
LIBYA, SECOND QUARTER 2018:

Update on incidents according to the Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project (ACLED) - Updated 2nd edition compiled by ACCORD, 20 December 2018

Number of reported incidents with at least one fatality

AL IABAL AL AKHDAR AN NUCAT AL KHAMS AL MARI TUNISIA AL JIFARAH TRIPOLI NALUT AL JABAL AL GHARB AL BUTNAN AL WAHAT WADI ASH SHATI' ALGERIA LIBYAN ARAB JAMAHIRIYA MURZUQ AL KLIERAH CHAD none 1 to 2 3 to 5 6 to 11 12 to 24 25 to 49 50 to 99 100 to 199 ACCORD 200 or more

Number of reported fatalities



National borders: GADM, November 2015a; administrative divisions: GADM, November 2015b; incident data: ACLED, 15 December 2018; coastlines and inland waters: Smith and Wessel, 1 May 2015

Contents


Number of reported fatalities	1
Number of reported incidents with at least one fatality	1
Conflict incidents by category	2
Development of conflict incidents from June 2016 to June 2018	2
Methodology	3
Conflict incidents per province	4
Localization of conflict incidents	4
Disclaimer	5

Conflict incidents by category

Category	Number of incidents	Number of incidents with at least one fatality	Number of fatalities
Remote violence	70	28	80
Battles	69	41	237
Violence against civilians	13	9	13
Riots/protests	9	0	0
Strategic developments	6	0	0
Non-violent activities	1	0	0
Total	168	78	330

This table is based on data from ACLED (datasets used: ACLED, 15 December 2018).

Development of conflict incidents from June 2016 to June 2018



This graph is based on data from ACLED (datasets used: ACLED, 15 December 2018).

Methodology

The data used in this report was collected by the Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project (ACLED). ACLED collects data on reported conflict events in selected African and Asian countries, Libya being among them. ACLED researchers collect event data from a variety of sources and code them by date, location, agent, and event type.

Most of the data collected by ACLED is gathered based on publicly available, secondary reports. It may therefore underestimate the volume of events. Fatality data particularly is vulnerable to bias and inaccurate reporting, and ACLED states to use the most conservative estimate available. ACLED uses the reports' context to estimate fatalities for events with reported fatalities for which the exact number is unknown ("10" for plural fatalities, "100" if "hundreds" are mentioned, etc.). For further details on ACLED and for the full data, see www.acleddata.com and Raleigh; Linke; Hegre, and Karlsen, 2010.

Based on this data, the Austrian Centre for Country of Origin & Asylum Research and Documentation (ACCORD) compiles updates on conflict incidents and publishes them on ecoi.net to offer another access point to the ACLED datasets.

This 2nd edition replaces the previously published overviews on the same reporting period and is based on updated ACLED data.

It is advised to employ extreme caution when using fatality numbers.

Assessments of the security situation should not be based solely on quantitative analysis of event data.

The two maps above serve to compare the number of reported fatalities (potentially containing estimates) to the number of events with reported fatalities.

Geographic map data is primarily based on GADM, complemented with other sources if necessary. ACLED's location data is then used to locate incidents in these maps. Incidents that could not be located are ignored. The numbers included in this overview might therefore differ from the original ACLED data. ACLED uses varying degrees of geographic precision for the individual incidents, depending on what level of detail is reported. Thus, towns may represent the wider region in which an incident occured, or the provincial capital may be used if only the province is known. Erroneous location data, especially due to identical place names, cannot be fully excluded.

Incidents comprise the following categories: battles, headquarters or bases established, non-violent strategic activities, riots/protests, violence against civilians, non-violent transfer of territory, remote violence. For details on these categories, please see

- ACLED Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project: Armed Conflict Location and Event Data Project (ACLED) Codebook; ACLED - ASIA, 2015 http://www.acleddata.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/07/ACLED_ Codebook_2015_ASIA-CR.pdf
- ACLED Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project: Armed Conflict Location and Event Data Project (ACLED) Codebook, 2017 http://www.acleddata.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/ACLED_ Codebook_2017.pdf
- ACLED Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project: User Guide, January 2017
 http://www.acleddata.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/ACLED_User-Guide_2017.pdf

Conflict incidents per province

Province	Number of incidents	Number of incidents with fatalities	Number of fatalities
Al Butnan	1	1	2
Al Jabal al Gharbi	1	1	1
Al Jifarah	2	0	0
Al Jufrah	3	1	2
Al Kufrah	1	1	3
Al Wahat	7	3	13
An Nuqat al Khams	1	1	3
Benghazi	10	6	13
Darnah	80	39	217
Ghat	1	0	0
Misratah	5	3	8
Murzuq	2	0	0
Sabha	30	14	25
Surt	17	6	25
Tripoli	6	2	18
Wadi ash Shati'	1	0	0

Localization of conflict incidents

<u>Note:</u> The following list is an overview of the incident data included in the ACLED dataset. More details are available in the actual dataset (date, location data, event type, involved actors, information sources, etc.). The data's precision varies among the incidents: a town may represent a region, or the provincial capital may be

used if the precise location of an incident is unkown. In the following list, the names of event locations are taken from ACLED, while the administrative region names are taken from GADM data which serves as the basis for the maps above.

In Al Butnan, 1 incident killing 2 people was reported. The following location was among the affected: Al Jaghbub.

In **Al Jabal al Gharbi**, **1** incident killing **1** person was reported. The following location was among the affected: **Zintan**.

In Al Jifarah, 2 incidents killing 0 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Al Amiriyah, Al Aziziyah.

In Al Jufrah, 3 incidents killing 2 people were reported. The following location was among the affected: Waddan.

In Al Kufrah, 1 incident killing 3 people was reported. The following location was among the affected: Al Kufrah.

In Al Wahat, 7 incidents killing 13 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Ajdabiya, Ard al Kannan, Awjilah, Maradah.

In **An Nuqat al Khams**, **1** incident killing **3** people was reported. The following location was among the affected: **Ajaylat**.

In Benghazi, 10 incidents killing 13 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: As Sabiri al Gharbi, Balawn, Buatni, Hayy Finisiya, Ras Ubaydah, Sidi Khalifah.

In **Darnah**, **80** incidents killing **217** people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: **Al-Fatayah**, **Darna**, **Jawf az Zahr al Ahmar**.

In **Ghat**, 1 incident killing 0 people was reported. The following location was among the affected: **Ghat**.

In Misratah, 5 incidents killing 8 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: As Saddadah, Bani Walid, Wadi Lebigla, Wadi ash Shanainah.

In Murzuq, 2 incidents killing 0 people were reported. The following location was among the affected: Umm el Araneb.

In Sabha, 30 incidents killing 25 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Sabha, Tamanhint.

In Surt, 17 incidents killing 25 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: An Nawfaliyah, As Sidrah, El Tesseyn, Hamrayat Ras Umm al Baqar, Ras Lanuf, Sirte, Wadi Umm al Halfa, Wadi Umm al Qindil.

In **Tripoli**, 6 incidents killing 18 people were reported. The following locations were among the affected: Abu Salim, Ghot Alshaal, Mitiga Airport, Ras Hassan, Tripoli.

In Wadi ash Shati', 1 incident killing 0 people was reported. The following location was among the affected: Brak.

Sources

- ACLED Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project: Africa 1997-present (Data through 17 November 2018), 15 December 2018 https://www.acleddata.com/download/2909/
- GADM Global Administrative Areas: gadm28_levels.shp, Version 2.8, November 2015a
 http://biogeo.ucdavis.edu/data/gadm2.8/gadm28_levels.shp.zip
- GADM Global Administrative Areas: LBY_adm.zip, Version 2.8, November 2015b
 http://biogeo.ucdavis.edu/data/gadm2.8/shp/LBY_adm.zip
- Raleigh, Clionadh; Linke, Andrew; Hegre, Håvard, and Karlsen, Joakim: "Introducing ACLED-Armed Conflict Location and Event Data", in: Journal of Peace Research (47(5) 2010), pp. 651–660
 http://jpr.sagepub.com/content/47/5/651.full.pdf+html
- Smith, Walter H. F. and Wessel, Paul: Global Self-consistent Hierarchical High-resolution Geography (GSHHG), Version 2.3.4, 1 May 2015 https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/mgg/shorelines/data/gshhg/latest/

Disclaimer

Event data may be revised or complemented in future updates. Updates in ACLED's datasets will not necessarily be reflected in ACCORD's reports if the update occurs close to or after the latter's publication. Changes in the sources used by ACLED to collect incident data might affect the comparability of data over time. For more information on ACLED's methodology, please see www.acleddata.com/resources/methodology/. For more information on ACCORD's products based on the data,

please see the ecoi.net blog posts tagged with "ACLED". The lack of information on an event in this report does not permit the inference that it did not take place. The boundaries and names displayed do not imply endorsement or acceptance by the Austrian Red Cross.

Cite as

 ACCORD – Austrian Centre for Country of Origin & Asylum Research and Documentation: Libya, second quarter 2018: Update on incidents according to the Armed Conflict Location & Event Data Project (ACLED) - Updated 2nd edition, 20 December 2018