the Taliban shadow government, 6/2018, p. 82.
616. UN, The Situation in Afghanistan and its Implications for International Peace and Security, report of the Secretary-General, 12/10/2019, p. 13.
617. USAID, OHN, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
618. UNODC, “UNODC Reports Major and Growing Drug Abuse in Afghanistan,” press release, 6/21/2010; UNODC and Afghanistan

ENDNOTES

- Ministry of Counter Narcotics, 2015 Afghanistan Drug Report, 6/28/2016, p. 11.
619. UNODC and Afghanistan Ministry of Counter Narcotics, 2015 Afghanistan Drug Report, 6/28/2016, p. 11.
620. UNODC and Afghanistan Ministry of Counter Narcotics, 2015 Afghanistan Drug Report, 6/28/2016, p. 11.
621. UNODC, Drug Use in Afghanistan: 2009 Survey Executive Summary, 6/21/2010, p. 5.
622. State, INL, International Narcotics Control Strategy Report, Volume I: Drug and Chemical Control, 3/2019, p. 95.
623. UNODC, World Drug Report 2019, Booklet 3: Depressants, 6/2019, p. 13.
624. David Mansfield and Alexander Soderholm, “New US airstrikes obscure a dramatic development in the Afghan drugs industry—the proliferation of low cost methamphetamine,” 5/28/2019. Blog. London School of Economics. <https://blogs.lse.ac.uk/usappblog/2019/05/28/new-us-airstrikes-obscure-a-dramatic-development-in-the-afghan-drugs-industry-the-proliferation-of-low-cost-methamphetamine/>, accessed 9/19/2019.
625. NPR, “Women and Children are the Emerging Face of Drug Addiction in Afghanistan,” 10/29/2019.
626. State, INL, International Narcotics Control Strategy Report, Volume I: Drug and Chemical Control, 3/2019, p. 17.
627. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting for US/INL Funded Projects: UTC Training at the University of Kabul, 7/22/2019, p. 1; State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Ministry of Counter Narcotics Women’ Leadership Fellowship Programme, 7/22/2019, p. 1; State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects, 7/22/2019, p. 1; State, INL, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.
628. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting for US/INL Funded Projects: UTC Training at the University of Kabul, 7/22/2019, p. 1.
629. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting for US/INL Funded Projects: UTC Training at the University of Kabul, 7/22/2019, p. 1.
630. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Ministry of Counter Narcotics Women’ Leadership Fellowship Programme, 7/22/2019, p. 1.
631. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Ministry of Counter Narcotics Women’ Leadership Fellowship Programme, 7/22/2019, p. 3.
632. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Ministry of Counter Narcotics Women’ Leadership Fellowship Programme, 7/22/2019, p. 2.
633. State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019; State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Ministry of Counter Narcotics Women’ Leadership Fellowship Programme, 7/22/2019, pp. 1–2.
634. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Ministry of Counter Narcotics Institution Building, 7/22/2019, p. 1.
635. State, INL, response to SIGAR data call, 12/18/2019.
636. State, INL, Quarterly Reporting Template for US/INL Funded Projects: Ministry of Counter Narcotics Institution Building, 7/22/2019, p. 2.
637. State, INL, response to SIGAR vetting, 1/10/2020.



Members of the Afghan Educational Children's Circus present a street-theater performance in Kabul to support a UN-backed effort to counter family violence. (UNAMA photo)

Quarterly Report Staff

Atif Ahmad, Student Trainee

Michael Bindell, Deputy Director of Research and Analysis Directorate

Theodore Burns, Funding Subject Matter Expert

Jason Davis, Visual Information Specialist

Daniel Fisher, Economic and Social Development Subject Matter Expert

Alyssa Goodman, Student Trainee

Clark Irwin, Lead Writer/Editor

Vong Lim, Senior Visual Information Specialist

James Misencik, Security Subject Matter Expert

Heather Robinson, Security Subject Matter Expert

Deborah Scroggins, Director of Research and Analysis Directorate

Omar Sharif, Project Coordinator

Daniel Weggeland, Governance Subject Matter Expert

SIGAR
SPECIAL INSPECTOR GENERAL
FOR AFGHANISTAN RECONSTRUCTION

2530 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA 22202

www.sigar.mil

WASTE, FRAUD, OR ABUSE MAY BE REPORTED TO SIGAR'S HOTLINE

By phone: Afghanistan
Cell: 0700107300
DSN: 318-237-3912 ext. 7303
All voicemail is in Dari, Pashto, and English.

By phone: United States
Toll-free: 866-329-8893
DSN: 312-664-0378
All voicemail is in English and answered during business hours.

By fax: 703-601-4065
By e-mail: sigar.hotline@mail.mil
By Web submission: www.sigar.mil/investigations/hotline/report-fraud.aspx



SIGAR
Report Waste, Fraud, or Abuse